

## **FY25 Peer Review Plan for the Species Status Assessment Reports of Multiple Species**

### **About the Document**

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) intends to seek peer review of Species Status Assessment (SSA) reports for the following species:

**Title:** Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Apalachicola Common Kingsnake.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Crested Riverlet Crayfish, Oktibbeha Riverlet Crayfish, Tombigbee Riverlet Crayfish, and Yalobusha Riverlet Crayfish.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Florida Scrub Lizard.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for Henry's Spider-Lily.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for Karst Pond Xyris.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Hickory Nut Gorge Green Salamander.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Impressed-Nerve Sedge.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Karst Snowfly.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Meadow Joint-Vetch.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Slenderwrist Burrowing Crayfish.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Tusculmia Darter.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for Meadow Joint-Vetch.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Sun-Facing Coneflower.  
Draft Species Status Assessment Report for the Eastern Black Rail.

### **Estimated Timeline of Peer Review: 2024-2025**

**Determination:** For the SSA reports being peer reviewed, these reports will inform a decision on whether these species warrant listing under the Endangered Species Act. If we determine that the species warrants listing, we will publish a proposed rule to list the species and designate critical habitat with appropriate opportunities for public review and comment.

### **About the Peer Review Process**

In accordance with our July 1, 1994 peer review policy (59 FR 34270), the Service's August 22, 2016 Director's Memo on the Peer Review Process, and the Office of Management and Budget's December 16, 2004 Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will solicit independent scientific reviews of the information contained in our SSA report for these species. For proposed rules that are not informed by SSA

reports, we will solicit independent scientific reviews of the proposed rules. The purpose of seeking independent peer review is to ensure use of the best scientific and commercial information available and to ensure and to maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of the information upon which the report or rule is based, as well as to ensure that reviews by recognized experts are incorporated into the SSA process or rules, as appropriate.

The Service will request peer review from three or more independent experts. We will consider the following criteria:

- **Expertise**: The reviewer should have knowledge of or experience with the species biology, habitats in which they occur, and/or threats to the species.
- **Independence**: The reviewer should not be employed by the Service. Academic, consulting, or government scientists should have sufficient independence from the Service if the government supports their work.
- **Objectivity**: The reviewer should be recognized by his or her peers as being objective, open-minded, and thoughtful. In addition, the reviewer should be comfortable sharing his or her knowledge and perspectives and openly identifying his or her knowledge gaps.
- **Conflict of Interest**: The reviewer should not have any financial or other interest that conflicts or that could impair his or her objectivity or create an unfair competitive advantage. If an otherwise qualified reviewer has an unavoidable conflict of interest, the Service may publicly disclose the conflict.

While expertise is the primary consideration, the Service will select peer reviewers (considering, but not limited to, these selections) that add to a diversity of scientific perspectives. We will not be providing financial compensation to peer reviewers. We will solicit reviews from at least three qualified experts.

The Service will provide each peer reviewer with information explaining their role and instructions for fulfilling that role, the SSA report or rule, and a conflict-of-interest form. Peer reviewers will be asked to comment specifically on the quality of the scientific information and analyses and whether the best available information was used or relied on in the document; identify oversights, omissions, and inconsistencies; provide advice on reasonableness of judgments made from the scientific evidence; help ensure that scientific uncertainties are identified and characterized; provide advice on the overall strengths and limitations of the scientific data used in the document; and inform us of any scientific information that we did not use. Peer reviewers will be advised that they are not to provide advice on policy.

Peer reviewers will provide individual, written responses to the Service. Peer reviewers will be advised that their reviews, including their names and affiliations, will: (1) be included in the decisional record of our determinations; and, (2) be available to the public upon request once all reviews are completed. We will summarize and respond to the issues raised by the peer reviewers in the record supporting our determinations. A decision on whether or not any of these species warrant listing under the Endangered Species Act is by expected during 2024-2025.

### **About Public Participation**

This peer review plan is made available to allow the public to monitor our compliance with the

Office of Management and Budget's Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review. The SSA along with the final decision document will be made available to the public through a news release, direct mail to interested parties, and posts on Service websites (with solicitations for public comment if we prepare a proposed rule to list the species as endangered or threatened and designate critical habitat). If appropriate, the Service will publish a final listing and designation of critical habitat following consideration of all comments received from the public.

### **Contact**

For more information, contact:

Crested Riverlet Crayfish; Oktibbeha Riverlet Crayfish; Tombigbee Riverlet Crayfish; Yalobusha Riverlet Crayfish; Impressed-Nerve Sedge: James Austin, Mississippi Ecological Services Field Office, telephone: 601-321-1129, email: james\_austin@fws.gov.

Apalachicola Common Kingsnake; Florida Scrub Lizard; Henry's Spider Lily; Karst Pond Xyris; Meadow Joint-Vetch: Nikki Colangelo, Florida Ecological Services Office, telephone: 772-226-8138, email: nikki\_colangelo@fws.gov.

Sun-Facing Coneflower: Peter Maholland, Georgia Ecological Services Office, telephone: 706-208-7512, email: peter\_maholland@fws.gov.

Hickory Nut Gorge Green Salamander: Gary Peeples, North Carolina Ecological Services Field Office, telephone: 828-216-4970, email: gary\_peeples@fws.gov.

Slenderwrist Burrowing Crayfish: Jason Hight, Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office, telephone: 501-513-4473, email: jason\_hight@fws.gov.

Karst Snowfly: Lee Andrews, Kentucky Ecological Services Field Office, telephone: 502-695-0468x46108, email: lee\_andrews@fws.gov.

Tuscumbia Darter: Bill Pearson, Alabama Ecological Services Field Office, telephone: 251-441-5870, email: bill\_pearson@fws.gov.

Eastern Black Rail: Christy JohnsonHughes, South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office, telephone: 208-297-3804, email: christy\_johnsonhughes@fws.gov.