17 October 2024

Rentokil Initial plc

Q3 Trading Update

TOTAL PAGES: 26

Q3 Trading Update

CORPORATE SPEAKERS:

Andy Ransom

Rentokil Initial plc; Chief Executive Officer

Stuart Ingall-Tombs

Rentokil Initial plc; Chief Financial Officer

PARTICIPANTS:

Suhasini Varanasi

Goldman Sachs; Analyst

Simona Sarli

Bank of America; Analyst

Annelies Vermeulen

Morgan Stanley; Analyst

Sylvia Barker

JPMorgan; Analyst

Rory McKenzie

UBS; Analyst

James Rosenthal

Barclays; Analyst

PRESENTATION:

Operator: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our conference call for Rentokil Initial's Trading Update for the Three Months to the 30th of September.

This call is scheduled for 45 minutes.

And now let me hand it over to CEO, Andy Ransom. Please go ahead, Andy.

Andy Ransom: Thank you. Good morning, everyone. I'm here with Stuart. In a few minutes, we'll be pleased to take any questions.

But first, let me just say a few words covering the third quarter and importantly, the actions that we've been taking following the September trading update.

In the third quarter, the group delivered total revenue growth of 3.6%, of which organic revenue growth was 2.6%.

There was good momentum sustained in the group's international regions, which in aggregate, delivered organic growth of 4.4% in the third quarter, with Europe delivering organic growth of 4.7% and the Asia and MENAT region delivering organic growth of 6.5%.

Q3 Trading Update

Year-to-date, our international businesses outside of North America, have delivered a combined organic growth rate of 5%.

Turning now to North America, where in the third quarter overall we delivered organic revenue growth of 1.4%, with North America Pest Control also up by 1.4%.

Clearly, we were very disappointed to announce in September that the business was underperforming our expectations. And since then, we've put in place a focused action plan on a number of fronts.

Firstly, in our operations, we've taken decisive action to mitigate cost overruns. Given our elevated workforce costs this year, we've reduced our sales and service headcount in addition to the normal ongoing off-season headcount reductions.

Over the last few weeks, we've removed around 250 roles from service sales and back office functions with an annualized cost saving of around \$22 million.

We've been tightly managing overtime and labor as we've entered the off season. And as we said in September, material and consumable costs in the North American business have been higher than expected, partly due to inflation. There was also an impact from a new ordering process for Terminix branches and a weaker termite season that resulted in elevated inventory.

To help mitigate some of these effects, we've now implemented strict ordering controls at branch manager and regional director levels.

Some additional costs due to inflation is expected to persist. It's around \$7 million on an annualized basis with around \$10 million of other material and consumable costs expected to unwind during the fourth quarter and next year.

Secondly, we've progressed our initiatives to increase organic growth through our Right Way 2 growth plan. Whilst we've seen a recent positive improvement in digital inbound lead flow, this is coming from our paid search activities supporting the Terminix brand in particular.

In the fourth quarter, we're looking to deliver improved leads for a number of our other master brands, whilst also increasing lead generation from our organic search initiatives.

In the fourth quarter, we'll also be piloting the opening of at least 10 new satellite branches. These are smaller branch offices from which colleagues can cater for the needs of our customers and in particular, potential new customers in key target cities.

Q3 Trading Update

We'll also be assessing the value of a physical presence to the visibility and digital presence of our brands and services.

In sales, we're introducing a back-to-basics approach with increased focus and accountability on executing the selling basics such as improving the speed from lead to inspection and to proposal and increasing the average number of sales proposals per sales rep per day.

At the half year, we highlighted the need to focus on customer retention initiatives and this program continues to build up as we aim to drive improvements to all phases of the customer experience.

We're adding three senior leaders in this space in addition to the 40 team members that we've added to the dedicated customer sales team. Customer retention for the overall North American business improved slightly in the quarter to 79.9%.

We're also pleased to see a continued improvement in our North American colleague retention, which moved up from 77.8% to 78.5% with good improvements in both service and sales roles.

Other actions have included the appointment of a new Chief Marketing Officer and Chief Operating Officer in North America, and the appointment of an interim CFO for North America, whilst the search is underway for the permanent replacement.

You may also have noted that we are recruiting for at least one new Board member with specific experience in U.S. network-based services and/or B2C marketing.

Thirdly, on the integration front. The program proceeded very much the plan in the third quarter with systems and data integration in another 28 branches with combined revenues of \$136 million, taking the total number of branches where we've integrated the systems to 36 with revenues of around \$172 million.

Importantly, at these locations, there was minimal disruption to operations with customer retention stable and colleague retention, very strong.

We're now in a very busy and important fourth quarter for the integration with systems migration continuing in a further 23 branches with a total revenue of around \$130 million.

Importantly, we're also on track to undertake the first rerouting of our technicians in the integrated branches as well as the piloting of our new service and sales pay plans across eight branches, affecting over 250 technicians and about 40 sales colleagues.

Over the past year, our U.S. business has experienced significant change activities as we've implemented our integration and Right Way 2 growth strategies. Whilst we remain confident that

Q3 Trading Update

these strategies will lead to a stronger and faster growing organization, during the first quarter of next year, we'll be reviewing our optimum branch network footprint, which will be informed by the early results of the new satellite branches that will be opened during the fourth quarter.

We'll also review the effectiveness of the new technician and sales pay plans being piloted later this year. The review will result in 2025 synergies being pushed out by approximately two to three months, and we'll update the market on this review at the full year results in March.

So we're taking action on many fronts to address costs, to focus on growth, to drive the integration forward and to add talent. There's no change to our 2024 guidance, and we remain convinced about the long-term attractive growth potential of the North American pest control market, which continues to grow at around 5% per annum and in the significant opportunities that we have to capture this growth.

Our international businesses, which account for around 40% of group revenue and profit continued to perform well.

With that, I'm going to hand back to the operator. And Stuart and I will be pleased to take any questions. Thank you.

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS (9.00 am call)

Operator: (Operator Instructions) And your first question comes from the line of Simona Sarli from Bank of America.

Your next question comes from the line of Suhasini Varanasi from Goldman Sachs.

Suhasini Varanasi: Just a couple for me, please. So it's interesting to see the launch of the satellite branches in Q4. If these work and revenues do improve, can you maybe share some color on how many more you can launch in [2024], maybe 100 more, a couple of hundred more and the costs associated with that.

The second one is on the appointment of the Chief Marketing Officer, Chief Operating Officer in North America. Can you maybe share some details on who they are, their background, please?

Andy Ransom: Thanks, Suhasini. Look, on the satellite branches, we [sort of] back up one. To drive organic search volumes from the Internet you need three things in place, right? You need high-quality content on your websites of which we have lots, hundreds and hundreds of web pages, and we're working part to refresh and update those.

Google has made another change to its algorithm using generative search. So it's important that the content of our web pages aligns with Google's most recent algorithm.

Q3 Trading Update

The second is the importance of 5-star Google reviews. And we've had a massive improvement in 5-star Google reviews across our master brands in the last few months. The third factor in local search and organic search is the location of your facilities.

And so what we're doing here is reflecting on the fact that we have got a lot of facilities across North America, but many of those facilities are not in the prime real estate. They're not in the biggest urban areas, the metro areas, and some of them are a little bit further away from dense population.

So what we're piloting here is initially in the fourth quarter, we said at least 10, certainly will be at least 10%. They're in prime real estate metro areas. And we'll be putting in real facilities, real offices, with real people answering phones, moving some staff from other branches.

The technicians will still live locally as they do servicing customers locally. And we're hoping that we will see a positive response to that to drive an improvement in local organic search.

So the reason we're piloting it, if we were so certain this would work 100% in all of the cities, then I'll be able to give you a proper answer to how many and over what period. But we'll be piloting over the next few weeks and months, and we're hoping to see some really good positive results.

In terms of how many, that's a complex one, because it really does depend on our existing branch network, many of which -- of our branches are perfectly located, some could be optimized.

So that's part of the reason we're calling the review in the first quarter. Give us time to see how do these pilots work, are they effective? And if they are, how many more do we think we should put into the network.

They're relative -- I can't give you a dollar number so soon. They're relatively inexpensive. So we're not talking about the opening of massive real estate footprints with huge facilities and massive car parks. We're talking relatively small properties.

So we'll be able to give you a much better answer to those questions after the review and at the time of the prelims.

On the CMO and the Chief Operating Officer. And Chief Operating Officer is our most experienced senior multisite operator coming from -- outside of the industry over a year ago. He's been with us, as I said, over a year. A very effective operator. He's been running the region that has been going through the integration. He's been running that extremely well. And we've got him in charge of the overall COO role and delighted with the start that he's made there.

Q3 Trading Update

Chief Marketing Officer, actually comes from a mixed B2B and B2C background, having worked for quite a number of years in HD supplies and with Brad, the CEO when he was over there for quite a number of years. So very, very experienced. Very effective. And has made a really fast start. So delighted with her.

Operator: We'll reopen the line for Simona Sarli from Bank of America.

Simona Sarli: So I have a couple of them. First of all, you have been mentioning that there is some cost overrun, but you're managing to contain some of that. What is the total amount of this cost overrun and how much you will be recovering overall next year?

Also of the incremental net synergies that were initially expected for 2025. Can you remind us what is the amount? And also how conservative is your expectation that this will be pushed out only by two to three months?

And lastly, if you can kindly provide an update on PestPac. So in July, you mentioned that 40% of North America colleagues were already using PestPac. How much progress did you make since then? And how much of this 40% is actually Terminix versus Rentokil colleagues?

Stuart Ingall-Tombs: Yes. So thanks, Simona, apologies. You asked a number of questions there about costs, what does that mean does into 2025, what does that mean for 2025 synergies. So I'll try and sort of respond in the round, I think.

So first thing, we haven't given guidance on 2025. We don't -- we never have at this time of the year given the North America performance we've seen, certainly wouldn't be prudent to start now. But there are a number of moving pieces, and I'll try to give some color on that.

Firstly, of course, we've got the organic growth trajectory, the impact of the integration, both positive and negative. So once we're through the other side, we'd expect growth to improve, but as we've said many times, as we're going through an integration, we get short-term disruption.

So key concern, of course, is the lower route density we're experiencing due to our volume decline and its impact on gross margins and through the integration where customer churn can be slightly elevated. Clearly, that's a concern, too. So we've got a few moving parts there.

You referenced synergies. Look, so what did we say previously, we've said \$65 million we gave that -- \$65 million we gave that guidance at the prelims. But then at the interims, we said that of the additional \$25 million marketing investment, marketing and customer care investment in the second half, \$10 million of that would flow through into 2025. So you've got a net there of \$55 million is where we stand.

Q3 Trading Update

I'm not really in a position right now to say quite what that looks like in 2025 because, of course, the point of the two- to three-month review is to determine what that future trajectory might look like. But there's the sort of the polls around which you can -- but clearly, there's an impact as we will be delaying.

And most of those 2025 synergies are operational synergies so that Q4 was always going to be higher than Q1. So it's a Q4 that you lose rather than a relatively low modest Q1.

And then, yes, the review of the cost base, we've said we're finding about \$22 million of cost reductions through FTE, the 250 heads that we've already done and about \$10 million, we think, over Q4 and into 2025 should flow back.

So of that GBP 50 million overrun, we've identified about \$33 million that will come back the other way. But there's other items moving around as well. I've already referenced the density point from organic growth. There's other moving pieces. We've got small acquisitions, relative growth rate of the product business, which obviously impacts margin and rebuild of bonus provisions and those sorts of things as well.

So quite a lot of moving pieces there. Not really ready to give guidance on 2025, but hopefully, there's enough information there that you've got some points around which you can think.

Andy Ransom: you said how conservative is the two- to three-month period, don't really know. I mean I don't see -- I don't think it's either aggressive, nor conservative, I suppose, that's our estimate. We'll know a fair bit on the pay plans, I think, during the fourth quarter. We get some incident reaction. As we launch those pay plans, we'll get -- we'll do some feedback question as with the colleagues, do they like it or they're not like it? Are we hitting the spot with that?

I think in terms of the satellite branches, that we just talked about that, that will take a little bit longer to see how effective that is and whether they're working to plan. So we're probably going to need that January, February. And then we're updating the market and onwards at the first week of March, I think the prelim, so we're probably certainly going to need that period in the first couple of months of next year to see what are we learning from that.

I think it makes absolute -- I think of all the change we've put through to the business in the last year or two, I think it makes absolute sense to take that moment of reflection and review and then start and push on as we go into second quarter.

So absolutely no reason to believe it will be longer than the two to three months that we're calling. But equally, I don't think we're calling it two to three months and actually think it's one month. I think we're going to need all of that time to review those changes that we're making.

Q3 Trading Update

Your detailed question on the percentage of people on PestPac is not in my head at the moment. That is a detailed question. We, I think I've said before, every night, as I go to bed, what a sad person I am, I get an e-mail from the teams who are integrating the businesses at about 11:00 at night, I get the daily feedback on the integrations. And I have to say, it's really encouraging. They're going really well.

So the conversions from mission to PestPac, they've had their issues. They've had the glitches and their gremlins, but they're pretty small and they've been fixed pretty quickly in the main. So delighted to see those revenues coming on.

We'll have over whatever it is, [\$250 million, \$300 million] of the revenue by the end of the year, that will have been fully converted. And that's a very good reference point.

And as we do put more mission, which is the Terminix system to PestPac conversions, they should get easier and easier and easier and easier because the gremlins and the nits and the [gnats] that have come out of the system will all have been resolved. So I don't have the percentage answer for you.

But as I mentioned in my remarks, it's really good to see very high levels of technician retention, branch manager retention, sales colleague retention, very high levels. So that's a good sign. That's a positive. And customer retention is also stable. We're not seeing any significant impact on customer retention as we've converted those.

So a really positive set of migrations and integrations we've seen so far. But I don't have the percentage figure for you, I'm afraid, Simona.

Simona Sarli: So I was wondering also like on [engineering] customer retention that you have indicated (inaudible). If you can split it a little bit between Rentokil and Terminix. Are you seeing a similar improvement between the two?

Andy Ransom: No. I haven't got that split. And just to be clear, that figure is a slight improvement. We're talking about 0.1%, I think, up from the previous quarter. That's not good enough, Simona. I'm not -- we're not putting the champagne on ice on customer retention at all. That's why we've invested the 40 extra people in heads. That's why we've put three senior people across this.

We are looking at this with a laser focus on an end-to-end the customer experience because we've got opportunities to improve that right across the piece.

I don't have the split between Rentokil and Terminix in my head. But as a general rule, as I've shared before, the customer retention with residential is always the weakest. It don't matter what

Q3 Trading Update

country, it doesn't matter what brand, what business, it's always the weakest. So that's the area that we have to focus on most acutely.

Residential customers who took out the service when they had a problem, who signed up to a contract when they had a problem, who no longer have a problem and therefore, in their minds decide that they no longer need for service. That's our biggest challenge.

So trying to convince people that the value of the service when they're no longer seeing the ants in the kitchen or the mosquitoes in the yard that caused them to book us in the first place. But I don't have the split. And again, we'll update more with the prelims.

Operator: And your next question comes from the line of Annelies Vermeulen from Morgan Stanley.

Annelies Vermeulen: I have three please. So just firstly, on the synergy review in the new year. Could you comment on the timing? I appreciate there's a lot going on in Q4 with the branch integrations and pay plans, et cetera. But do you think the review can be truly representative given you're basing it on sort of that quarter in the low season? And equally, how straightforward would it be to speed up if you make the integration again into Q2 once the review is done? Or do you think it's more likely that you wait until you get past the peak season later in the year to ramp it up again?

Then secondly, on the satellite branches, it sounds like this is part of how you're thinking about lead generation. At the last update, I think you mentioned that the group was a little over reliant on paid search and cost of leads. Is this a way of addressing that? And again, with the review, do you think that, that is enough time to see whether those are successful? I don't know how quickly you'd expect to see an uplift from opening those satellites?

And then just lastly, very briefly, to the extent that you can comment on it, have you seen much impact to parts of the business from the elevated hurricane activity in the U.S., particularly through early October. Any comment you can give there?

Andy Ransom: Thanks, Annelies. Great question. Great two questions. First couple there relating to I want to say how much you're going to learn. Of course, if I knew that, I wouldn't need to do the pilot, I suppose. But I think we'll know enough to see whether it's working.

Is it part of your question, is the satellite branch part of the overall organic search strategy? Yes. It's part of it. Unashamedly, yes, it's part of it. And being local, as I said the answer to my first question, you got to get three things right. The web content, 5-star review and the location of your facilities.

Q3 Trading Update

I'm hopeful that we will see enough evidence that, that is an important part or it isn't. And we'll -- all I can do is tell you, we'll update you when we know whether we'll have the definitive answer, and back to the very first question, therefore, how many satellites might you need? I don't know.

We're going to know the definitive answer, but I'm hopeful that we will know enough to give you an educated answer to the question as opposed to a guess answer to the question when we next speak.

In terms of the -- are we slowing down and then can we speed back up? Just to be clear, we're not stopping. We're not suspending. There will be a wall of activity, an immense wall of activity that goes on through the first quarter. And I know I've never really done a good enough job of explaining what this integration is all about.

But there is a massive amount of preconditioning of data cleansing, of training, of all manner of things that have to go on to get a branch ready for integration. So we're not going to stop, we're not going to idle the engine and stop that. We're just not going to push forward into the full-blown integration until we've tested out these things.

The satellite piece could have a bearing on the optimum branch footprint. So if it's going to have a bearing on the optimum branch footprint, we better know that before we fully deploy the integration as it's currently planned. Does that mean we go to a different region first? Does it mean we go to a different approach to smaller branches and larger branches? So that's quite an important question. But it's not as if we're going to idle the engine down to zero and then have to ramp it up again.

Yes, you're right to point out the high season. We are mindful of the high season. So whatever the phasing of the next part of deployment, we'll take that into account.

One of the things we've been careful to avoid is doing a lot of integration in termite territories when the termite season is there.

So you should assume, as we recommence integration fully in the beginning of second quarter, end of the first quarter, we'll be avoiding doing that in big termite territories. But overall, and that's the reason I've stressed how well the systems integrations have been going. We believe we can integrate systems through the high season as we've been doing now for some time.

So will we learn enough? Not sure, but I'm hopeful we'll learn a lot. Will really -- will we have to slow down and speed up? I don't think we're going to lose momentum in that sense. So I don't think that's a major concern.

On the impacts of the hurricanes, we've had two, as you know in tropical storms and hurricanes, absolutely terrifying events for our colleagues and our customers. Most importantly to me, at

Q3 Trading Update

least, we've had no injuries to our colleagues. That's the first thing I always ask about with the teams, so everyone was safe. Everyone's fine. We've had six colleagues that have either lost their homes or had serious damage to their homes. So we're working with those.

In terms of the impacts, well the one that hit in the third quarter is effectively in the numbers we've presented today. Didn't have a major impact on revenue. Shut down a bunch of branches, quite a lot of branches for three or four days, but most of that work was caught up and caught up over weekends as well.

In the hurricane, we just experienced Milton, similar story. It's come early in the quarter, and we have had quite a lot of branches shut down for up to a week in the Panhandle area. But that horrific though it was, Milton, turned out not to be as disastrous as many people had first feared. So the ability for the business to recover pretty quickly has been proven again. So some impact, not dramatic.

And on the offset, it's fair to say, we do have our vector control business. Vector control business basically in this environment puts planes into the sky a few days after hurricanes because hurricanes leave a lot of standing water on the ground in hot humid climates, which means the breeding of mosquitoes becomes a massive problem for the municipalities, particularly in areas like Florida.

So we do have -- if we want to in financial terms, we do have a bit of a financial offset in that we have vector business that is able to be paid to deal with the potential for a serious mosquito vector-borne crisis for the people after hurricane.

So I wouldn't expect, unless we get significant more hurricane activity in the remainder of the quarter, I wouldn't expect the hurricane to have a material -- hurricanes to have a material impact on our numbers at least.

Operator: The next question is from the line of Sylvia Barker, JPMorgan.

Sylvia Barker: Two questions, please. Firstly, on growth. So can you just confirm Pest Services was about 1.4%, which is very similar to the previous quarter. And possibly a little bit slower if we take into account the comp from last year. But how do you -- what are you monitoring now in terms of kind of data for Q4, and what you're seeing on the distribution side and on the services side.

Secondly, just around -- so we've talked about the synergies. I guess the review show whether you actually reduced the scope of the integration to any degree if you think that you need more of these branches for the organic search, et cetera. But on the cost side, so where are you now on kind of the marketing -- digital marketing spend, could that -- would that need to go up again? Or do you think that you're there? And then any additional costs around hiring your four

Q3 Trading Update

traditional heads senior leaders? And also, do you need to maybe pay up more in incentives to any of the colleagues? Is there any -- should we think as we think about kind of what's the level of earnings for next year, even if you're not guiding on that yet, kind of what are the moving parts to consider around costs?

And then finally, on the refinancing, Stuart, would you be able to just update us on kind of where that is? What the additional cost from that might be into next year?

Andy Ransom: Thanks, Sylvia. Let me have a go. I'll dive into the middle of it and come back to you, Stuart.

On the cost piece, we're not saying anything different on the digital marketing budget at this point in time to that what we've said before. So those with long memories, we originally put an additional \$25 million into 2024. We then subsequently increased that to \$50 million, of which \$40 million would be spent in 2024, and \$10 million going into the run rate of 2025.

So we haven't changed that. Your question is, might we could we? Yes, we could, I suppose, but we haven't. And we're putting together budgets for next year even as we speak. But at the moment, we're not calling any material change to that number.

Let me remind us what the component parts of that \$50 million included. It included a big investment into the brands, top of funnel marketing, brand marketing for the first time for a couple of years, if not more, in the Terminix brand that will continue. That was effective, raising top-of-mind awareness for the Terminix brand so that continues.

The additional heads is included. So any additional cost of the sales team is included overall in the investment. So that's not -- and you don't need to add an additional 40 heads. That hasn't changed from anything we've said previously.

In terms of the three additional heads, there might be some additional cost there around the margin. I talked about three senior heads that wasn't originally in the plan. But so there's a little incremental investment there.

Sorry, you did ask a question about incentives, which I've lost the plot on, sorry. So I'm going to have to skip that one.

Stuart, do you want to cover -- and you can come back and ask me what that incentive point was, so apologies.

Stuart Ingall-Tombs: It was -- having to pay more to your new people in essence, you have to incentivize people to a greater degree.

Q3 Trading Update

Andy Ransom: No. We haven't made any changes to the incentive plans at all through 2024. I have, of course, talked about the planned piloted changes to the pay plans more generally for technicians and for sales, which we'll be piloting in the fourth quarter, which I've talked at many, many times before, which in the main will result in lower paid colleagues getting higher pay and some people at the very high end on technicians getting a lower pay over time.

But other than that, there's been no changes specifically this year. And at the moment, other than the planned pipeline changes I've talked about, I've got nothing to add to that.

Won't you Stuart take refinancing, and I'll come back and address Silvia's question on growth.

Stuart Ingall-Tombs: Yes. Sure. So we've got a EUR 400 million bond that matures on the 22nd of November. I think the swap rate is about 3.2%. We are retiring that out of cash, so we're not refinancing this year. We've got plenty of cash sitting on the balance sheet, so we're making ourselves slightly more efficient.

So we'll probably be in the market Q2 next year, I would imagine, because we've got the \$700 million term loan that needs refinancing next year. And obviously rates will be what they are, it will be in Q2 next year, but those are no news this year, apart from retiring that bond.

Andy Ransom: Yes. And I'm not so much additional color on the growth, 1.4% for Pest Control, 1.4% for North America in total.

What are we monitoring on a daily basis? Well what are we monitoring? On a daily basis, we are monitoring lead flow. So we're monitoring inbound lead flow from paid search. We're monitoring inbound lead flow into the websites from organic search. We're monitoring technician leads from our field force on a daily basis. We are monitoring the sales close rate, that's the rate at which sales people sell those leads. We're monitoring the average dollar value of those leads as they come in.

We don't have daily visibility yet of customer retention. We have daily visibility of customer cancels, which is a different thing because when it comes to my cancels, you still have the opportunity to save it, hence, the investment in the sales team. We should have daily visibility of customer retention, I'm hoping, between now and the end of the year.

So I think it's one of the bigger changes heritage Rentokil colleagues such as myself, whereas historically, we typically didn't really need to look at data much more than once a month and data was highly predictable on the commercial side of the business.

On the residential side in the termite, it's much less predictable, as I've said many times. So we've moved the organization to that daily tracking, daily visibility.

Q3 Trading Update

I'd like to get off daily, if I'm honest, because it's a bit -- we're not retail. We're not a supermarket. I'd like to get it to be more of a weekly cadence and the predictability of the numbers has got better, and that's the plan over the coming weeks and months.

There's not much of a story on distribution. Distributions been quite lumpy as it tends to be in that business. Business is performing reasonably well but it is a seasonal business and then we have -- we're coming to a season now where the manufacturers of chemical products for pest control [and lawn] and ornamental, we'll start doing what they call the early order program. So we'll see how that goes. We have it every year, but basically, the suppliers offer discounts to customers to buy that product this year so they can get it in this year's numbers.

So we'll see what the early order program looks like this year, but no significant story on distribution.

Operator: Your next question is from the line of Rory McKenzie from UBS.

Rory McKenzie: It's Rory here with two questions on behalf of Nicole. Firstly, now that you're about to pilot the new pay plans in the eight branches. Can you give more detail on what those pay structures look like and how they compare to the legacy plans?

And then secondly, following up on Annelies' question about what you learned from the Q1 review. I wanted to ask about the branch network. I think you'll be up to 59 branches migrated by the end of Q4, and then you have the 10 new satellite branches. Can you just talk about how that spread geographically? Is that all focused in specific states or regions? Just trying to think about if in the Q1 review, you'll be able to assess a kind of fully transitioned model in any local areas or whether it's more about the national portfolio of branches overall?

Andy Ransom: Yes. Thanks, Rory. Very good question. I'll deal with the second one first.

So in terms of the 59, 60, whatever it is, branches by the end of the year, those are all in one region. They are all geographically in one region. And the pay plans that we will be piloting will be piloted in that region. The eight branches is a subset of the 59 branches.

So increasingly, we'll be able to answer the question that you just posed, which is okay. Now you've done the systems. Now you've done the pay plan. Now you've done branding. What are you -- what is the -- what does the post-world look like in that region? I'm not sure we'll be able to answer that question fully at the time of the prelims.

But yes, so we're not gone scattergun with these branches. They're all in the same geographical region, and that's where we'll be piloting the pay plans.

Q3 Trading Update

As to the satellite, no, they're not in the same region. They are in a selection of prime metro cities around the United States, which we've selected, handpicked, if you like, deliberately because we're testing something different there.

We're testing to see if you have a physical location closer to dense and affluent potential consumers, does that drive up a better local presence than having branches elsewhere.

So that's a different theory we're testing there, Rory, that I'm sure I haven't got the list in front of me, wouldn't tell you if I did. But I'm sure there'll be at least one, if not two, in the region that we've integrated systems.

On the pay structure, I think it's fair to tell the colleagues the details of the pay structure before we tell the analysts to be fair, and we haven't told the colleagues yet.

But broadly speaking, as I've shared before, if you look at the service colleagues. You've got a bell curve of distribution. And on the left-hand side of that bell curve, you've got a reasonable chunk, 20%-ish, haven't got the chart in front of me of colleagues that whose pay needs to improve, whose pay needs to increase. I'm not going to use the phrase underpaid, but there are certainly our belief that they need to earn a higher basic pay. And we believe that, that will significantly improve the retention of more junior colleagues that have only joined us in the last 6, 12, 18 months. So that is part of the investment we've always talked about.

At the right-hand side of the bell curve, there is a smaller cohort population who are paid very well. And they understand they paid very well. And that's an accident of history. It's an accident of the territory that they operate in.

We've started to talk to those colleagues about the implications of change. We will not implement those changes overnight. We will do it on a phased basis. We'll do it on a gradual basis. But there will be some colleagues at the far right of that bell curve who will be disappointed because they won't be able to earn quite as much as they used to be.

On sales, a little bit different. So just to address a question that wasn't asked, does that mean that your very best technicians are going to get a pay cut. No. That's not what I'm saying at all. Does that mean that our most highly paid technicians may see some reductions. That's what I'm saying. And just because you're the highest paid in technician land doesn't necessarily mean you are the best. It may mean you've got a very productive route.

On sales, a little bit different. On sales, if you're the highest earning sales colleague, that probably means you're one of the best sales colleagues because you're selling more than anyone else or you're selling at the high end of the cohort. And so the sales pipeline has been designed to continue to incentivize our highest best-performing salespeople to continue to be our highest best performing salespeople.

Q3 Trading Update

But in the main, Rory, the main change that we're doing is to give more fixed compensation and less variable, but still having a very healthy level of variable pay because it's the variable pay, which incentivizes the discretionary effort of salespeople.

So I know I've sort of half answered your question, but the detail needs to be given to the colleagues before I can share it publicly.

I think we've got time for one more question, please.

Operator: And your final question comes from the line of James Rose from Barclays.

James Rosenthal: Just one for me. Coming back on to customer retention, which is creeping upwards, but there's still a way to go. Could you run us through what's been done so far to improve the service levels and processes across Terminix? And the second part of that is, do you think it's not necessarily possible to address service levels processes until we get through the branch integration -- the branch integrations? Do we think about it being a fairly long time scale to [walk up] that customer retention rate as you go through the integration?

Andy Ransom: Yes. Thanks, James. Some very good questions this morning, I must say.

The honest answer is, you said one question so I could do [another this one], and I'll try not to.

Look, customer retention, you've got to track the customer life cycle journey from the very first point in time when a customer touches our website or phones or call center all the way through to the very end of that life cycle when the customer says, I'd like to terminate. And through that journey, there are multiple, multiple, multiple touch points. Every time they call us and want to change their appointment, every time they call us and want to query a bill, every time they want to book an additional service, every time we want to tell them, we can't turn up this afternoon because something's happened.

So in terms of process, we are looking at that end-to-end process because there are so many opportunities, which is why I put three people across this. Why three people? Because there's big chunks of this process. I mean it is the end-to-end customer experience.

So there is a ton of things that we can do to impact positively the customer experience. And over time, we believe they will have a positive impact on customer retention.

Some of that will relate to systems. So some of that has to be post integration. And some of it relates to process. And again, some of that process has changed post integration, but a bunch of it's changed pre-integration.

Q3 Trading Update

So I think it's a great question to finish on because for me, it's arguably the single biggest most important thing we've got to get right, hence, the investments that we're seeing and, hence, the focus we're putting on it.

If you look at the far end of the book end, what happens when you get to a customer wanting to terminate, that's a change we've made.

So we had 80 people in the sales team. Over the balance of the third quarter, we've added 40 people. So we've now got 120 people in the sales team. And we would expect, over time, to see an improved rate at which customers who are terminating actually decide to stay with us. So there's one absolute tangible thing that we've made -- changed.

Our customer service protocols for our technicians is another area that we've changed. That is a process change. That is something that we're doing pre-integration and post integration. And that is more about getting a single playbook across Terminix and Rentokil colleagues, Western colleagues, Ehrlich colleagues, a single playbook approach to what are our customer protocols, what do we do when we get to a customer premise, how should we deal with customers.

So that is a bit more of a slow burn because we've got to get 20-odd thousand people across the group, all thinking in the same way and acting in the same way.

So conscious I haven't really answered your question in detail. There are a bunch of changes we've made, bunch of investments that are going in. We are working with experts in the field. We're not just doing this on our own. See -- what save offers should we offer to a customer when they want to leave, what's working, what doesn't work?

We've invested in a system, a customer service CX system, working pretty well. We think we can get more out of that system.

So honestly, it is -- I think this one is a multiyear journey. It will get a bit disrupted by the integration, which is why I focus so heavily every night before I go to bed and say, well how is customer retention doing and the branches that we've migrated.

I don't think we'll be able to do the complete migration and have zero impact on customer retention. I will say at the moment, it looks pretty good, but we still got the rerouting, et cetera, to go.

So incredibly important area, keep asking the question because I certainly do. Lots of things we've already changed, but I think we're really just beginning this journey.

I'll just remind us for what it's worth. The rest of the group operates at about 85%, 86% customer retention. And here we are in North America across the estate operating at 80%. I'm

Q3 Trading Update

not sure we can get to 86% anytime soon in America, but somewhere between 80% and 86% over the next two or three years, we certainly should be targeting.

Rentokil North America used to be about 82.5%, I think it was at the time we did the acquisition, something like that. So the opportunity is material and it's incredibly important that we see that. That's why we're putting the investments in. And that's why we're changing the processes.

Stuart Ingall-Tombs: Thanks, James. Thanks, Operator.

Thank you very much, everyone. Appreciate the questions. We get to do it all again for American colleagues. Everyone's welcome, I guess, at 1:00, I think, our time. And so now we'll leave it there. I look forward to updating you again with the prelims. Thank you very much.

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS (1.00 pm call)

PARTICIPANTS:

Annelies Vermeulen
Morgan Stanley; Analyst
Ollie Davies
Redburn Atlantic; Analyst
Allen Wells

Jefferies; Analyst
Andy Grobler

BND Parihas: Ana

BNP Paribas; Analyst

Operator: Thank you. We will now begin the question-and-answer session. (Operator Instructions). Your first question comes from Annelies Vermeulen with Morgan Stanley. Please go ahead.

Annelies Vermeulen: Hi, hello again, Andy and Stuart. Just one follow-up for me from this morning. So you mentioned this morning that you've had a significant improvement in 5-Star Google reviews across your major brands in the last few months. Is that just that the service quality has improved so dramatically? Or are you also being more proactive in asking customers to leave those reviews? And equally, is it a KPI that you're measuring your technicians on? As in, do you incentivize them to get those reviews? Thank you.

Andy Ransom: Thanks, Annelies. I'd like to think it's a bit of both. Certainly, the second is true. It's been the case, I would say, for the rest of the group for quite a long time, that if technicians are complimented by customers and say, "thank you for a fantastic job," they're trained to respond to that customer. It would be wonderful if you would be happy to leave us a review.

Q3 Trading Update

So, we're no different to most companies in that regard. We don't go soliciting reviews, but where we have happy, engaged customers who tell us that they're delighted, we do ask them, would you mind doing that? And I think we were not doing that systematically in the US.

If we look at year-to-date reviews, Terminix, just -- I happen to have it here, Annelies, so thank you for the question. Year-to-date in 2023, we had about 14,000 5-Star reviews in Terminix. This year, we've had 38,000 5-Star reviews, and similar improvements, in fact, bigger improvements on a percentage basis for the other master brands as well.

So it is definitely something we've been focused on. I mentioned on this morning's call, that, look, if you want to drive up organic search, you need to do three things consistently well. The first is you've got to get your website and webpage content responsive.

Google's made some big changes to its algorithm recently using generative search. If you've been searching, you've probably spotted it. The first answer you get to many questions is an Algenerated answer. And now the websites whose content most closely relates to the answer that the AI has generated will be the most responsive for organic search.

So the first thing is a lot of work going on to update, upgrade, refresh webpage content. The second is 5-Star reviews. We all know it in our lives, we go towards 5-Star customers and we look at those reviews before we make a purchase. That's why it's important.

And the third factor of driving organic search is the location of the operation, and that's in part the reason that we're piloting the satellite branches. I'd like to think customer service is part of it as well.

Clearly, you have to have happy, engaged customers telling you that they love your service, for you to have the opportunity to ask them to leave a review. And if we look at things like our CSAT scores and our NPS scores, they remain consistently high. I'd like to think it's both, but there's no doubt we'd be more proactive.

Thanks, Annelies.

Annelies Vermeulen: Thank you very much, and thank you for the stats, a good one.

Operator: Your next question comes from the line of Ollie Davies with Redburn Atlantic. Please go ahead.

Ollie Davies: Hi, Andy, hi, Stuart. A couple from me. Just on the guidance of 1% growth in the second half, I guess that implies a slowdown to about 0.5% in Q4, so just wondering how conservative that is, given the sort of positive commentary on leads? Or is the slowdown basically implied from the rerouting drag from the pilot scheme that you're about to complete?

Q3 Trading Update

And then, I guess secondly, just on the pilot scheme, I understand you've told those employees the new pay plans, which kind of go into effect at the end of the month. So just wondering what the kind of early reception's been there. Thanks.

Andy Ransom: Yes, thanks, Ollie. I can do math just as good as the next person, I suppose. And so, you're not wrong to say, well, hang on a minute, if it's circa one for the second half and you've done 1.4, does that imply something like 0.6? My honest answer to that is, look, 1.4 is circa one. I wouldn't get carried away with 1.4. We're not putting the champagne on ice for 1.4, it's circa one.

The point about the fourth quarter, it is the off-season. We go from summer into fall into winter, so it's historically the off-season. Yes, we've got further integration activity in the fourth quarter. I don't think we're calling off — we're calling out an expected downturn from performance in the fourth quarter, but for me, 1.4 is circa one. I'm not saying put us down for 1.4 for Q4, but I'm equally not saying put us down for 0.6. I don't think there's a join the dots between the two events.

It would be daft of us to say, hey, we've delivered 1.4, so now we think the new number is 1.4, the track record of us calling organic growth when small values can move that number quite materially has not been very good, let's face it, in the last 12 months. So, I think the best guidance we can give you is it's circa 1% for the second half, notwithstanding 1.4 in the quarter.

On the pilot schemes for the pay, we've not launched those. I think we launched them, I think, on the 10th of November, I think it is. Well, I may be wrong. Sometime in November, I think it's the 10th. What we've done is some, what do you call it, some branch consultation.

So, we've not gone to an individual and say, Joe or Sally, this is going to be your new pay. We have socialized the elements of the new pay plan with a number of the branches to whom this will be implemented first. And overall, and I don't have detail, the feedback that was fed back to me was really positive.

People understood the scheme, understood what we were trying to do. And we didn't have a big revolution on our hands. We had positive feedback. But until you get there and people see the dollars and cents that they get in their pay at the end of the month, that'll be the [actual] test as to whether these have landed well.

We think they'll land well, but at this point, we've just really socialized the high levels of the scheme as opposed to implement it, which happens next month.

Ollie Davies: Great, thanks.

Q3 Trading Update

Stuart Ingall-Tombs: Cheers, Ollie.

Operator: Your next question comes from the line of Allen Wells with Jefferies. Please go ahead.

Allen Wells: Hey, good afternoon, Andy. Good afternoon, Stuart. Just to kind of follow on from some of the questions from this morning. The comments you made about kind of satellite branches this, obviously, marks what seems like a bit of a U-turn from the big branch strategy that you kind of were pushing initially with the acquisition. There's, obviously, an optimum branch footprint review as well going on, which you've also announced.

I'm just thinking, how do you feel about that the overall total synergy target that you put out and then upgrade it in light of this? Obviously, there's been lots of moving parts here as well. So I'd be interested just to see where you kind of see that sitting. I think it was originally that north of 225 million, but we've had changes now.

So, in terms of the branch strategy was, obviously, critical in terms of driving synergies. Maybe just a bit of an update on that as well.

Second question would just be on some of the board changes. Maybe you could just say a few words on maybe what some of the early impacts of bringing Trian onto the Board are as well.

And then finally, just because it really wasn't talked about much and slightly less important now, but the hygiene growth, obviously, slowed a little bit in the quarter. It had been relatively strong. Is that just kind of a bumping up against tough comps? Is there anything underlying that's going on in hygiene as we just think about the second half into next year as well? Thank you.

Andy Ransom: Thanks, Alan. I'll do the first two. Maybe, Stuart, pick up hygiene. Your phrase, not mine. U-turn, I don't think you can mark us down for a U-turn. What I think you can mark us down for is an intelligent reflection on have we got the optimum branch structure here. And can we enhance that branch structure through the use of satellite branches?

So, don't treat this as a [bolter actuate]. Don't say this is a manufacture U-turn. This is a reflection and an iteration. We've not said anything about branch size per se. And this review of the satellites and the optimum branch is not two separate reviews. This is one and the same thing.

So what we're saying is, hey, look, I can't sit here two years into the integration and say that we're proud of our organic growth performance and some of our weakened organic growth performance must in part be down to decisions that we've taken. And therefore, we have to look more closely at the branch locations and by all means the branch size.

Q3 Trading Update

But I think the opportunity to seek to have increased number of branches, but small ones, in the locations which we believe will drive a level of more local traffic, that is a smart thing to do. You could say we should have done it two years ago by all means, but I think it's a smart thing to do.

So we'll review Q4 and into Q1 and we'll say, well, what do we make of all of that? We could come back and say, hey, doesn't really make any difference, or we could come back and say, you know, this is magnificent and we need to do a lot of it. Until we've done that work and had some results from that, it's really difficult to say, well, what are the implications for that?

As I sit here, we're not calling any implications for the outturn on synergies. So, we're not saying that this has profound implications on the synergies to be delivered, the cost of these satellite branches relatively below. It's not a big ticket item. But clearly, the number of them that we decide to go with, you know between North and a much bigger number, that's something that we'll come back on.

So I wouldn't be drawing any conclusions out on this point, in terms of implications for the style, the synergy. We're still seeing this as a very, very synergistic integration play, with a lot of value still to come. Could it impact timing? Could it impact phasing? Could it impact the territories where we go to first, the next, all of it could do, but we're not calling any of that at the moment. Give us a few months to review the plan, the satellites and we'll come back and tell you what we think. As we sit here today, we're not calling any change to total synergy target.

A question about Trian. Like one level, I'm not sure it's a terribly fair question to ask. I wouldn't talk about any Board director and I wouldn't talk about any shareholder. I never have done in the past.

But given it is so topical and it is fresh and everyone's sort of got a bit of an interest, all I will tell you is that the relationship with Brian, the new Board Member, is terrific. The contribution to date has been excellent. And the Board, myself, the Chair, other Directors too are enjoying having a stimulating and real quality discussion. Long may that continue. But I'm not going to give a running commentary on this shareholder or any other shareholder and this board member or any other board member. But seeing as you ask, and I understand why you do ask, I would say it's been really positive and I've enjoyed it to-date.

Stuart, hi, do you just want to pick up Alan's comment on that?

Stuart Ingall-Tombs: Yes, sure. I don't think there's any particular big news there, Alan. Actually, UK and Europe had a pretty strong quarter on an underlying basis. I think in the Pacific we've got a couple of things. We've definitely got some weakening economy. Australia tends to come late to the party and I think we're seeing a little bit of that. I think we'll see it into Q4 as well.

Q3 Trading Update

And actually, they did very well through the pandemic, selling lots of air units into corporates and local authorities. And unsurprisingly, that's beginning to unwind a little bit, but they are sort of living a little bit a victim of their success over the previous three years. But I mean, hygiene in most of the world continues to go pretty well and certainly comparable to best.

Andy Ransom: Cheers to that. Thanks.

Operator: (Operator Instructions) And we currently have no questions in our -- oh, yes, we do. Your next question comes from Andy Grobler with BNP Paribas. Please go ahead.

Andy Grobler: Hi, good afternoon Andy and Stuart. Just two from me, if I may. Firstly, just going back to kind of satellite offices and kind of broader marketing, when you think about the impact of that, are those satellite offices focused on Terminix or the residential business? Is that the area where you are seeing the potential to improve?

And on that theme, some of your competitors have looked to door-to-door marketing to try and enhance their presence. Is that something that you would consider or thought about within that review?

And then secondly, just in terms of the full branch integration, route optimization and so forth, what are your expectations at this stage? And I understand it might change, given that review of how many branches you'll get through in the first half of next year and maybe for the year as a whole. Thank you.

Andy Ransom: Thanks, Andy. Look, on the first one, so the first one was for the satellite branches, are these Terminix or other branded branches? In terms of the pilots, we're going to be 10 plus. I need to check on the detail, but I think they are all Terminix. They are going to be branded Terminix or the vast majority are.

One of the sort of interesting things that as you dig into the detail, is if you have more than one brand at the same physical location, you are not going to get an optimum online performance. One brand will always outweigh the other brand. So it's possible, Andy, that if we've got a strong Terminix branch, we might put a Western Exterminator satellite branch in the locality.

So I can't say it's going to be exclusively Terminix. We're going to -- part of what we're going to experiment with would see what is the optimum blend. So we'll look at the brand piece of that, as well as we look at the branch piece. But for the ones that we're piloting in the fourth quarter, I think they are all going to be Terminix or the vast majority.

Door-to-door, I mean, I am interested in this and I'd love to see someone else's economics. And the issue with door-to-door -- and by all means, feel free to ask other companies on the subject,

Q3 Trading Update

but the commissions, the sales commissions that are paid to the door-to-door sales persons is very, very high. And I mean, 80%, 90%. I mean, those sorts of commissions.

So you sell -- you knock on someone's door. They didn't know that they were interested in pest control. They weren't planning to buy pest control that day. They get a knock at the door. They get a cheery face at the door. It's hot, it's sweaty, the bugs are out. And they ask the customer if they are interested in purchasing pest control, and they sell pest control contract to that customer for \$1,000. That sales person's going to get a very substantial incentive, well the company that is running that sales force.

So that's the first issue I have with it is the cost of sale is very, very high. If your bet is that you can sell that customer and keep that customer for six, seven or eight years, the economics on that look all right. If, on the other hand, you sell those customers and they terminate within 12, 24, 36 months, that's a loss-making account. And in 12 months, it's horribly loss-making, because you've got to pay for the cost of servicing and the cost of product.

So I'm unashamedly, incredibly cynical and conservative when it comes to that. But equally, we did pilot door-to-door in the summer of this year. It is an effective way of selling more pest control. But from the economics of it, I'm far from convinced, Andy.

So we're going to dig in and do more work on that. I mean, there's been some pretty spectacular failures of door-to-door pest control companies in the past. And there's been a few successes of pest control companies in the past using door-to-door.

So it's not for me to say it's not an effective sales strategy, but I do struggle with the economics. But we'll continue to work, we'll continue to look and say, what are we missing? Why is it working? I do think other competitors have different approaches, and so worth asking others as well, how they see the economics.

Sorry, your third question. Oh yes, the third question I didn't write down, so I don't know the answer for you. In terms of what percentage of the network will be integrated through the first half of next year, et cetera.

I mean, clearly, by the end of this year, on a revenue basis, we will have taken just around 10% or just over 10% of the full combined estate of Terminix and Rentokil companies, and they will have been fully put through their paces on the systems integration. By the back end of this year, we will have piloted a smaller subset of that in terms of the pay plans, and we will also have fully rerouted or rerouted for our American colleagues, a reasonable chunk of that cohort, around 10% of the business.

We won't be doing much of that in the first quarter at all, because that's the review period where we're going to go through and say, okay, the pay plan's working, the satellite thing working, et

Q3 Trading Update

cetera. And then we'll pick it up again, back in the queue on early Q2. And assuming we go to the current plan, then it will be 18 months plus 24, 23, 22, 21 months, whatever it is, of pretty much uninterrupted rollout and deployment. But in terms of where we are by June, it would be rash of me to say, because that's pre-judging where we come out on the review that we've just talked about.

Andy Grobler: Okay, but the plan is still to be done by the end of 2026, pretty much.

Andy Ransom: Yes, and that's the plan. Could it drift into Q1, given we're pushing it by a quarter? It could. But again, I've not got a Gantt chart in front of me that goes out beyond 2026. But as I sit here today, we're not changing the timetable, Andy, but it's possible to see where we come out with the review.

If we decide that we need to open, choose to open a lot of satellite branches, then we've got to figure out, okay, let's think about the phasing. Do we want to do that before we want to do route integration and further branch integration? So give us the time to work through that, and if there are implications, either on amount, to the earlier question, or phasing or timing, we'll share that. But as we sit here today, we're not calling out changes to either quantum or overall timing, as we sit today.

Andy Grobler: Okay, thank you very much.

Andy Ransom: Cheers, Andy.

Operator: We have no further questions in our queue at this time. I will now turn the call back over to Andy for closing comments.

Andy Ransom: All right. My closing comments, I thank you very much indeed. I appreciate you joining us. Many of you joined us this morning, thank you for that. We'll be speaking to a lot of you over the coming weeks and months. And thanks for the continued interest in the company. We look forward to updating you in a few weeks' time and tell you what we're finding out about the work that we're doing through Q4 and into Q1. But for today, thank you very much indeed.

Operator: This concludes today's conference call. Thank you for your participation and you may now disconnect.