

Union Calendar No.

118TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. R. 8812

[Report No.108. 118-]

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 25, 2024

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri (for himself, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. ROUZER, and Mrs. NAPOLITANO) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

JULY --, 2024

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italics]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on June 25, 2024]

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
 2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4 (a) *SHORT TITLE.*—*This Act may be cited as the*
 5 *“Water Resources Development Act of 2024”.*

6 (b) *TABLE OF CONTENTS.*—*The table of contents for*
 7 *this Act is as follows:*

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 101. Continuing authority programs.

Sec. 102. Community project advisor.

Sec. 103. Minimum real estate interest.

Sec. 104. Study of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.

Sec. 105. Construction of water resources development projects by non-Federal in-
terests.

Sec. 106. Review process.

Sec. 107. Electronic submission and tracking of permit applications.

Sec. 108. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.

Sec. 109. Systemwide improvement framework and encroachments.

Sec. 110. Fish and wildlife mitigation.

Sec. 111. Harbor deepening.

Sec. 112. Emerging harbors.

Sec. 113. Remote and subsistence harbors.

Sec. 114. Additional projects for underserved community harbors.

Sec. 115. Inland waterways regional dredge pilot program.

Sec. 116. Dredged material disposal facility partnerships.

Sec. 117. Maximization of beneficial use.

Sec. 118. Economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic modeling.

Sec. 119. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.

Sec. 120. Updates to certain water control manuals.

Sec. 121. Water supply mission.

Sec. 122. Real estate administrative fees.

Sec. 123. Challenge cost-sharing program for management of recreation facilities.

Sec. 124. Retention of recreation fees.

Sec. 125. Databases of Corps recreational sites.

Sec. 126. Services of volunteers.

Sec. 127. Nonrecreation outgrant policy.

Sec. 128. Improvements to National Dam Safety Program.

Sec. 129. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.

Sec. 130. Treatment of projects in covered communities.

Sec. 131. Ability to pay.

Sec. 132. Tribal partnership program.

Sec. 133. Funding to process permits.

Sec. 134. Project studies subject to independent external peer review.

- Sec. 135. Control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.*
- Sec. 136. Remote operations at Corps dams.*
- Sec. 137. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.*
- Sec. 138. Support of Army civil works missions.*
- Sec. 139. National coastal mapping program.*
- Sec. 140. Watershed and river basin assessments.*
- Sec. 141. Removal of abandoned vessels.*
- Sec. 142. Corrosion prevention.*
- Sec. 143. Missouri River existing features protection.*
- Sec. 144. Federal breakwaters and jetties.*
- Sec. 145. Temporary relocation assistance pilot program.*
- Sec. 146. Easements for hurricane and storm damage reduction projects.*
- Sec. 147. Shoreline and riverine protection and restoration.*
- Sec. 148. Sense of Congress related to water data.*
- Sec. 149. Sense of Congress relating to comprehensive benefits.*
- Sec. 150. Reporting and oversight.*
- Sec. 151. Sacramento River watershed Native American site and cultural resource protection pilot program.*
- Sec. 152. Emergency drought operations pilot program.*
- Sec. 153. Report on minimum real estate interest.*
- Sec. 154. Levee Owners Board.*
- Sec. 155. Definition.*

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.*
- Sec. 202. Expedited completion.*
- Sec. 203. Expedited modification of existing feasibility studies.*
- Sec. 204. Corps of Engineers reports.*
- Sec. 205. GAO studies.*
- Sec. 206. Annual report on harbor maintenance needs and trust fund expenditures.*
- Sec. 207. Examination of reduction of microplastics.*
- Sec. 208. Post-disaster watershed assessment for impacted areas.*
- Sec. 209. Upper Barataria Basin and Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico Connection, Louisiana.*
- Sec. 210. Upper Mississippi River System Flood Risk and Resiliency Study.*
- Sec. 211. New Jersey hot spot erosion mitigation.*
- Sec. 212. Oceanside, California.*
- Sec. 213. Coastal Washington.*
- Sec. 214. Cherryfield Dam, Narraguagus River, Maine.*
- Sec. 215. Poor Farm Pond Dam, Worcester, Massachusetts.*
- Sec. 216. National Academy of Sciences study on Upper Rio Grande Basin.*
- Sec. 217. Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties, Texas.*
- Sec. 218. Sea sparrow accounting.*
- Sec. 219. Wilson Lock floating guide wall, Alabama.*
- Sec. 220. Algiers Canal Levees, Louisiana.*

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.*
- Sec. 302. General reauthorizations.*
- Sec. 303. Conveyances.*
- Sec. 304. Lakes program.*
- Sec. 305. Maintenance of navigation channels.*
- Sec. 306. Asset divestiture.*

- Sec. 307. Upper Mississippi River restoration program.*
Sec. 308. Coastal community flood control and other purposes.
Sec. 309. Shore protection and restoration.
Sec. 310. Hopper dredge McFarland replacement.
Sec. 311. Acequias irrigation systems.
Sec. 312. Pacific region.
Sec. 313. Selma, Alabama.
Sec. 314. Barrow, Alaska.
Sec. 315. San Francisco Bay, California.
Sec. 316. Santa Ana River Mainstem, California.
Sec. 317. Faulkner Island, Connecticut.
Sec. 318. Broadkill Beach, Delaware.
Sec. 319. Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Columbia.
Sec. 320. Washington Aqueduct.
Sec. 321. Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.
Sec. 322. Northern estuaries ecosystem restoration, Florida.
Sec. 323. New Savannah Bluff Lock and Dam, Georgia and South Carolina.
Sec. 324. Dillard Road, Patoka Lake, Indiana.
Sec. 325. Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana.
Sec. 326. Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana.
Sec. 327. Port Fourchon Belle Pass Channel, Louisiana.
Sec. 328. Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam, Minnesota.
Sec. 329. Missouri River levee system, Missouri.
Sec. 330. Table Rock Lake, Missouri and Arkansas.
Sec. 331. Missouri River mitigation, Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, and Nebraska.
Sec. 332. New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tributaries, New York and New Jersey.
Sec. 333. Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio, Indiana, and Michigan.
Sec. 334. Willamette Valley, Oregon.
Sec. 335. Columbia River Channel, Oregon and Washington.
Sec. 336. Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency study, Texas.
Sec. 337. Matagorda Ship Channel Jetty Deficiency, Port Lavaca, Texas.
Sec. 338. San Antonio Channel, San Antonio, Texas.
Sec. 339. Western Washington State, Washington.
Sec. 340. Environmental infrastructure.
Sec. 341. Specific deauthorizations.
Sec. 342. Congressional notification of deferred payment agreement request.

TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.*
Sec. 402. Facility investment.

1 SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.

- 2** *In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary*
3 *of the Army.*

1 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

2 **SEC. 101. CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAMS.**

3 (a) *PILOT PROGRAM FOR ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DE-*
4 *LIVERY FOR CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM*
5 *PROJECTS.—*

6 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after*
7 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
8 *implement a pilot program, in accordance with this*
9 *subsection, allowing a non-Federal interest or the Sec-*
10 *retary to carry out a project under a continuing au-*
11 *thority program through the use of an alternative de-*
12 *livery method.*

13 (2) *CONSISTENCY.—The Secretary shall imple-*
14 *ment the pilot program under this subsection through*
15 *a single office, which shall be headed by a Director.*

16 (3) *PARTICIPATION IN PILOT PROGRAM.—In car-*
17 *rying out paragraph (1), the Director shall—*

18 (A) *solicit project proposals from non-Fed-*
19 *eral interests by posting program information on*
20 *a public-facing website and reaching out to non-*
21 *Federal interests that have previously submitted*
22 *project requests to the Secretary;*

23 (B) *review such proposals and select*
24 *projects, taking into consideration geographic di-*
25 *versity among the selected projects and the alter-*

1 *native delivery methods used for the selected*
2 *projects; and*

3 *(C) notify the Committee on Transportation*
4 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
5 *tives and the Committee on Environment and*
6 *Public Works of the Senate of each project se-*
7 *lected under subparagraph (B), including—*

8 *(i) identification of the project name,*
9 *type, and location, and the associated non-*
10 *Federal interest;*

11 *(ii) a description of the type of alter-*
12 *native delivery method being used to carry*
13 *out the project; and*

14 *(iii) a description of how the project*
15 *meets the authorized purposes and require-*
16 *ments of the applicable continuing author-*
17 *ity program.*

18 *(4) COST SHARE.—The Federal and non-Federal*
19 *shares of the cost of a project carried out pursuant to*
20 *this subsection shall be consistent with the cost share*
21 *requirements of the applicable continuing authority*
22 *program.*

23 *(5) MODIFICATIONS TO PROCESSES.—With re-*
24 *spect to a project selected under paragraph (3), the*
25 *Secretary shall—*

1 (A) allow the non-Federal interest to con-
2 tribute more than the non-Federal share of the
3 project required under the applicable continuing
4 authority program;

5 (B) allow the use of return on Federal in-
6 vestment as an alternative to benefit-cost anal-
7 ysis;

8 (C) allow the use of a real estate acquisition
9 audit process to replace existing crediting, over-
10 sight, and review processes and procedures; and

11 (D) notwithstanding any otherwise applica-
12 ble requirement of a continuing authority pro-
13 gram, allow the use of a single contract with the
14 non-Federal interest that incorporates the feasi-
15 bility and construction phases, and may also in-
16 clude the operations and maintenance of the
17 project.

18 (6) CREDIT OR REIMBURSEMENT.—

19 (A) IN GENERAL.—A project selected under
20 paragraph (3) that is carried out by a non-Fed-
21 eral interest pursuant to this subsection shall be
22 eligible for credit or reimbursement for the Fed-
23 eral share of the cost of the project if, before ini-
24 tiation of construction of the project—

1 (i) *the non-Federal interest enters into*
2 *a written agreement with the Secretary*
3 *under section 221 of the Flood Control Act*
4 *of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), including an*
5 *agreement to pay the non-Federal share of*
6 *the cost of operation and maintenance of the*
7 *project, consistent with the applicable con-*
8 *tinuing authority program; and*

9 (ii) *the Director—*

10 (I) *reviews the plans for construc-*
11 *tion of the project developed by the*
12 *non-Federal interest;*

13 (II) *determines that the project*
14 *meets the requirements of the applica-*
15 *ble continuing authority program;*

16 (III) *determines that the project*
17 *outputs are consistent with the project*
18 *scope;*

19 (IV) *determines that the plans*
20 *comply with applicable Federal laws*
21 *and regulations; and*

22 (V) *verifies that the construction*
23 *documents, including supporting infor-*
24 *mation, have been signed by an Engi-*
25 *neer of Record.*

1 (B) *APPLICATION OF CREDIT.*—*With respect*
2 *to a project selected under paragraph (3), the*
3 *Secretary may only apply credit under subpara-*
4 *graph (A) toward the non-Federal share of that*
5 *project.*

6 (C) *APPLICATION OF REIMBURSEMENT.*—
7 *The Secretary may only provide reimbursement*
8 *under subparagraph (A) if the Director certifies*
9 *that—*

10 (i) *the non-Federal interest has obli-*
11 *gated funds for the cost of the project se-*
12 *lected under paragraph (3) and has re-*
13 *quested reimbursement of the Federal share*
14 *of the cost of the project; and*

15 (ii) *the project has been constructed in*
16 *accordance with—*

17 (I) *all applicable permits or ap-*
18 *provals; and*

19 (II) *the requirements of this sub-*
20 *section.*

21 (D) *MONITORING.*—*The Director shall regu-*
22 *larly monitor and audit any project constructed*
23 *by a non-Federal interest pursuant to this sub-*
24 *section to ensure that—*

1 (i) the construction is carried out in
2 compliance with the requirements of this
3 subsection; and

4 (ii) the costs of construction are rea-
5 sonable.

6 (7) *EVALUATIONS AND REPORTING.*—*The Direc-*
7 *tor shall annually submit to the Committee on Trans-*
8 *portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
9 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and*
10 *Public Works of the Senate a report on the progress*
11 *and outcomes of projects carried out pursuant to this*
12 *subsection, including—*

13 (A) *an assessment of whether the use of al-*
14 *ternative delivery methods has resulted in cost*
15 *savings or time efficiencies; and*

16 (B) *identification of changes to laws or*
17 *policies needed in order to implement more*
18 *projects using alternative delivery methods.*

19 (8) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this subsection:*

20 (A) *ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METHOD.*—*The*
21 *term “alternative delivery method” means a*
22 *project delivery method that is not the tradi-*
23 *tional design-bid-build method, including pro-*
24 *gressive design-build, public-private partner-*
25 *ships, and construction manager at risk.*

1 (B) *CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM.*—

2 *The term “continuing authority program” has*
3 *the meaning given that term in the section*
4 *7001(c)(1)(D) of Water Resources Reform and*
5 *Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d).*

6 (C) *DIRECTOR.*—*The term “Director”*
7 *means the Director of the office through which*
8 *the Secretary is implementing the pilot program*
9 *under this subsection.*

10 (D) *RETURN ON FEDERAL INVESTMENT.*—
11 *The term “return on Federal investment” means,*
12 *with respect to Federal investment in a water re-*
13 *sources development project, the economic return*
14 *on the investment for the Federal Government,*
15 *taking into consideration qualitative returns for*
16 *any anticipated life safety, risk reduction, eco-*
17 *nomical growth, environmental, and social benefits*
18 *accruing as a result of the investment.*

19 (9) *SUNSET.*—*The authority to commence pursu-*
20 *ant to this subsection a project selected under para-*
21 *graph (3) shall terminate on the date that is 10 years*
22 *after the date of enactment of this Act.*

23 (10) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—
24 *There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out*
25 *this subsection \$50,000,000 for each fiscal year.*

1 **(b) MODIFICATIONS TO CONTINUING AUTHORITY PRO-**
2 **GRAMS.—**

3 **(1) DELEGATION OF DECISIONMAKING AUTHOR-**
4 **ITY.—**

5 **(A) IN GENERAL.—***Except with respect to a*
6 *project carried out pursuant to subsection (a),*
7 *the Secretary shall delegate decisionmaking au-*
8 *thority and review of projects under a con-*
9 *tinuing authority program to the District Com-*
10 *mander of the district of the Corps of Engineers*
11 *in which the project is located.*

12 **(B) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—***Authority dele-*
13 *gated under subparagraph (A) shall include au-*
14 *thority related to the approval of project initi-*
15 *ation, allocation of funds within statutory lim-*
16 *its, and oversight of project implementation.*

17 **(2) PROCEDURE FOR EXTENDING COST LIMITS.—**

18 **(A) INITIAL DETERMINATION.—***If, during*
19 *the preconstruction phase of a project under a*
20 *continuing authority program, the total Federal*
21 *costs of the project are projected to exceed the es-*
22 *tablished Federal per-project limit, the District*
23 *Commander to whom authority has been dele-*
24 *gated under paragraph (1) with respect to the*
25 *project shall conduct an assessment to determine*

1 *whether the project can continue to be carried*
2 *out with a revised scope.*

3 *(B) TRANSITION TO NEW FEASIBILITY*
4 *STUDY CASE 1.—If the District Commander de-*
5 *termines under subparagraph (A) that a project*
6 *cannot continue to be carried out with a revised*
7 *scope within the existing authority for the*
8 *project, and the cost of completing the project is*
9 *not projected to exceed twice the applicable estab-*
10 *lished per-project limit—*

11 *(i) the project may be considered a new*
12 *feasibility study and shall be prioritized for*
13 *investigation funds from the Secretary to*
14 *minimize starts and stops on project imple-*
15 *mentation; and*

16 *(ii) such transition to a new feasibility*
17 *study shall require approval from the Sec-*
18 *retary and shall include a notification to*
19 *Congress.*

20 *(C) TRANSITION TO NEW FEASIBILITY*
21 *STUDY CASE 2.—If the District Commander de-*
22 *termines under subparagraph (A) that a project*
23 *cannot continue to be carried out with a revised*
24 *scope within the existing authority for the*
25 *project, and the cost of completing the project is*

1 *projected to exceed twice the applicable estab-*
2 *lished per-project limit, the project may only*
3 *continue as a feasibility study subject to the re-*
4 *quirements of section 105 of the Water Resources*
5 *Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215).*

6 (D) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.*—*A project carried*
7 *out pursuant to subparagraph (B) shall not*
8 *count towards the annual program funding au-*
9 *thorization limits for the applicable continuing*
10 *authority program.*

11 (3) *CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM DE-*
12 *FINED.*—*In this subsection, the term “continuing au-*
13 *thority program” has the meaning given that term in*
14 *the section 7001(c)(1)(D) of Water Resources Reform*
15 *and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d).*

16 (c) *EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PRO-*
17 *TECTION.*—*Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33*
18 *U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and in-*
19 *serting “\$50,000,000”.*

20 (d) *STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-*
21 *PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.*—*Section 3(c) of the Act of*
22 *August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g(c)) is amended—*

23 (1) *in paragraph (1), by striking “\$37,500,000”*
24 *and inserting “\$62,500,000”; and*

1 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
2 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

3 (e) *SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT*
4 *PROJECTS*.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act
5 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking
6 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

7 (f) *AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION*.—Section 206
8 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.
9 2330) is amended—

10 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the
11 following:

12 “(3) *ANADROMOUS FISH*.—Notwithstanding
13 paragraph (1), for projects carried out under sub-
14 section (a)(3), the non-Federal interest shall provide
15 15 percent of the cost of construction, including provi-
16 sion of all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and nec-
17 essary relocations.”; and

18 (2) in subsection (d), by striking “\$10,000,000”
19 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

20 (g) *REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-*
21 *NELS*.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (33 U.S.C.
22 701g) is amended by striking “\$500,000” and inserting
23 “\$1,000,000”.

24 (h) *PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF*
25 *ENVIRONMENT OR DROUGHT RESILIENCY*.—Section 1135 of

1 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
2 *2309a) is amended—*

3 *(1) in the section heading, by inserting “**OR***
4 ***DROUGHT RESILIENCY**” after “**ENVIRONMENT**”;*

5 *(2) in subsection (a)—*

6 *(A) by striking “for the purpose of improv-*
7 *ing” and inserting the following: “for the pur-*
8 *pose of—*

9 *“(1) improving”;*

10 *(B) in paragraph (1) (as so designated), by*
11 *striking the period at the end and inserting “;*
12 *or”;* and

13 *(C) by adding at the end the following:*

14 *“(2) providing drought resiliency.”;*

15 *(3) in subsection (b), by striking “(2) will im-*
16 *prove” and inserting “(2) will provide for drought re-*
17 *silience or will improve”;*

18 *(4) in subsection (d), by striking “\$10,000,000”*
19 *and inserting “\$12,500,000”;*

20 *(5) in subsection (h), by striking “\$50,000,000”*
21 *and inserting “\$62,000,000”; and*

22 *(6) by adding at the end the following:*

23 *“(j) **DROUGHT RESILIENCY**.—Drought resiliency*
24 *measures carried out under this section may include—*

1 “(1) *water conservation measures to mitigate*
2 *and address drought conditions;*

3 “(2) *removal of sediment captured behind a dam*
4 *for the purpose of restoring or increasing the author-*
5 *ized storage capacity of the project concerned;*

6 “(3) *the planting of native plant species that*
7 *will reduce the risk of drought and the incidence of*
8 *nonnative species; and*

9 “(4) *other actions that increase drought resil-*
10 *ience, water conservation, or water availability.”.*

11 *(i) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—*

12 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Section 205 of the Flood Con-*
13 *trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is amended to read*
14 *as follows:*

15 **“SEC. 205. SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.**

16 “(a) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a*
17 *program for the implementation, in partnership with non-*
18 *Federal interests, of small structural or nonstructural*
19 *projects for flood risk management, stormwater manage-*
20 *ment, and related purposes not specifically authorized by*
21 *Congress when in the opinion of the Chief of Engineers such*
22 *work is advisable.*

23 “(b) *COST SHARE.—*

24 (1) *FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND*
25 *STORMWATER PURPOSES.—*

1 “(A) *NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—*The non-Fed-*
2 *eral share for a project implemented under this*
3 *section of the costs assigned to purposes described*
4 *in subsection (a) shall be 35 percent.*

5 “(B) *REQUIREMENT.*—*The non-Federal in-*
6 *terest for a project implemented under this sec-*
7 *tion shall pay 5 percent of the costs assigned to*
8 *purposes described in subsection (a) during con-*
9 *struction of the project.*

10 “(2) *OTHER PURPOSES.*—*The non-Federal share*
11 *for a project implemented under this section of the*
12 *costs assigned to purposes not described in subsection*
13 *(a) shall be consistent with the cost share require-*
14 *ments of section 103 of the Water Resources Develop-*
15 *ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213).*

16 “(3) *LANDS.*—*The non-Federal interest for a*
17 *project implemented under this section shall provide*
18 *all lands, easements, rights-of-way, dredged material*
19 *disposal areas, and perform all related necessary relo-*
20 *cations.*

21 “(c) *AGREEMENTS.*—*Construction of a project under*
22 *this section shall be initiated only after a non-Federal inter-*
23 *est has entered into an agreement with the Secretary to*
24 *pay—*

1 “(1) *the non-Federal share of the costs of con-*
2 *struction required by this section; and*

3 “(2) *100 percent of any operation, maintenance,*
4 *replacement, and rehabilitation costs associated with*
5 *the project in accordance with regulations prescribed*
6 *by the Secretary.*

7 “(d) *COMPLETENESS.—A project implemented under*
8 *this section shall be complete in itself and shall not commit*
9 *the United States to any additional improvement for the*
10 *successful operation of the project.*

11 “(e) *FLEXIBILITY IN PROJECT DESIGN AND IMPLE-*
12 *MENTATION.—The Secretary is authorized to, in coordina-*
13 *tion with the non-Federal interest for a project implemented*
14 *under this section, incorporate natural features and nature-*
15 *based features, water reuse and recycling practices, and*
16 *other innovative stormwater management practices and*
17 *techniques, including green infrastructure, permeable pave-*
18 *ments, rain gardens, and retention basins into the project.*

19 “(f) *CONSIDERATION.—In implementing a project*
20 *under this section, the Secretary shall, where appropriate,*
21 *examine opportunities to include features for the reclama-*
22 *tion, treatment, and reuse of flood water and stormwater*
23 *associated with the project that will not result in—*

24 “(1) *a determination that the project is not eco-*
25 *nomically justified; or*

1 “(2) *the limitation described in subsection (h)(1)*
2 *conflicting with the required Federal share of the cost*
3 *of the project.*

4 “(g) *STORMWATER-RELATED PROJECTS.—For any*
5 *project for stormwater management implemented under this*
6 *section, the Secretary shall include management of*
7 *stormwater that flows at a rate of less than 800 cubic feet*
8 *per second for the 10-percent flood.*

9 “(h) *FUNDING.—*

10 “(1) *LIMITATION.—Not more than \$15,000,000*
11 *in Federal funds may be allocated under this section*
12 *for a single project within a single specific geographic*
13 *area, such as a city, town, or county.*

14 “(2) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—*
15 *There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out*
16 *this section \$90,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.*

17 “(2) *EFFECT ON EXISTING AGREEMENTS.—Noth-*
18 *ing in the amendment made by this subsection shall*
19 *affect any agreement in effect on the date of enact-*
20 *ment of this Act under section 205 of the Flood Con-*
21 *trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), except that, upon*
22 *request by the non-Federal interest for the project that*
23 *is the subject of such an agreement, the Secretary and*
24 *the non-Federal interest may modify the agreement to*

1 *reflect the requirements of such section 205, as so*
2 *amended.*

3 (j) *COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM.*—*Section*
4 *165(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33*
5 *U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—*

6 (1) *by striking the subsection heading and in-*
7 *serting “COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM”;*

8 (2) *in paragraph (1), by striking “pilot pro-*
9 *gram” and inserting “program”;*

10 (3) *in paragraph (2)—*

11 (A) *by amending subparagraph (A) to read*
12 *as follows:*

13 “(A) *solicit project proposals from non-Fed-*
14 *eral interests by posting program information on*
15 *a public-facing website and reaching out to non-*
16 *Federal interests that have previously submitted*
17 *project requests to the Secretary; and”;* and

18 (B) *in subparagraph (B), by striking “a*
19 *total of 20 projects” and inserting “projects”;*

20 (4) *by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the*
21 *following:*

22 “(4) *PRIORITY PROJECTS.*—*In carrying out this*
23 *subsection, the Secretary shall prioritize the following*
24 *projects:*

1 “(A) *Projects located in coastal commu-*
2 *nities in western Alaska impacted by Typhoon*
3 *Merbok.*

4 “(B) *The Hatch Dam project, Arizona, car-*
5 *ried out pursuant to section 205 of the Flood*
6 *Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).*

7 “(C) *Projects located in Guam.*”; and
8 (5) *by adding at the end the following:*

9 “(6) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—*
10 *There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out*
11 *this subsection \$50,000,000 for each fiscal year.*”.

12 **SEC. 102. COMMUNITY PROJECT ADVISOR.**

13 (a) *COMMUNITY PROJECT ADVISOR.—Not later than 1*
14 *year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary*
15 *shall establish a single office to assist non-Federal interests*
16 *in accessing Federal resources related to water resources de-*
17 *velopment projects, which shall be headed by a community*
18 *project advisor appointed by the Secretary.*

19 (b) *RESPONSIBILITIES.—The community project advi-*
20 *sor appointed under this section shall—*

21 (1) *provide guidance to potential non-Federal*
22 *interests on accessing programs, services, and other*
23 *assistance made available by the Corps of Engineers*
24 *relating to water resources development projects, in-*
25 *cluding under—*

1 (A) continuing authority programs (as such
2 term is defined in section 7001(c)(1)(D) of the
3 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
4 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d));

5 (B) section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
6 (33 U.S.C. 408);

7 (C) section 206 of the Flood Control Act of
8 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a);

9 (D) section 22 of the Water Resources Devel-
10 opment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-16);

11 (E) section 203 of the Water Resources De-
12 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231);

13 (F) section 204 of the Water Resources De-
14 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);

15 (G) section 203 of the Water Resources De-
16 velopment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269);

17 (H) section 5014 of the Water Resources Re-
18 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
19 2201 note); and

20 (I) the Water Infrastructure Finance and
21 Innovation Act (33 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.);

22 (2) conduct outreach and workshops for potential
23 non-Federal interests to provide information on such
24 assistance, including processes for accessing such as-
25 sistance; and

1 (3) *identify programs, services, and other assist-*
2 *ance made available by other Federal and State agen-*
3 *cies relating to water resources development projects*
4 *for purposes of advising potential non-Federal inter-*
5 *ests on the best available applicable assistance.*

6 (c) *PRIORITIZATION.*—*In carrying out activities under*
7 *this section, to the maximum extent practicable, the commu-*
8 *nity project advisor shall prioritize providing assistance*
9 *with respect to water resources development projects that*
10 *will benefit a rural community, a small community, or a*
11 *community described in the guidance issued by the Sec-*
12 *retary under section 160 of the Water Resources Develop-*
13 *ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note).*

14 (d) *ELECTRONIC PORTAL.*—

15 (1) *DEVELOPMENT.*—*In carrying out this sec-*
16 *tion, the Secretary shall develop an online, interactive*
17 *portal that—*

18 (A) *contains information relating to the as-*
19 *sistance described in subsection (b); and*

20 (B) *can be used by a potential non-Federal*
21 *interest as a succinct guide to accessing such as-*
22 *sistance based on the applicable potential water*
23 *resources development project.*

24 (2) *AVAILABILITY.*—*The Secretary shall ensure*
25 *that the portal developed under paragraph (1) is*

1 *made available in a prominent location on the pub-*
2 *lic-facing website of the headquarters of the Corps of*
3 *Engineers and of each district and division of the*
4 *Corps of Engineers.*

5 *(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is*
6 *authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section*
7 *\$10,000,000 for each fiscal year.*

8 **SEC. 103. MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

9 *(a) REAL ESTATE PLAN.—The Secretary shall provide*
10 *to the non-Federal interest for an authorized water re-*
11 *sources development project a real estate plan for the project*
12 *that includes a description of the real estate interests re-*
13 *quired for construction, operation and maintenance, repair,*
14 *rehabilitation, or replacement of the project, including any*
15 *specific details and legal requirements necessary for imple-*
16 *mentation of the project.*

17 *(b) IDENTIFICATION OF MINIMUM INTEREST.—*

18 *(1) IN GENERAL.—For each authorized water re-*
19 *sources development project for which an interest in*
20 *real property is required for any applicable construc-*
21 *tion, operation and maintenance, repair, rehabilita-*
22 *tion, or replacement, the Secretary shall identify the*
23 *minimum interest in the property necessary to carry*
24 *out the applicable activity.*

1 (2) *DETERMINATION.*—*In carrying out para-*
2 *graph (1), the Secretary shall identify an interest*
3 *that is less than fee simple title in cases where the*
4 *Secretary determines that—*

5 (A) *such an interest is sufficient for con-*
6 *struction, operation and maintenance, repair, re-*
7 *habilitation, and replacement of the applicable*
8 *project; and*

9 (B) *the non-Federal interest cannot legally*
10 *make available to the Secretary an interest in fee*
11 *simple title for purposes of the project.*

12 (c) *REQUIREMENT.*—*The non-Federal interest for an*
13 *authorized water resources development project shall pro-*
14 *vide for the project an interest in the applicable real prop-*
15 *erty that is the minimum interest identified under sub-*
16 *section (b).*

17 (d) *ANNUAL REPORT.*—*The Secretary shall annually*
18 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
19 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
20 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report con-*
21 *taining—*

22 (1) *a summary of all instances in which the Sec-*
23 *retary identified under subsection (b) fee simple title*
24 *as the minimum interest necessary with respect to an*

1 *activity for which the non-Federal interest requested*
2 *the use of an interest less than fee simple title; and*
3 (2) *with respect to each such instance, a descrip-*
4 *tion of the legal requirements that resulted in identi-*
5 *fying fee simple title as the minimum interest.*

6 (e) *EXISTING AGREEMENTS.*—*At the request of a non-*
7 *Federal interest, an agreement entered into under section*
8 *221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–*
9 *5b) between the Secretary and the non-Federal interest be-*
10 *fore the date of enactment of this Act may be amended to*
11 *reflect the requirements of this section.*

12 **SEC. 104. STUDY OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT**
13 **PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

14 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Section 203 of the Water Resources*
15 *Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) is amended—*

16 (1) *in subsection (a)—*

17 (A) *in paragraph (1)—*

18 (i) *by striking “may undertake a feder-*
19 *ally authorized feasibility study of a pro-*
20 *posed water resources development project,*
21 *or,” and inserting the following: “may un-*
22 *dertake and submit to the Secretary—*

23 “(A) *a federally authorized feasibility study*
24 *of a proposed water resources development*
25 *project; or”;*

1 (ii) by striking “upon the written ap-
2 proval” and inserting the following:

3 “(B) upon the determination”;

4 (iii) in subparagraph (B) (as so des-
5 ignated)—

6 (I) by striking “undertake”; and

7 (II) by striking “, and submit the
8 study to the Secretary” and inserting
9 “or constructed by a non-Federal inter-
10 est pursuant to section 204”;

11 (B) in paragraph (2)—

12 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
13 graph (A)—

14 (I) by striking “, as soon as prac-
15 ticable,”; and

16 (II) by striking “non-Federal in-
17 terests to” and inserting “non-Federal
18 interests that”;

19 (ii) by striking subparagraph (A) and
20 inserting the following:

21 “(A) provide clear, concise, and transparent
22 guidance for the non-Federal interest to use in
23 developing a feasibility study that complies with
24 requirements that would apply to a feasibility
25 study undertaken by the Secretary.”;

1 (iii) in subparagraph (B), by striking
2 the period at the end and inserting a semi-
3 colon; and

4 (iv) by adding at the end the following:

5 “(C) provide guidance to a non-Federal in-
6 terest on obtaining support from the Secretary to
7 complete elements of a feasibility study that may
8 be considered inherently governmental and re-
9 quired to be done by a Federal agency; and

10 “(D) provide contacts for employees of the
11 Corps of Engineers that a non-Federal interest
12 may use to initiate coordination with the Sec-
13 retary and identify at what stages coordination
14 may be beneficial.”; and

15 (C) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(3) DETERMINATION.—If a non-Federal interest
17 requests to undertake a feasibility study on a modi-
18 fication to a constructed water resources development
19 project under paragraph (1)(B), the Secretary shall
20 expeditiously provide to the non-Federal interest the
21 determination required under such paragraph with
22 respect to whether conceptual modifications, as pre-
23 sented by the non-Federal interest, are consistent with
24 the authorized purposes of the project.”;

25 (2) in subsection (b)—

1 (A) in paragraph (3)—

2 (i) in subparagraph (B), by striking
3 “receives a request under this paragraph”
4 and inserting “receives a study submission
5 under subsection (a) or receives a request
6 under subparagraph (A)”; and

7 (ii) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(C) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE-
9 QUIRED.—The Secretary shall notify a non-Fed-
10 eral interest if, upon initial review of a submis-
11 sion received under subsection (a) or a receipt of
12 a request under subparagraph (A), the Secretary
13 requires additional information to perform the
14 required analyses, reviews, and compliance proc-
15 esses and include in such notification a detailed
16 description of the required information.”;

17 (B) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting
18 the following:

19 “(4) NOTIFICATION.—Upon receipt of a study
20 submission under subsection (a) or receipt of a re-
21 quest under paragraph (3)(A), the Secretary shall no-
22 tify the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
23 ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-
24 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
25 ate of the submission or request and a timeline for

1 *completion of the required analyses, reviews, and*
2 *compliance processes and shall notify the non-Federal*
3 *interest of such timeline.”; and*

4 *(C) in paragraph (5), by striking “receiving*
5 *a request under paragraph (3)” and inserting*
6 *“receiving a study submission under subsection*
7 *(a) or a request under paragraph (3)(A)”;*
8 *(3) in subsection (d)—*

9 *(A) by striking “If a project” and inserting*
10 *the following:*

11 *“(1) IN GENERAL.—If a project”;*

12 *(B) by inserting “or modification to the*
13 *project” before “an amount equal to”; and*

14 *(C) by adding at the end the following:*

15 *“(2) MAXIMUM AMOUNT.—Any credit provided to*
16 *a non-Federal interest under this subsection may not*
17 *exceed the maximum Federal cost for a feasibility*
18 *study initiated by the Secretary under section*
19 *1001(a)(2) of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-*
20 *opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c(a)).”;* and

21 *(4) by adding at the end the following:*

22 *“(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is*
23 *authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary \$1,000,000*
24 *for each fiscal year to carry out this section.”.*

1 (b) *GUIDANCE.*—Not later than 18 months after the
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update
3 any guidance as necessary to reflect the amendments made
4 by this section.

5 (c) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—Any non-Federal interest that
6 has entered in a written agreement with the Secretary re-
7 lated to carrying out a feasibility study pursuant to section
8 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
9 U.S.C. 2231) before the date of enactment of this Act may
10 submit to the Secretary a request to amend such agreement
11 to reflect the amendments made by this section.

12 **SEC. 105. CONSTRUCTION OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOP-**
13 **MENT PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-**
14 **ESTS.**

15 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 204 of the Water Resources
16 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) is amended—

17 (1) in subsection (c)(1)—

18 (A) by striking “an appropriate non-Fed-
19 eral interest” and inserting “a non-Federal in-
20 terest carrying out a project, or separable ele-
21 ment of a project, under this section”;

22 (B) by striking “on construction for any
23 project” and inserting “for the construction of
24 any project or separable element”; and

1 (C) by inserting “, consistent with the au-
2 thorized cost share for the project,” after “United
3 States funds”;

4 (2) in subsection (d)—

5 (A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking clauses
6 (i) through (iii) and inserting the following:

7 “(i) the non-Federal interest—

8 “(I) enters into a written agree-
9 ment with the Secretary under section
10 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970
11 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), including an
12 agreement to pay the non-Federal
13 share, if any, of the cost of operation
14 and maintenance of the project;

15 “(II) makes any information rel-
16 evant to carrying out the project avail-
17 able to the Secretary to review; and

18 “(III) identifies features of the
19 project or separable element that are
20 outside the scope of the authorized
21 project; and

22 “(ii) the Secretary—

23 “(I) reviews the plans for con-
24 struction by the non-Federal interest;

1 “(II) determines the project out-
2 puts are consistent with the authorized
3 project and construction would not re-
4 sult in life safety concerns;

5 “(III) determines that the plans
6 comply with applicable Federal laws
7 and regulations; and

8 “(IV) verifies that the construc-
9 tion documents, including supporting
10 information, have been signed by an
11 Engineer of Record; and”;

12 (B) in paragraph (3)—

13 (i) by redesignating subparagraphs (B)
14 and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), re-
15 spectively; and

16 (ii) by inserting after subparagraph
17 (A) the following:

18 “(B) the non-Federal interest has obligated
19 or expended funds for the cost of a discrete seg-
20 ment or separable element thereof and has re-
21 quested reimbursement of the Federal share of the
22 cost of the discrete segment or separable ele-
23 ment;”;

24 (iii) in subparagraph (C) (as so redesi-
25 gnated), by inserting “, discrete segment of

1 the project, or separable element of the
2 project,” after “the project”;

3 (C) in paragraph (5)—

4 (i) by striking subparagraph (A)(ii)
5 and inserting the following:

6 “(ii) before the review and approval of
7 plans under paragraph (1)(A)(ii), the Sec-
8 retary makes the determinations required
9 under subclauses (II) and (III) of para-
10 graph (1)(A)(ii) with respect to the discrete
11 segment.”;

12 (ii) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by strik-
13 ing “plans approved under paragraph
14 (1)(A)(i)” and inserting “the plans re-
15 viewed under paragraph (1)(A)(ii)”;

16 (iii) in subparagraph (C)(i), by strik-
17 ing “paragraph (1)(A)(iii)” and inserting
18 “paragraph (1)(A)(i)”; and

19 (iv) in subparagraph (D)(i) by strik-
20 ing “paragraph (1)(A)(iii)” and inserting
21 “paragraph (1)(A)(i)”; and

22 (D) by adding at the end the following:

23 “(6) EXCLUSIONS.—The Secretary may not pro-
24 vide credit or reimbursement for—

1 “(A) activities required by the non-Federal
2 interest to initiate design and construction that
3 would otherwise not be required by the Secretary;
4 or

5 “(B) delays incurred by the non-Federal in-
6 terest resulting in project cost increases.”; and
7 (3) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(g) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is
9 authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out
10 this section \$1,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

11 (b) *GUIDANCE.*—Not later than 18 months after the
12 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update
13 any guidance as necessary to reflect the amendments made
14 by this section.

15 (c) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—Any non-Federal interest that
16 has entered in a written agreement with the Secretary to
17 carry out a water resources development project pursuant
18 to section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of
19 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) before the date of enactment of this
20 Act may submit to the Secretary a request to amend such
21 agreement to reflect the amendments made by this section.

22 **SEC. 106. REVIEW PROCESS.**

23 Section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 408)
24 is amended—

1 (1) *by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as*
2 *subsections (d) and (e), respectively, and inserting*
3 *after subsection (b) the following:*

4 “(c) *REVIEW PROCESS.—*

5 “(1) *CONSISTENCY.—The Secretary shall estab-*
6 *lish a single office within the Corps of Engineers with*
7 *the expertise to provide consistent and timely rec-*
8 *ommendations under subsection (a) for applications*
9 *for permission submitted pursuant to such subsection.*

10 “(2) *PREAPPLICATION MEETING.—At the request*
11 *of a non-Federal entity that is planning on submit-*
12 *ting an application for permission pursuant to sub-*
13 *section (a), the Secretary, acting through the office es-*
14 *tablished under paragraph (1), shall meet with the*
15 *non-Federal entity to—*

16 “(A) *provide clear, concise, and specific*
17 *technical requirements for non-Federal entity to*
18 *use in the development of the application;*

19 “(B) *recommend the number of design pack-*
20 *ages to submit for the proposed action, and the*
21 *stage of development at which to submit such*
22 *packages; and*

23 “(C) *identify potential concerns or conflicts*
24 *with such proposed actions.*

1 “(3) *CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.*—*The Secretary may*
2 *use funds accepted from a non-Federal entity under*
3 *subsection (b)(3) for purposes of conducting a meeting*
4 *described in paragraph (2).*”; and

5 (2) *in subsection (d), as so redesignated—*

6 (A) *in paragraph (1), by striking “the Sec-*
7 *retary shall inform” and inserting “the Sec-*
8 *retary, acting through the head of the office es-*
9 *tablished under subsection (c), shall inform”;*
10 *and*

11 (B) *in paragraph (2), in the matter pre-*
12 *ceding subparagraph (A), by striking “the Sec-*
13 *retary shall” and inserting “the Secretary, act-*
14 *ing through the head of the office established*
15 *under subsection (c), shall”.*

16 **SEC. 107. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION AND TRACKING OF**
17 **PERMIT APPLICATIONS.**

18 (a) *ELECTRONIC SYSTEM.*—*Section 2040(a) of the*
19 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
20 *2345(a)) is amended—*

21 (1) *in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-*
22 *VELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC” and inserting “ELEC-*
23 *TRONIC”;*

24 (2) *by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-*
25 *lows:*

1 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall imple-*
2 *ment an electronic system to allow the electronic—*

3 “(A) *preparation and submission of appli-*
4 *cations for permits and requests for jurisdic-*
5 *tional determinations under the jurisdiction of*
6 *the Secretary; and*

7 “(B) *tracking of documents related to Fed-*
8 *eral environmental reviews for projects under the*
9 *jurisdiction of the Secretary or for which the*
10 *Corps of Engineers is designated as the lead Fed-*
11 *eral agency.”;*

12 (3) *in paragraph (2)—*

13 (A) *in subparagraph (E), by striking “;*
14 *and” and inserting a semicolon;*

15 (B) *in subparagraph (F), by striking the*
16 *period at the end and inserting “; and”;* and

17 (C) *by adding at the end the following:*

18 “(G) *documents related to Federal environ-*
19 *mental reviews for projects under the jurisdiction*
20 *of the Secretary or for which the Corps of Engi-*
21 *neers is designated as the lead Federal agency.”;*
22 *and*

23 (4) *by adding at the end the following:*

24 “(5) *COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—*

25 *To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary*

1 *shall use the electronic system required under para-*
2 *graph (1) to enhance interagency coordination in the*
3 *preparation of documents related to Federal environ-*
4 *mental reviews.”.*

5 ***(b) SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.***—*Section 2040(b) of the*
6 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
7 *2345(b)) is amended—*

8 *(1) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and*
9 *inserting a semicolon;*

10 *(2) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking the period*
11 *at the end and inserting “; and”; and*

12 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

13 *“(6) enable a non-Federal interest for a project*
14 *to—*

15 *“(A) submit information related to the*
16 *preparation of any Federal environmental re-*
17 *view document associated with the project; and*

18 *“(B) track the status of a Federal environ-*
19 *mental review associated with the project.”.*

20 ***(c) RECORD RETENTION.***—*Section 2040(d) of the*
21 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
22 *2345(d)) is amended—*

23 *(1) in the subsection heading, by striking*
24 *“RECORD OF DETERMINATIONS” and inserting*
25 *“RECORD RETENTION”;*

1 (2) *in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all*
2 *Federal environmental review documents included in*
3 *the electronic system” before the period at the end;*
4 *and*

5 (3) *in paragraph (2), by inserting “and all Fed-*
6 *eral environmental review documents included in the*
7 *electronic system,” before “after the 5-year”.*

8 (d) *AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS.—Section 2040(e) of*
9 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
10 *2345(e)) is amended—*

11 (1) *in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-*
12 *TERMINATIONS” and inserting “RECORDS”; and*

13 (2) *in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all*
14 *final Federal environmental review documents in-*
15 *cluded in the electronic system,” before “available to*
16 *the public”.*

17 (e) *DEADLINE FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEM IMPLEMEN-*
18 *TATION.—Section 2040(f)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-*
19 *opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(f)(1)) is amended by*
20 *striking “2 years after the date of enactment of the Water*
21 *Resources Development Act of 2022” and inserting “1 year*
22 *after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Develop-*
23 *ment Act of 2024”.*

24 (f) *APPLICABILITY.—Section 2040(g) of the Water Re-*
25 *sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(g)) is*

1 *amended by inserting “, and the requirements described in*
2 *subsections (d) and (e) relating to Federal environmental*
3 *documents shall apply with respect to Federal environ-*
4 *mental review documents that are prepared after the date*
5 *of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of*
6 *2024” before the period at the end.*

7 *(g) E-NEPA.—*

8 *(1) CONSISTENCY.—Section 2040 of the Water*
9 *Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345)*
10 *is amended by adding at the end the following:*

11 *“(i) CONSISTENCY WITH E-NEPA.—In carrying out*
12 *this section, the Secretary shall take into consideration the*
13 *results of the permitting portal study conducted pursuant*
14 *to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the Fiscal Re-*
15 *sponsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).”.*

16 *(2) COOPERATION.—The Secretary shall cooper-*
17 *ate with the Council on Environmental Quality in*
18 *conducting the permitting portal study required pur-*
19 *suant to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the*
20 *Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).*

21 *(h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2040 of the*
22 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345)*
23 *is amended in the section heading by striking “**PERMIT***
24 ***APPLICATIONS**” and inserting “**PERMIT APPLICA-***
25 ***TIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**”.*

1 **SEC. 108. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF**
2 **STUDIES.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 1001(a) of the Water Re-
4 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
5 2282c(a)) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “of initiation”
7 and inserting “on which the Secretary determines the
8 Federal interest for purposes of the report pursuant to
9 section 905(b) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b))”; and

11 (2) in paragraph (2)—

12 (A) by striking “cost of \$3,000,000; and”
13 and inserting the following: “cost of—

14 “(A) \$3,000,000 for a project with an esti-
15 mated construction cost of less than
16 \$500,000,000; and”; and

17 (B) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(B) \$5,000,000 for a project with an esti-
19 mated construction cost of greater than or equal
20 to \$500,000,000; and”.

21 (b) *ADJUSTMENT.*—Section 905(b)(2)(B) of the Water
22 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
23 2282(b)(2)(B)) is amended by striking “\$200,000” and in-
24 serting “\$300,000”.

25 (c) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Section 905(b)(4) of
26 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.

1 2282(b)(4)) is amended by striking “(A) TIMING.—” and
2 all that follows through “The cost of” and inserting “The
3 cost of”.

4 **SEC. 109. SYSTEMWIDE IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK AND**
5 **ENCROACHMENTS.**

6 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 5(c) of the Act of August
7 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(c)) is amended—

8 (1) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the
9 following:

10 “(2) *SYSTEMWIDE IMPROVEMENT PLAN.*—

11 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Notwithstanding the
12 status of compliance of a non-Federal interest
13 with the requirements of a levee owner’s manual,
14 or any other eligibility requirement established
15 by the Secretary related to the maintenance and
16 upkeep responsibilities of the non-Federal inter-
17 est, the Secretary shall consider the non-Federal
18 interest to be eligible for repair and rehabilita-
19 tion assistance under this section if—

20 “(i) in coordination with the Sec-
21 retary, the non-Federal interest develops a
22 systemwide improvement plan that—

23 “(I) identifies any items of de-
24 ferred or inadequate maintenance and
25 upkeep, including any such items iden-

1 *tified by the Secretary or through peri-*
2 *odic inspection of the flood control*
3 *work;*

4 *“(II) identifies any additional*
5 *measures, including repair and reha-*
6 *bilitation work, that the Secretary de-*
7 *termines necessary to ensure that the*
8 *flood control work performs as designed*
9 *and intended; and*

10 *“(III) includes specific timelines*
11 *for addressing such items and meas-*
12 *ures; and*

13 *“(i) the Secretary—*

14 *“(I) determines that the system-*
15 *wide improvement plan meets the re-*
16 *quirements of clause (i); and*

17 *“(II) determines that the non-Fed-*
18 *eral interest makes satisfactory*
19 *progress in meeting the timelines de-*
20 *scribed in clause (i)(III).*

21 *“(B) GRANDFATHERED ENCROACHMENTS.—*

22 *At the request of the non-Federal interest, the*
23 *Secretary—*

24 *“(i) shall review documentation devel-*
25 *oped by the non-Federal interest showing a*

1 *covered encroachment does not negatively*
2 *impact the integrity of the flood control*
3 *work;*

4 *“(ii) shall make a written determina-*
5 *tion with respect to whether removal or*
6 *modification of such covered encroachment*
7 *is necessary to ensure the encroachment does*
8 *not negatively impact the integrity of the*
9 *flood control work; and*

10 *“(iii) may not determine that a cov-*
11 *ered encroachment is a deficiency requiring*
12 *corrective action unless such action is nec-*
13 *essary to ensure the encroachment does not*
14 *negatively impact the integrity of the flood*
15 *control work.”; and*

16 (2) *in paragraph (4), by adding at the end the*
17 *following:*

18 “(C) *COVERED ENCROACHMENT.*—*The term*
19 *‘covered encroachment’ means a permanent non-*
20 *project structure that—*

21 *“(i) is located inside the boundaries of*
22 *a flood control work;*

23 *“(ii) is depicted on construction draw-*
24 *ings or operation and maintenance plans*

1 for the flood control work that are signed by
2 an engineer of record; and
3 “(iii) is determined, by the Secretary,
4 to be an encroachment of such flood control
5 work.”.

6 (b) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Section 3011 of the
7 *Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014* (33
8 *U.S.C. 701n note*) is repealed.

9 (c) *TRANSITION.*—The amendments made by this sec-
10 *tion shall have no effect on any written agreement signed*
11 *by the Secretary and a non-Federal interest pursuant to*
12 *section 5(c)(2) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (as in effect*
13 *on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) if the*
14 *non-Federal interest otherwise continues to meet the re-*
15 *quirements of section 5(c)(2) as in effect on the day before*
16 *the date of enactment of this Act.*

17 (d) *PARTICIPATION IN PREPAREDNESS EXERCISES.*—
18 *The Secretary may not condition the eligibility of a non-*
19 *Federal interest for rehabilitation assistance under section*
20 *5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n) on the*
21 *participation of the non-Federal interest in disaster pre-*
22 *paredness exercises that are unrelated to necessary repairs,*
23 *rehabilitation, maintenance, and upkeep of a flood control*
24 *work.*

1 **SEC. 110. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

2 *Section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act*
3 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283) is amended—*

4 *(1) in subsection (d)—*

5 *(A) in paragraph (1)—*

6 *(i) by striking “After November 17,*
7 *1986, the Secretary” and inserting “The*
8 *Secretary”; and*

9 *(ii) by striking “shall not submit” and*
10 *all that follows through “unless such report*
11 *contains” and inserting “may not approve*
12 *any proposal related to a water resources*
13 *project unless the Secretary has prepared a*
14 *report relating to the project that contains”;*

15 *(B) in paragraph (2)—*

16 *(i) by striking “The Secretary” and*
17 *inserting the following:*

18 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and*

19 *(ii) by adding at the end the following:*

20 *“(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall*
21 *consult with the non-Federal interest for a water*
22 *resources project, and other stakeholders, to the*
23 *maximum extent practicable—*

24 *“(i) to identify mitigation implemen-*
25 *tation practices or accepted assessment*
26 *methodologies used in the region of the*

1 *water resources project and incorporate such*
2 *practices and methodologies into the mitiga-*
3 *tion plan for such project; and*

4 “*(i) to identify projects that have not*
5 *been constructed, or concepts described in*
6 *mitigation plans for other water resources*
7 *projects, that may be used to meet the res-*
8 *toration or mitigation needs of the water re-*
9 *sources project.*”; and

10 *(C) in paragraph (3)(B)(iv)(I), by inserting*
11 “*or a description of the requirements for a third-*
12 *party mitigation instrument that would be devel-*
13 *oped in the case that a contract for future deliv-*
14 *ery of credits will be used*” after “*to be used*”;

15 *(2) in subsection (i)(1)(A)—*

16 *(A) in clause (i), by inserting “, for imme-*
17 *diate delivery or future delivery to be identified*
18 *in the mitigation instrument” after “banks”;*
19 *and*

20 *(B) in clause (ii), by inserting “, for imme-*
21 *diate delivery or future delivery to be identified*
22 *in the mitigation instrument” after “programs”;*
23 *and*

24 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

1 “(l) *SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.*—*Mitigation of fish and*
2 *wildlife losses required under this section that is provided*
3 *in the form of credit shall be considered a separable element*
4 *of a project without requiring further evaluation.*

5 “(m) *TRANSPARENCY.*—*The Secretary shall ensure*
6 *that—*

7 “(1) *the mitigation requirements for each water*
8 *resources project—*

9 “(A) *are made publicly available (including*
10 *on a website of the headquarters of the Corps of*
11 *Engineers); and*

12 “(B) *include the location of the project, the*
13 *anticipated schedule for mitigation, the type of*
14 *mitigation required, the amount of mitigation*
15 *required, and the remaining mitigation needs;*

16 “(2) *the mitigation plan for such project is made*
17 *publicly available, as applicable;*

18 “(3) *the information described in paragraph (1)*
19 *is updated regularly; and*

20 “(4) *carrying out the requirements of this sub-*
21 *section with respect to each water resources project is*
22 *considered a project expense.*

23 “(n) *COORDINATION.*—*To the maximum extent prac-*
24 *ticable, the Secretary shall ensure that the project delivery*
25 *team and regulatory team of the Corps of Engineers work*

1 *in coordination to successfully carry out mitigation ef-*
2 *forts.”.*

3 **SEC. 111. HARBOR DEEPENING.**

4 (a) *CONSTRUCTION.*—Section 101(a)(1) of the Water
5 *Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(a)(1))*
6 *is amended by striking “50 feet” each place it appears and*
7 *inserting “55 feet”.*

8 (b) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—Section
9 *101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
10 *(33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)) is amended by striking “50 feet”*
11 *and inserting “55 feet”.*

12 **SEC. 112. EMERGING HARBORS.**

13 *Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of*
14 *this Act, the Secretary shall—*

15 (1) *issue guidance for the purpose of carrying*
16 *out section 210(c)(3)(B) of the Water Resources Devel-*
17 *opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(c)(3)(B)); and*

18 (2) *develop a mechanism to accept the non-Fed-*
19 *eral share of funds from a non-Federal interest for*
20 *maintenance dredging carried out under such section.*

21 **SEC. 113. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.**

22 *Section 2006 of the Water Resources Development Act*
23 *of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2242) is amended—*

24 (1) *in subsection (a), by striking paragraphs (1)*
25 *through (3) and inserting the following:*

1 “(1) the project would be located in the State of
2 Hawaii or Alaska, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico,
3 Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana
4 Islands, the United States Virgin Islands, or Amer-
5 ican Samoa; and

6 “(2)(A) over 80 percent of the goods transported
7 through the harbor would be consumed within the
8 United States, as determined by the Secretary, in-
9 cluding consideration of information provided by the
10 non-Federal interest; or

11 “(B) the long-term viability of the community in
12 which the project is located, or the long-term viability
13 of a community that is located in the region that is
14 served by the project and that will rely on the project,
15 would be threatened without the harbor and naviga-
16 tion improvement.”; and

17 (2) in subsection (b)—

18 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),
19 by striking “benefits of the project to” and in-
20 serting “benefits of the project to any of”; and

21 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and”
22 and inserting “; or”.

1 **SEC. 114. ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR UNDERSERVED COM-**
2 **MUNITY HARBORS.**

3 *Section 8132 of the Water Resources Development Act*
4 *of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e) is amended—*

5 *(1) in subsection (c)—*

6 *(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),*
7 *by striking “section based on an assessment of”*
8 *and all that follows through “the local or re-*
9 *gional economic benefits of the project;” and in-*
10 *serting the following: “section—*

11 *“(1) based on an assessment of—*

12 *“(A) the local or regional economic benefits*
13 *of the project;”;*

14 *(B) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and*
15 *(3) as subparagraphs (B) and (C), respectively*
16 *(and by conforming the margins accordingly);*

17 *(C) in subparagraph (C) (as so redesign-*
18 *ated) by striking the period at the end and in-*
19 *serting “; and”; and*

20 *(D) by adding at the end the following:*

21 *“(2) that are located—*

22 *“(A) in a harbor where passenger and*
23 *freight service is provided to island communities*
24 *dependent on that service; or*

1 “(B) in a lake, or any related connecting
2 channels, within the United States that is in-
3 cluded in the Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909.”;

4 (2) in subsection (g)(2), in the matter preceding
5 subparagraph (A), by inserting “, or a marina or
6 berthing area that is located adjacent to, or is acces-
7 sible by, a Federal navigation project,” before “for
8 which”; and

9 (3) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(i) **PROJECTS FOR MARINA OR BERTHING AREAS.**—
11 *The Secretary may carry out not more than 10 projects*
12 *under this section that are projects for an underserved com-*
13 *munity harbor that is a marina or berthing area described*
14 *in subsection (g)(2).”.*

15 **SEC. 115. INLAND WATERWAYS REGIONAL DREDGE PILOT**
16 **PROGRAM.**

17 *Section 8133(c) of the Water Resources Development*
18 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3720) is amended to read as follows:*

19 “(c) **PROJECTS.**—*In awarding contracts under sub-*
20 *section (a), the Secretary shall consider projects that—*

21 “(1) *improve navigation reliability on inland*
22 *waterways that are accessible year-round;*

23 “(2) *increase freight capacity on inland water-*
24 *ways; and*

1 “(3) *have the potential to enhance the avail-*
2 *ability of containerized cargo on inland waterways.*”.

3 **SEC. 116. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITY PART-**
4 **NERSHIPS.**

5 *Section 217(b) of the Water Resources Development Act*
6 *of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(b)) is amended—*

7 *(1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-*
8 *lows:*

9 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—*

10 “(A) *NON-FEDERAL USE.—The Secretary—*

11 *“(i) at the request of a non-Federal en-*
12 *tity, may permit the use of any dredged*
13 *material disposal facility under the juris-*
14 *isdiction of, or managed by, the Secretary by*
15 *the non-Federal entity if the Secretary de-*
16 *termines that such use will not reduce the*
17 *availability of the facility for the authorized*
18 *water resources development project on a*
19 *channel in the vicinity of the disposal facil-*
20 *ity;*

21 *“(ii) at the request of a non-Federal*
22 *entity, shall permit the non-Federal entity*
23 *to use a non-Federal disposal facility for the*
24 *disposal of material dredged by the non-*

1 *Federal entity, regardless of any connection*
2 *to a Federal navigation project, if—*

3 *“(I) permission for such use has*
4 *been granted by the owner of the non-*
5 *Federal disposal facility; and*

6 *“(II) the Secretary determines*
7 *that the dredged material disposal*
8 *needs required to maintain, perform*
9 *authorized deepening, or restore the*
10 *navigability and functionality of au-*
11 *thorized navigation channels in the vi-*
12 *cinity of the non-Federal disposal fa-*
13 *cility for the 20-year period following*
14 *the date of the request, including all*
15 *planned and routine dredging oper-*
16 *ations necessary to maintain such*
17 *channels for the authorized purposes*
18 *during such period, can be met by the*
19 *available gross capacity of other*
20 *dredged material disposal facilities in*
21 *the vicinity of the non-Federal disposal*
22 *facility; and*

23 *“(iii) shall impose fees to recover cap-*
24 *ital, operation, and maintenance costs asso-*
25 *ciated with such uses.*

1 “(B) *DETERMINATIONS.—The Secretary*
2 *shall—*

3 “(i) *delegate determinations under*
4 *clauses (i) and (ii)(II) of subparagraph (A)*
5 *to the District Commander of the district in*
6 *which the relevant disposal facility is lo-*
7 *cated; and*

8 “(ii) *make such determinations not*
9 *later than 90 days after receiving the appli-*
10 *cable request.”;*

11 (2) *in paragraph (2)—*

12 (A) *in the paragraph heading, by striking*
13 *“USE OF FEES” and inserting “FEES”;*

14 (B) *by striking “Notwithstanding” and in-*
15 *serting the following:*

16 “(A) *USE.—Notwithstanding*”; and

17 (C) *by adding at the end the following:*

18 “(B) *REDUCTION IN AMOUNT.—In collecting*
19 *any fee under this subsection, the Secretary shall*
20 *reduce the amount imposed under paragraph*
21 *(1)(A)(iii) to account for improvements made to*
22 *the non-Federal disposal facility by the non-Fed-*
23 *eral entity to recover the capacity of the non-*
24 *Federal disposal facility.”; and*

25 (3) *by adding at the end the following:*

1 “(3) *DISPOSITION STUDIES.*—

2 “(A) *REQUIREMENT.*—*Upon request by the*
3 *owner of a non-Federal disposal facility, the Sec-*
4 *retary shall carry out a disposition study of the*
5 *non-Federal disposal facility, in accordance with*
6 *section 1168 of the Water Resources Development*
7 *Act of 2018 (33 U.S.C. 578b), if—*

8 “(i) *the Secretary has not used the*
9 *non-Federal disposal facility for the dis-*
10 *posal of dredged material during the 20-*
11 *year period preceding the date of the re-*
12 *quest; and*

13 “(ii) *the Secretary determines that the*
14 *non-Federal disposal facility is not needed*
15 *for such use by the Secretary during the 20-*
16 *year period following the date of the request.*

17 “(B) *CONCLUSIVE PRESUMPTIONS.*—*For*
18 *purposes of carrying out a disposition study re-*
19 *quired under subparagraph (A), the Secretary*
20 *shall—*

21 “(i) *consider the non-Federal disposal*
22 *facility to be a separable element of a*
23 *project; and*

1 “(ii) consider a Federal interest in the
2 non-Federal disposal facility to no longer
3 exist.

4 “(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

5 “(A) GROSS CAPACITY.—The term ‘gross ca-
6 pacity’ means the total quantity of dredged ma-
7 terial that may be placed in a dredged material
8 disposal facility, taking into consideration any
9 additional capacity that can be constructed at
10 the facility.

11 “(B) NON-FEDERAL DISPOSAL FACILITY.—
12 The term ‘non-Federal disposal facility’ means a
13 dredged material disposal facility under the ju-
14 risdiction of, or managed by, the Secretary that
15 is owned by a non-Federal entity.”.

16 **SEC. 117. MAXIMIZATION OF BENEFICIAL USE.**

17 (a) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—Sec-
18 tion 1122 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016
19 (33 U.S.C. 2326 note) is amended—

20 (1) in subsection (a)—

21 (A) by striking “Not later than 90 days
22 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
23 retary shall establish a pilot program” and in-
24 serting “The Secretary is authorized”; and

1 (B) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting
2 the following:

3 “(1) promoting resiliency and reducing the risk
4 to property and infrastructure of flooding and storm
5 damage;”;

6 (2) in subsection (b)—

7 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),
8 by striking “the pilot program” and inserting
9 “this section”;

10 (B) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting
11 the following:

12 “(1) identify and carry out projects for the bene-
13 ficial use of dredged material;”;

14 (3) in subsection (c)(1)—

15 (A) by striking “In carrying out the pilot
16 program, the” and inserting “The”; and

17 (B) by striking “under the pilot program”
18 and inserting “under this section”;

19 (4) in subsection (d), in the matter preceding
20 paragraph (1), by striking “the pilot program” and
21 inserting “this section”;

22 (5) in subsection (f)—

23 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “the pilot
24 program” and inserting “this section”; and

1 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking “the pilot
2 program” and inserting “the implementation of
3 this section”; and

4 (6) by striking subsection (g) and redesignating
5 subsection (h) as subsection (g).

6 (b) *REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT*.—Section 204
7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C.
8 2326) is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “rehabilita-
10 tion of projects” and inserting “rehabilitation of
11 projects, including projects for the beneficial use of
12 dredged materials described in section 1122 of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.
14 2326 note),”; and

15 (2) in subsection (f), by adding at the end the
16 following:

17 “(12) Osceola County, Florida.”.

18 (c) *BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL*.—Sec-
19 tion 125(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
20 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g) is amended—

21 (1) by striking “It is the policy” and inserting
22 the following:

23 “(A) *POLICY*.—It is the policy”; and

24 (2) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(B) NATIONAL GOAL.—To the greatest ex-
2 tent practicable, the Secretary shall ensure that
3 not less than 70 percent by tonnage of suitable
4 dredged material obtained from the construction
5 or operation and maintenance of water resources
6 development projects is used beneficially.”.

7 (d) MAXIMIZATION OF BENEFICIAL USE IN DREDGED
8 MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.—Each dredged material
9 management plan for a federally authorized water resources
10 development project, and each regional sediment plan devel-
11 oped under section 204 of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326), including any such plan
13 under development on the date of enactment of this Act,
14 shall—

15 (1) maximize the beneficial use of suitable
16 dredged material; and

17 (2) to the maximum extent practicable, prioritize
18 the use of such dredged material in water resources
19 development projects in areas vulnerable to coastal
20 land loss or shoreline erosion.

21 (e) TRANSFER OF SUITABLE DREDGED MATERIAL.—
22 The Secretary is authorized to transfer to a non-Federal
23 interest at no cost, for the purpose of beneficial use, suitable
24 dredged material that the Secretary has determined is in

1 *excess of the amounts of such material identified as needed*
2 *for use by the Secretary.*

3 **SEC. 118. ECONOMIC, HYDRAULIC, AND HYDROLOGIC MOD-**
4 **ELING.**

5 (a) *MODEL DEVELOPMENT.*—*The Secretary, in col-*
6 *laboration with other Federal and State agencies, National*
7 *Laboratories, and nonprofit research institutions (includ-*
8 *ing institutions of higher education and centers and labora-*
9 *tories focused on economics or water resources), shall de-*
10 *velop, update, and maintain economic, hydraulic, and hy-*
11 *drologic models, including models for compound flooding,*
12 *for use in the planning, design formulation, modification,*
13 *and operation of water resources development projects and*
14 *water resources planning.*

15 (b) *COORDINATION AND USE OF MODELS AND DATA.*—
16 *In carrying out subsection (a), to the extent practicable, the*
17 *Secretary shall—*

18 (1) *work with the non-Federal interest for a*
19 *water resources development project to identify exist-*
20 *ing relevant economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic*
21 *models and data;*

22 (2) *utilize, where appropriate, economic, hydraul-*
23 *ic, and hydrologic models and data provided to the*
24 *Secretary by the agencies, laboratories, and institu-*
25 *tions described in subsection (a); and*

1 (3) upon written request by a non-Federal inter-
2 est for a project, provide to the non-Federal interest
3 draft or working economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic
4 models, and any data generated by such models with
5 respect to the project, not later than 30 days after re-
6 ceiving such request; and

7 (4) in accordance with section 2017 of the Water
8 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2342),
9 make final economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic mod-
10 els, and any data generated by such models, available
11 to the public, as quickly as practicable, but not later
12 than 30 days after receiving a written request for
13 such models or data.

14 (c) *MODEL OUTPUTS.*—To the extent practicable and
15 appropriate, the Secretary shall incorporate data generated
16 by models developed under this section into the formulation
17 of feasibility studies for, and the operation of, water re-
18 sources development projects.

19 (d) *FUNDING.*—The Secretary is authorized to transfer
20 to other Federal and State agencies, National Laboratories,
21 and nonprofit research institutions, including institutions
22 of higher education, such funds as may be necessary to
23 carry out subsection (a) from amounts available to the Sec-
24 retary.

1 (e) *IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION CREDIT.*—A partnership
2 agreement entered into under section 221 of the Flood Con-
3 trol Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) may provide, at the
4 request of the non-Federal interest for the applicable project,
5 that the Secretary credit toward the non-Federal share of
6 the cost of the project the value of economic, hydraulic, and
7 hydrologic models required for the project that are developed
8 by the non-Federal interest in accordance with any policies
9 and guidelines applicable to the relevant partnership agree-
10 ment pursuant to such section.

11 (f) *REVIEW.*—The Secretary shall review economic, hy-
12 draulic, and hydrologic models developed under this section
13 in the same manner as any such models developed under
14 any other authority of the Secretary.

15 (g) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

16 (1) *COMPOUND FLOODING.*—The term “com-
17 pound flooding” means a flooding event in which two
18 or more flood drivers, such as coastal storm surge-
19 driven flooding and inland rainfall-driven flooding,
20 occur simultaneously or in close succession and the
21 potential adverse effects of the combined flood drivers
22 may be greater than that of the individual flood driv-
23 er components.

24 (2) *ECONOMIC.*—The term “economic”, as used
25 in reference to models, means relating to the evalua-

1 *tion of benefits and cost attributable to a project for*
2 *an economic justification under section 209 of the*
3 *Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962–2).*

4 **SEC. 119. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**

5 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*In updating a water control man-*
6 *ual for any reservoir constructed, owned, or operated by the*
7 *Secretary, including a reservoir for which the Secretary is*
8 *authorized to prescribe regulations for the use of storage al-*
9 *located for flood control or navigation pursuant to section*
10 *7 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 709), the Sec-*
11 *retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, incor-*
12 *porate the use of forecast-informed reservoir operations.*

13 (b) *GUIDELINES.*—*The Secretary, in coordination*
14 *with relevant Federal and State agencies and non-Federal*
15 *interests, shall issue clear and concise guidelines for incor-*
16 *porating the use of forecast-informed reservoir operations*
17 *into water control manuals for reservoirs described in sub-*
18 *section (a).*

19 (c) *ASSESSMENT.*—

20 (1) *REQUIREMENT.*—*The Secretary shall carry*
21 *out an assessment of geographically diverse reservoirs*
22 *described in subsection (a) to determine the viability*
23 *of using forecast-informed reservoir operations at such*
24 *reservoirs.*

1 (2) *PRIORITY AREAS.*—*In carrying out the as-*
2 *essment described in paragraph (1), the Secretary*
3 *shall include an assessment of—*

4 (A) *each reservoir located in the South Pa-*
5 *cific Division of the Corps of Engineers; and*

6 (B) *reservoirs located in each of the North-*
7 *western Division and the South Atlantic Divi-*
8 *sion of the Corps of Engineers.*

9 (3) *CONSULTATION.*—*In carrying out this sub-*
10 *section, the Secretary shall consult with relevant Fed-*
11 *eral and State agencies and non-Federal interests.*

12 **SEC. 120. UPDATES TO CERTAIN WATER CONTROL MANU-**
13 **ALS.**

14 *Section 8109 of the Water Resources Development Act*
15 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3702) is amended by inserting “or that*
16 *incorporate the use of forecast-informed reservoir operations*
17 *into such manuals” before the period at the end.*

18 **SEC. 121. WATER SUPPLY MISSION.**

19 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall—*

20 (1) *include water supply as a primary mission*
21 *of the Corps of Engineers in planning, prioritization,*
22 *designing, constructing, modifying, operating, and*
23 *maintaining water resources development projects;*
24 *and*

1 (2) *give equal consideration to the water supply*
2 *mission in the planning, prioritization, designing,*
3 *constructing, modifying, operating, and maintaining*
4 *of water resources development projects.*

5 **(b) LIMITATIONS.—**

6 (1) *NO NEW AUTHORITY.—Nothing in subsection*
7 *(a) authorizes the Secretary to initiate a water re-*
8 *sources development project or modify an authorized*
9 *water resources development project.*

10 (2) *LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in subsection (a) af-*
11 *fects—*

12 *(A) any existing authority of the Secretary,*
13 *including—*

14 *(i) authorities of the Secretary with re-*
15 *spect to navigation, hydropower, flood con-*
16 *trol, and environmental protection and res-*
17 *toration;*

18 *(ii) the authority of the Secretary*
19 *under section 6 of the Flood Control Act of*
20 *1944 (33 U.S.C. 708); and*

21 *(iii) the authority of the Secretary*
22 *under section 301 of the Water Supply Act*
23 *of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);*

24 *(B) any applications for permits under the*
25 *jurisdiction of the Secretary, or lawsuits relating*

1 to such permits or water resources development
2 projects, pending as of the date of enactment of
3 this Act;

4 (C) the application of any procedures to as-
5 sure public notice and an opportunity for public
6 hearing for such permits; or

7 (D) the authority of a State to manage, use,
8 or allocate the water resources of that State.

9 (c) *REPORTS.*—

10 (1) *INITIAL REPORT.*—Not later than 1 year
11 after the date of enactment of this section, the Sec-
12 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
13 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
14 tives and the Committee on Environment and Public
15 Works of the Senate a report detailing—

16 (A) the steps taken to comply with sub-
17 section (a); and

18 (B) actions identified by non-Federal inter-
19 ests that may be taken, consistent with existing
20 authorized purposes of the applicable water re-
21 sources development projects, to—

22 (i) reallocate storage space in existing
23 water resources development projects for
24 municipal and industrial water supply
25 purposes pursuant to section 301 of the

1 *Water Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C.*
2 *390b);*

3 *(ii) enter into surplus water supply*
4 *contracts pursuant to section 6 of the Flood*
5 *Control Act of 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708);*

6 *(iii) modify the operations of an exist-*
7 *ing water resources development project to*
8 *produce water supply benefits incidental to,*
9 *and consistent with, the authorized purposes*
10 *of the project, including by—*

11 *(I) adjusting the timing of re-*
12 *leases for other authorized purposes to*
13 *create opportunities for water supply*
14 *conservation, use, and storage;*

15 *(II) capturing stormwater;*

16 *(III) releasing water from storage*
17 *to replenish aquifer storage and recov-*
18 *ery; and*

19 *(IV) carrying out other conserva-*
20 *tion measures that enhance the use of*
21 *a project for water supply; and*

22 *(iv) cooperate with State, regional, and*
23 *local governments and planning authorities*
24 *to identify strategies to augment water sup-*
25 *ply, enhance drought resiliency, promote*

1 *contingency planning, and assist in the*
2 *planning and development of alternative*
3 *water sources.*

4 (2) *FINAL REPORT.*—*Not later than 3 years after*
5 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
6 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
7 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
8 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
9 *Senate a report that includes—*

10 (A) *identification of—*

11 (i) *the steps taken to comply with sub-*
12 *section (a); and*

13 (ii) *the specific actions identified*
14 *under paragraph (1)(B) that were taken;*
15 *and*

16 (B) *an assessment of the results of such*
17 *steps and actions.*

18 **SEC. 122. REAL ESTATE ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.**

19 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 30 days after the*
20 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall initiate*
21 *the development of guidance to standardize processes for de-*
22 *veloping, updating, and tracking real estate administrative*
23 *fees administered by the Corps of Engineers.*

24 (b) *GUIDANCE.*—*In developing guidance under sub-*
25 *section (a), the Secretary shall—*

1 (1) *outline standard methodologies to estimate*
2 *costs for purposes of setting real estate administrative*
3 *fees;*

4 (2) *define the types of activities involved in*
5 *managing real estate instruments that are included*
6 *for purposes of setting such fees;*

7 (3) *establish cost-tracking procedures to capture*
8 *data relating to the activities described in paragraph*
9 *(2) for purposes of setting such fees;*

10 (4) *outline a schedule for divisions or districts of*
11 *the Corps of Engineers to review, and update as ap-*
12 *propriate, real estate administrative fees, including*
13 *specifying what such reviews should entail and the*
14 *frequency of such reviews; and*

15 (5) *provide opportunities for stakeholder input*
16 *on real estate administrative fees.*

17 (c) *PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.—The Secretary shall make*
18 *publicly available on the website of each Corps of Engineers*
19 *district—*

20 (1) *the guidance developed under this section;*
21 *and*

22 (2) *any other relevant information on real estate*
23 *administrative fees, including lists of real estate in-*
24 *struments requiring such fees, and methodologies used*
25 *to set such fees.*

1 **SEC. 123. CHALLENGE COST-SHARING PROGRAM FOR MAN-**
2 **AGEMENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

3 *Section 225 of the Water Resources Development Act*
4 *of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2328) is amended—*

5 *(1) in subsection (b)—*

6 *(A) by striking “To implement” and insert-*
7 *ing the following:*

8 *“(1) IN GENERAL.—To implement”.*

9 *(B) in paragraph (1) (as so designated), by*
10 *striking “non-Federal public and private enti-*
11 *ties” and inserting “non-Federal public entities*
12 *and private nonprofit entities”; and*

13 *(C) by adding at the end the following:*

14 *“(2) REQUIREMENTS.—Before entering into an*
15 *agreement under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall*
16 *ensure that the non-Federal public entity or private*
17 *nonprofit entity has the authority and capability—*

18 *“(A) to carry out the terms of the agree-*
19 *ment; and*

20 *“(B) to pay damages, if necessary, in the*
21 *event of a failure to perform.”;*

22 *(2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the*
23 *following:*

24 *“(c) USER FEES.—*

25 *“(1) COLLECTION OF FEES.—*

1 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may*
2 *allow a non-Federal public entity or private*
3 *nonprofit entity that has entered into an agree-*
4 *ment pursuant to subsection (b) to collect user*
5 *fees for the use of developed recreation sites and*
6 *facilities, whether developed or constructed by the*
7 *non-Federal public entity or private nonprofit*
8 *entity or the Department of the Army.*

9 “(B) *USE OF VISITOR RESERVATION SERV-*
10 *ICES.*—

11 “(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*A non-Federal pub-*
12 *lic entity or a private nonprofit entity de-*
13 *scribed in subparagraph (A) may use, to*
14 *manage fee collections and reservations*
15 *under this section, any visitor reservation*
16 *service that the Secretary has provided for*
17 *by contract or interagency agreement, sub-*
18 *ject to such terms and conditions as the Sec-*
19 *retary determines to be appropriate.*

20 “(ii) *TRANSFER.*—*The Secretary may*
21 *transfer, or cause to be transferred by an-*
22 *other Federal agency, to a non-Federal pub-*
23 *lic entity or a private nonprofit entity de-*
24 *scribed in subparagraph (A) user fees re-*
25 *ceived by the Secretary or other Federal*

1 *agency under a visitor reservation service*
2 *described in clause (i) for recreation facili-*
3 *ties and natural resources managed by the*
4 *non-Federal public entity or private non-*
5 *profit entity pursuant to a cooperative*
6 *agreement entered into under subsection (b).*

7 “(2) *USE OF FEES.—*

8 “(A) *IN GENERAL.—A non-Federal public*
9 *entity or private nonprofit entity that collects a*
10 *user fee under paragraph (1)—*

11 “(i) *may retain up to 100 percent of*
12 *the fees collected, as determined by the Sec-*
13 *retary; and*

14 “(ii) *notwithstanding section 210(b)(4)*
15 *of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C.*
16 *460d–3(b)(4)), shall use any retained*
17 *amounts for operation, maintenance, and*
18 *management activities relating to recreation*
19 *and natural resources at recreation site at*
20 *which the fee is collected.*

21 “(B) *REQUIREMENTS.—The use by a non-*
22 *Federal public entity or private nonprofit entity*
23 *of user fees collected under paragraph (1)—*

24 “(i) *shall remain subject to the direc-*
25 *tion and oversight of the Secretary; and*

1 “(ii) shall not affect any existing
2 third-party property interest, lease, or
3 agreement with the Secretary.

4 “(3) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—*The authority of*
5 *a non-Federal public entity or private nonprofit enti-*
6 *ty under this subsection shall be subject to such terms*
7 *and conditions as the Secretary determines to be nec-*
8 *essary to protect the interests of the United States.”;*
9 *and*

10 (3) *in subsection (d)*—

11 (A) *by striking “For purposes” and insert-*
12 *ing the following:*

13 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*For purposes”;* and

14 (B) *by striking “non-Federal public and*
15 *private entities. Any funds received by the Sec-*
16 *retary under this section” and inserting the fol-*
17 *lowing: “non-Federal public entities, private*
18 *nonprofit entities, and other private entities.*

19 “(2) *DEPOSIT OF FUNDS.*—*Any funds received*
20 *by the Secretary under this subsection”;* and

21 (4) *by adding at the end the following:*

22 “(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

23 “(1) *NON-FEDERAL PUBLIC ENTITY.*—*The term*
24 *‘non-Federal public entity’ means a non-Federal pub-*
25 *lic entity as defined in the memorandum issued by*

1 *the Corp of Engineers on April 4, 2018, and titled*
2 *‘Implementation Guidance for Section 1155, Manage-*
3 *ment of Recreation Facilities, of the Water Resources*
4 *Development Act (WRDA) of 2016, Public Law 114–*
5 *322’.*

6 *“(2) PRIVATE NONPROFIT ENTITY.—The term*
7 *‘private nonprofit entity’ means an organization that*
8 *is described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue*
9 *Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under section*
10 *501(a) of that Code.”.*

11 **SEC. 124. RETENTION OF RECREATION FEES.**

12 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 210(b) of the Flood Control*
13 *Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. 460d–3(b)) is amended—*

14 *(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “Notwith-*
15 *standing” and all that follows through “to establish”*
16 *and inserting “Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), the*
17 *Secretary of the Army may establish”;*

18 *(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “vehicle. Such*
19 *maximum amount” and inserting “vehicle, which*
20 *amount”;* and

21 *(3) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the*
22 *following:*

23 *“(4) DEPOSIT IN TREASURY.—Subject to para-*
24 *graph (5), the fees collected under this subsection shall*

1 *be deposited in the Treasury of the United States as*
2 *miscellaneous receipts.*

3 “(5) *RETENTION AND USE BY SECRETARY.*—

4 “(A) *RETENTION.*—*Of the fees collected*
5 *under this subsection, the Secretary may retain,*
6 *for use in accordance with subparagraph*
7 *(B)(ii)—*

8 “(i) *for each fiscal year during the 10-*
9 *year period beginning on the date of enact-*
10 *ment of this paragraph an amount equal to*
11 *the difference between—*

12 “(I) *the total amount of fees col-*
13 *lected under this subsection for the ap-*
14 *plicable fiscal year;*

15 “(II) *for fiscal year 2024,*
16 *\$61,000,000;*

17 “(III) *for fiscal year 2025,*
18 *\$63,000,000;*

19 “(IV) *for fiscal year 2026,*
20 *\$64,000,000;*

21 “(V) *for fiscal year 2027,*
22 *\$66,000,000;*

23 “(VI) *for fiscal year 2028,*
24 *\$67,000,000;*

1 “(VII) for fiscal year 2029,
2 \$69,000,000;

3 “(VIII) for fiscal year 2030,
4 \$71,000,000;

5 “(IX) for fiscal year 2031,
6 \$72,000,000; and

7 “(X) for each of fiscal years 2032
8 through 2034, \$74,000,000; and

9 “(ii) for the first fiscal year after the
10 10-year period described in clause (i), and
11 each fiscal year thereafter, the total amount
12 of fees collected under this subsection for the
13 fiscal year.

14 “(B) USE.—The amounts retained by the
15 Secretary under subparagraph (A) shall—

16 “(i) be deposited in a special account,
17 to be established in the Treasury; and

18 “(ii) be available for use, without fur-
19 ther appropriation, for the operation and
20 maintenance of recreation sites and facili-
21 ties under the jurisdiction of the Secretary,
22 subject to the condition that not less than 80
23 percent of fees collected at a specific recre-
24 ation site shall be used at such site.

1 “(6) *TREATMENT.*—*Fees collected under this sub-*
2 *section—*

3 “(A) *shall be in addition to annual appro-*
4 *propriated funding provided for the operation and*
5 *maintenance of recreation sites and facilities*
6 *under the jurisdiction of the Secretary; and*

7 “(B) *shall not be used as a basis for reduc-*
8 *ing annual appropriated funding for such oper-*
9 *ation and maintenance.”.*

10 (b) *SPECIAL ACCOUNTS.*—*Amounts in the special ac-*
11 *count for the Corps of Engineers described in section*
12 *210(b)(4) of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. 460d–*
13 *3(b)(4)) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment*
14 *of this Act) that are unobligated on that date shall—*

15 (1) *be transferred to the special account estab-*
16 *lished under paragraph (5)(B)(i) of section 210(b) of*
17 *the Flood Control Act of 1968 (as added by subsection*
18 *(a)(3)); and*

19 (2) *be available to the Secretary of the Army for*
20 *operation and maintenance of any recreation sites*
21 *and facilities under the jurisdiction of the Secretary*
22 *of the Army, without further appropriation, subject to*
23 *paragraph (5)(B)(ii) of such section (as added by*
24 *subsection (a)(3)).*

1 **SEC. 125. DATABASES OF CORPS RECREATIONAL SITES.**

2 *The Secretary shall regularly update publicly avail-*
3 *able databases maintained, or cooperatively maintained, by*
4 *the Corps of Engineers with information on sites operated*
5 *or maintained by the Secretary that are used for rec-*
6 *reational purposes, including the operational status of, and*
7 *the recreational opportunities available at, such sites.*

8 **SEC. 126. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

9 *The Secretary may recognize a volunteer providing*
10 *services under the heading “Department of Defense—*
11 *Civil—Department of the Army—Corps of Engineers—*
12 *Civil—General Provisions” in chapter IV of title I of the*
13 *Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569e)*
14 *through an award or other appropriate means, except that*
15 *such award may not be in the form of a cash award.*

16 **SEC. 127. NONRECREATION OUTGRANT POLICY.**

17 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the*
18 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update*
19 *the policy guidance of the Corps of Engineers for the evalua-*
20 *tion and approval of nonrecreational real estate outgrant*
21 *requests for the installation, on lands and waters operated*
22 *and maintained by the Secretary, of infrastructure for the*
23 *provision of broadband services.*

24 *(b) REQUIREMENTS.—In updating the policy guidance*
25 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that the pol-*
26 *icy guidance—*

1 (1) *requires the consideration of benefits to the*
2 *public in evaluating a request described in subsection*
3 *(a);*

4 (2) *requires the Secretary to consider financial*
5 *factors when determining whether there is a viable al-*
6 *ternative to the installation for which approval is re-*
7 *quested as described in subsection (a);*

8 (3) *requires that a request described in sub-*
9 *section (a) be expeditiously approved or denied after*
10 *submission of a completed application for such re-*
11 *quest; and*

12 (4) *requires the Secretary to include in any de-*
13 *denial of such a request detailed information on the jus-*
14 *tification for the denial.*

15 (c) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section affects*
16 *or alters the responsibility of the Secretary—*

17 (1) *to sustain and protect the natural resources*
18 *of lands and waters operated and maintained by the*
19 *Secretary; or*

20 (2) *to carry out a water resources development*
21 *project consistent with the purposes for which such*
22 *project is authorized.*

1 **SEC. 128. IMPROVEMENTS TO NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) *DEFINITIONS.*—Section 2 of the National Dam
4 Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467) is amended—

5 (1) by redesignating paragraph (16) as para-
6 graph (17); and

7 (2) by inserting after paragraph (15) the fol-
8 lowing:

9 “(16) *UNDERSERVED COMMUNITY.*—The term
10 ‘underserved community’ means a community with a
11 population of less than 50,000 that has a median
12 household income of less than 80 percent of the state-
13 wide median household income.”.

14 (b) *NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND LOW-HEAD*
15 *DAMS.*—Section 6 of the National Dam Safety Program Act
16 (33 U.S.C. 467d) is amended to read as follows:

17 **“SEC. 6. NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND LOW-HEAD**
18 **DAMS.**

19 “(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Army shall
20 maintain and update information on the inventory of dams
21 and low-head dams in the United States.

22 “(b) *DAMS.*—The inventory maintained under sub-
23 section (a) shall include any available information assess-
24 ing each dam based on inspections completed by a Federal
25 agency, a State dam safety agency, or a Tribal government.

1 “(c) *LOW-HEAD DAMS.—The inventory maintained*
2 *under subsection (a) shall include—*

3 “(1) *the location, ownership, description, current*
4 *use, condition, height, and length of each low-head*
5 *dam;*

6 “(2) *any information on public safety conditions*
7 *at each low-head dam; and*

8 “(3) *any other relevant information concerning*
9 *low-head dams.*

10 “(d) *DATA.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-*
11 *retary shall—*

12 “(1) *coordinate with Federal and State agencies,*
13 *Tribal governments, and other relevant entities; and*

14 “(2) *use data provided to the Secretary by those*
15 *agencies and entities.*

16 “(e) *PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall make*
17 *the inventory maintained under subsection (a) publicly*
18 *available (including on a publicly available website), in-*
19 *cluding—*

20 “(1) *public safety information on the dangers of*
21 *low-head dams; and*

22 “(2) *a directory of financial and technical assist-*
23 *ance resources available to reduce safety hazards and*
24 *fish passage barriers at low-head dams.*

1 “(f) *CLARIFICATION.*—*Nothing in this section provides*
2 *authority to the Secretary to carry out an activity, with*
3 *respect to a low-head dam, that is not explicitly authorized*
4 *under this section.*”

5 “(g) *LOW-HEAD DAM DEFINED.*—*In this section, the*
6 *term ‘low-head dam’ means a river-wide artificial barrier*
7 *that generally spans a stream channel, blocking the water-*
8 *way and creating a backup of water behind the barrier,*
9 *with a drop off over the wall of not less than 6 inches and*
10 *not more than 25 feet.*”

11 (c) *REHABILITATION OF HIGH HAZARD POTENTIAL*
12 *DAMS.*—*Section 8A of the National Dam Safety Program*
13 *Act (33 U.S.C. 467f–2) is amended—*

14 (1) *in subsection (c)(2), by striking subpara-*
15 *graph (C) and inserting the following:*

16 “(C) *GRANT ASSURANCE.*—*As part of a*
17 *grant agreement under subparagraph (B), the*
18 *Administrator shall require that each eligible*
19 *subrecipient to which the State awards a grant*
20 *under this section provides an assurance from*
21 *the dam owner, with respect to the dam to be re-*
22 *habilitated, that the dam owner will carry out a*
23 *plan for maintenance of the dam during the ex-*
24 *pected life of the dam.*”;

1 (2) *in subsection (d)(2)(C), by striking “commit”*
2 *and inserting “for a project not including removal,*
3 *obtain a commitment from the dam owner”;*

4 (3) *by striking subsection (e) and inserting the*
5 *following:*

6 “(e) *FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLANS.—*

7 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—As a condition of receipt of*
8 *assistance under this section, an eligible subrecipient*
9 *shall demonstrate that a floodplain management plan*
10 *to reduce the impacts of future flood events from a*
11 *controlled or uncontrolled release from the dam or*
12 *management of water levels in the area impacted by*
13 *the dam—*

14 “(A) *for a removal—*

15 “(i) *is in place; and*

16 “(ii) *identifies areas that would be im-*
17 *acted by the removal of the dam and in-*
18 *cludes a communication and outreach plan*
19 *for the project and the impact of the project*
20 *on the affected communities; or*

21 “(B) *for a project not including removal—*

22 “(i) *is in place; or*

23 “(ii) *will be—*

24 “(I) *developed not later than 2*
25 *years after the date of execution of a*

1 *project agreement for assistance under*
2 *this section; and*

3 *“(II) implemented not later than*
4 *2 years after the date of completion of*
5 *construction of the project.*

6 *“(2) REQUIREMENT.—In the case of a plan for*
7 *a removal, the Administrator may not impose any*
8 *additional requirements or conditions other than the*
9 *requirements in paragraph (1)(A).*

10 *“(3) INCLUSIONS.—A plan under paragraph*
11 *(1)(B) shall address—*

12 *“(A) potential measures, practices, and*
13 *policies to reduce loss of life, injuries, damage to*
14 *property and facilities, public expenditures, and*
15 *other adverse impacts of flooding in the area*
16 *protected or impacted by the dam;*

17 *“(B) plans for flood fighting and evacu-*
18 *ation; and*

19 *“(C) public education and awareness of*
20 *flood risks.*

21 *“(4) PLAN CRITERIA AND TECHNICAL SUP-*
22 *PORT.—The Administrator, in consultation with the*
23 *Board, shall provide criteria, and may provide tech-*
24 *nical support, for the development and implementa-*

1 *tion of floodplain management plans prepared under*
2 *this subsection.”;*

3 *(4) in subsection (g)(1)—*

4 *(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “Any”*
5 *and inserting “Except as provided in subpara-*
6 *graph (C), any”;* and

7 *(B) by adding at the end the following:*

8 *“(C) UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES.—Sub-*
9 *paragraph (A) shall not apply to a project car-*
10 *ried out by or for the benefit of an underserved*
11 *community.”.*

12 *(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section*
13 *14 of the National Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C.*
14 *467j) is amended—*

15 *(1) in subsection (a)—*

16 *(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “2023”*
17 *and inserting “2028”;* and

18 *(B) in paragraph (2)—*

19 *(i) in subparagraph (A), by inserting*
20 *“and low-head dams” after “inventory of*
21 *dams” each place it appears; and*

22 *(ii) by amending subparagraph (B) to*
23 *read as follows:*

24 *“(B) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF ALLOCATION.—*

25 *The amount of funds allocated to a State under*

1 *this paragraph for a fiscal year may not exceed*
2 *the amount that is equal to 4 times the amount*
3 *of funds committed by the State to implement*
4 *dam safety activities for that fiscal year.”;*

5 (2) *in subsection (b)—*

6 (A) *by striking the subsection heading and*
7 *inserting “NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND*
8 *LOW-HEAD DAMS”;* *and*

9 (B) *by striking “2023” and inserting*
10 *“2028”;*

11 (3) *in subsection (c), by striking “2023” and in-*
12 *serting “2028”;*

13 (4) *in subsection (d), by striking “2023” and in-*
14 *serting “2028”;*

15 (5) *in subsection (e), by striking “2023” and in-*
16 *serting “2028”;* *and*

17 (6) *in subsection (f), by striking “2023” and in-*
18 *serting “2028”.*

19 (e) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 15 of the Na-*
20 *tional Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467o) is re-*
21 *pealed.*

22 **SEC. 129. REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-**
23 **STRUCTED DAMS.**

24 *Section 1177 of the Water Resources Development Act*
25 *of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note) is amended—*

1 (1) *in subsection (e)—*

2 (A) *by striking “The Secretary” and insert-*
3 *ing the following:*

4 “*(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-*
5 *graph (2), the Secretary”;* and

6 (B) *by adding at the end the following:*

7 “*(2) EXCEPTION.—For a project under this sec-*
8 *tion for which the Federal share of the costs is ex-*
9 *pected to exceed \$60,000,000, the Secretary may ex-*
10 *pend more than such amount only if—*

11 (A) *the Secretary submits to Congress the*
12 *determination made under subsection (a) with*
13 *respect to the project; and*

14 (B) *construction of the project substan-*
15 *tially in accordance with the plans, and subject*
16 *to the conditions described in such determination*
17 *is specifically authorized by Congress.”;* and

18 (2) *in subsection (f), by striking “2017 through*
19 *2026” and inserting “2025 through 2030”.*

20 **SEC. 130. TREATMENT OF PROJECTS IN COVERED COMMU-**
21 **NITIES.**

22 (a) *IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a feasibility study*
23 *for a project that serves a covered community, the Secretary*
24 *shall adjust the calculation of the benefit-cost ratio for the*
25 *project in order to equitably compare such project to*

1 *projects carried out in the contiguous States of the United*
2 *States and the District of Columbia.*

3 (b) *EVALUATION.*—*In carrying out this section, the*
4 *Secretary shall—*

5 (1) *compute the benefit-cost ratio without adjust-*
6 *ing the calculation as described in subsection (a);*

7 (2) *compute an adjusted benefit-cost ratio by ad-*
8 *justing the construction costs for the project to reflect*
9 *what construction costs would be if the project were*
10 *carried out in a comparable community in the contig-*
11 *uous States that is nearest to the community in*
12 *which the project will be carried out;*

13 (3) *include in the documentation associated with*
14 *the feasibility study for the project the ratios cal-*
15 *culated under paragraph (1) and paragraph (2); and*

16 (4) *consider the adjusted benefit-cost ratio cal-*
17 *culated under paragraph (2) in selecting the ten-*
18 *tatively selected plan for the project.*

19 (c) *COVERED COMMUNITY DEFINED.*—*In this section,*
20 *the term “covered community” means a community located*
21 *in the State of Hawaii, Alaska, the Commonwealth of Puer-*
22 *to Rico, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana*
23 *Islands, the United States Virgin Islands, or American*
24 *Samoa.*

1 **SEC. 131. ABILITY TO PAY.**

2 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 103(m) of the Water Re-
3 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)) is
4 amended—

5 (1) in paragraph (1) by striking “an agricul-
6 tural” and inserting “a”;

7 (2) by striking paragraphs (2) and (3) and in-
8 serting the following:

9 “(2) *CRITERIA.*—The Secretary shall determine
10 the ability of a non-Federal interest to pay under this
11 subsection by considering—

12 “(A) per capita income data for the county
13 or counties in which the project is to be located;

14 “(B) the per capita non-Federal cost of con-
15 struction of the project for the county or counties
16 in which the project is to be located;

17 “(C) the financial capabilities of the non-
18 Federal interest for the project;

19 “(D) the guidance issued under section 160
20 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
21 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note); and

22 “(E) any additional criteria relating to the
23 non-Federal interest’s financial ability to carry
24 out its cost-sharing responsibilities determined
25 appropriate by the Secretary.

1 “(3) *PROCEDURES.*—*For purposes of carrying*
2 *out paragraph (2), the Secretary shall develop proce-*
3 *dures—*

4 “(A) *to allow a non-Federal interest to*
5 *identify the amount such non-Federal interest*
6 *would likely be able to pay; and*

7 “(B) *for a non-Federal interest to submit a*
8 *request to the Secretary to reduce the required*
9 *non-Federal share.”; and*

10 (3) *by adding at the end the following:*

11 “(5) *BENEFITS ANALYSIS CONSIDERATIONS.*—*In*
12 *calculating the benefits and costs of project alter-*
13 *natives relating to the height of a flood risk reduction*
14 *project for purposes of determining the national eco-*
15 *nomie development benefits of the project, the Sec-*
16 *retary—*

17 “(A) *shall include insurance costs incurred*
18 *by homeowners; and*

19 “(B) *may consider additional costs incurred*
20 *by households, as appropriate.*

21 “(6) *EXCEPTION.*—*This subsection shall not*
22 *apply to project costs greater than the national eco-*
23 *nomie determination plan.*

24 “(7) *REPORT.*—

1 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not less frequently than
2 *annually, the Secretary shall submit to the Com-*
3 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
4 *the House of Representatives and the Committee*
5 *on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
6 *a report describing all determinations of the Sec-*
7 *retary under this subsection regarding the ability*
8 *of a non-Federal interest to pay.*

9 “(B) *CONTENTS.*—The Secretary shall in-
10 *clude in each report required under subpara-*
11 *graph (A) a description, for the applicable year,*
12 *of—*

13 “(i) *requests by a non-Federal interest*
14 *to reduce the non-Federal share required in*
15 *a cost-sharing agreement;*

16 “(ii) *the determination of the Secretary*
17 *with respect to each such request; and*

18 “(iii) *the basis for each such deter-*
19 *mination.*

20 “(C) *INCLUSION IN CHIEF’S REPORT.*—The
21 *Secretary shall include each determination to re-*
22 *duce the non-Federal share required in a cost-*
23 *sharing agreement for construction of a project*
24 *in the report of the Chief of Engineers for the*
25 *project.”.*

1 (b) *UPDATE TO GUIDANCE.*—Not later than 1 year
2 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
3 update any agency guidance or regulation relating to the
4 ability of a non-Federal interest to pay as necessary to re-
5 flect the amendments made by this section.

6 (c) *PRIORITY PROJECTS.*—The Secretary shall make a
7 determination under section 103(m) of the Water Resources
8 Development Act of 1986, as amended by this section, of
9 the ability to pay of the non-Federal interest for the fol-
10 lowing projects:

11 (1) Any authorized water resources development
12 project for which the Secretary waives the cost-shar-
13 ing requirement under section 1156 of the Water Re-
14 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2310).

15 (2) Any authorized watercraft inspection and de-
16 contamination station established, operated, or main-
17 tained pursuant to section 104(d) of the River and
18 Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)).

19 (3) The Chattahoochee River Program, author-
20 ized by section 8144 of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3724).

22 (4) The project for navigation, Craig Harbor,
23 Alaska, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water
24 Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1709).

1 (5) *The project for flood risk management, West-*
2 *minster, East Garden Grove, California Flood Risk*
3 *Management, authorized by section 401(2) of the*
4 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.*
5 *2735).*

6 (6) *Modifications to the L-29 levee component of*
7 *the Central and Southern Florida project, authorized*
8 *by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (62*
9 *Stat. 1176), in the vicinity of the Tigertail camp.*

10 (7) *Any authorized water resources development*
11 *projects in Guam.*

12 (8) *The project for flood risk management, Ala*
13 *Wai Canal, Hawaii, authorized by section 1401(2) of*
14 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132*
15 *Stat. 3837).*

16 (9) *The project for flood control Kentucky River*
17 *and its tributaries, Kentucky, authorized by section 6*
18 *of the Act of August 11, 1939 (chapter 699, 53 Stat.*
19 *1416).*

20 (10) *The project for flood risk management on*
21 *the Kentucky River and its tributaries and water-*
22 *sheds in Breathitt, Clay, Estill, Harlan, Lee, Leslie,*
23 *Letcher, Owsley, Perry, and Wolfe Counties, Ken-*
24 *tucky, authorized by section 8201(a)(31) of the Water*
25 *Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746).*

1 (11) *The project for flood control, Williamsport,*
2 *Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of*
3 *June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1573).*

4 (12) *The project for ecosystem restoration,*
5 *Resacas, in the vicinity of the City of Brownsville,*
6 *Texas, authorized by section 1401(5) of the Water Re-*
7 *sources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3839).*

8 (13) *Construction of any critical restoration*
9 *project in the Lake Champlain watershed, Vermont*
10 *and New York, authorized by section 542 of the Water*
11 *Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2671;*
12 *121 Stat. 1150; 134 Stat. 2680; 136 Stat. 3822).*

13 (14) *Any authorized flood control and storm*
14 *damage reduction project in the United States Virgin*
15 *Islands that was impacted by Hurricanes Irma and*
16 *Maria.*

17 (15) *Construction of dredged material stabiliza-*
18 *tion and retaining structures related to the project for*
19 *navigation, Lower Willamette and Columbia Rivers,*
20 *from Portland, Oregon, to the sea, authorized by the*
21 *first section of the Act of June 18, 1878 (chapter 267,*
22 *20 Stat. 157, chapter 264).*

23 (16) *Any water-related environmental infra-*
24 *structure project authorized by section 219 of the*

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (Public*
2 *Law 102–580).*

3 **SEC. 132. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

4 *Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act*
5 *of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—*

6 (1) *in subsection (a), by striking “the term ‘In-*
7 *dian tribe’ has the meaning given the term” and in-*
8 *serting “the terms ‘Indian tribe’ and ‘Indian Tribe’*
9 *have the meanings given the terms”;*

10 (2) *in subsection (b)—*

11 (A) *in paragraph (1)(B)—*

12 (i) *by striking “or in proximity” and*
13 *inserting “, in proximity”; and*

14 (ii) *by inserting “, or in proximity to*
15 *a river system or other aquatic habitat with*
16 *respect to which an Indian Tribe has Tribal*
17 *treaty rights” after “Alaska Native vil-*
18 *lages”;*

19 (B) *in paragraph (2)(A), by striking “flood*
20 *hurricane and storm damage reduction, includ-*
21 *ing erosion control,” and inserting “flood or hur-*
22 *ricane and storm damage reduction, including*
23 *erosion control and stormwater management (in-*
24 *cluding management of stormwater that flows at*

1 *a rate of less than 800 cubic feet per second for*
2 *the 10-percent flood),”;* and

3 (C) in paragraph (4), by striking
4 “\$26,000,000” each place it appears and insert-
5 ing “\$28,500,000”; and
6 (3) by striking subsection (e).

7 **SEC. 133. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.**

8 Section 214(a) of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352(a)) is amended—

10 (1) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the
11 following:

12 “(D) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term ‘Indian
13 Tribe’ means—

14 “(i) an Indian Tribe, as such term is
15 defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-De-
16 termination and Education Assistance Act
17 (25 U.S.C. 5304); and

18 “(ii) any entity formed under the au-
19 thority of one or more Indian Tribes, as so
20 defined.”;

21 (2) in paragraph (2)—

22 (A) by inserting “Indian Tribe,” after
23 “public-utility company,” each place it appears;
24 and

1 (B) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “,
2 including an aquatic ecosystem restoration
3 project” before the period at the end; and
4 (3) by striking paragraph (4).

5 **SEC. 134. PROJECT STUDIES SUBJECT TO INDEPENDENT**
6 **EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW.**

7 Section 2034 of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343) is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (d)(2)—

10 (A) by striking “assess the adequacy and
11 acceptability of the economic” and insert the fol-
12 lowing: “assess the adequacy and acceptability
13 of—

14 “(A) the economic”;

15 (B) in subparagraph (A), as so redesign-
16 ated, by adding “and” at the end; and

17 (C) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(B) the consideration of nonstructural al-
19 ternatives under section 73(a) of the Water Re-
20 sources Development Act of 1974 (33 U.S.C.
21 701b–11(a)) for projects for flood risk manage-
22 ment;”;

23 (2) by striking subsection (h); and

24 (3) by redesignating subsections (i) through (l)
25 as subsections (h) through (k), respectively.

1 **SEC. 135. CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT GROWTHS AND**
2 **INVASIVE SPECIES.**

3 *Section 104 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (33*
4 *U.S.C. 610) is amended—*

5 *(1) in subsection (e)(3), by inserting “, and mon-*
6 *itoring and contingency planning for,” after “early*
7 *detection of”; and*

8 *(2) in subsection (g)(2)(A), by inserting “the*
9 *Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River*
10 *Basin,”.*

11 **SEC. 136. REMOTE OPERATIONS AT CORPS DAMS.**

12 *During the 10-year period beginning on the date of*
13 *enactment of this Act, with respect to a water resources de-*
14 *velopment project owned, operated, or managed by the*
15 *Corps of Engineers, the Secretary may not use remote oper-*
16 *ation activities at a navigation or hydroelectric power gen-*
17 *erating facility at such project as a replacement for activi-*
18 *ties performed, as of the date of enactment of this Act, by*
19 *personnel under the direction of the Secretary at such*
20 *project unless the Secretary provides to the Committee on*
21 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
22 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
23 *Works of the Senate written notice that—*

24 *(1) use of the remote operation activities—*

1 (A) does not affect activities described in
2 section 314 of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2321);

4 (B) will address any cyber and physical se-
5 curity risks to such project in accordance with
6 applicable Federal law and agency guidance;
7 and

8 (C) is necessary to increase the availability
9 and capacity, as applicable, of such project, in-
10 cluding a project on a lower use waterway; and

11 (2) the remote operation activities were developed
12 under a public process that included engagement with
13 such personnel and other stakeholders who may be af-
14 fected by the use of such activities.

15 **SEC. 137. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
16 **GRAM.**

17 Section 128 of the Water Resources Development Act
18 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “or affecting
20 water bodies of regional, national, or international
21 importance” after “projects”;

22 (2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “and State
23 agencies” and inserting “, State, and local agencies,
24 institutions of higher education, and private organi-
25 zations, including nonprofit organizations”;

1 (3) *in subsection (c) in paragraph (6), insert*
2 *“Watershed” after “Okeechobee”;*

3 (4) *in subsection (e), by striking “\$25,000,000”*
4 *and inserting “\$35,000,000”; and*

5 (5) *by adding at the end the following:*

6 “(f) *PRIORITY.—In carrying out the demonstration*
7 *program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall, to the*
8 *maximum extent possible, prioritize carrying out program*
9 *activities that—*

10 “(1) *reduce nutrient pollution;*

11 “(2) *utilize natural and nature-based ap-*
12 *proaches, including oysters;*

13 “(3) *protect, enhance, or restore wetlands or*
14 *flood plains, including river and streambank sta-*
15 *bilization;*

16 “(4) *develop technologies for remote sensing,*
17 *monitoring, or early detection of harmful algal*
18 *blooms, or other emerging technologies; and*

19 “(5) *combine removal of harmful algal blooms*
20 *with a beneficial use, including conversion of re-*
21 *trieved algae biomass into biofuel, fertilizer, or other*
22 *products.*

23 “(g) *AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out the demonstra-*
24 *tion program under subsection (a), the Secretary may enter*

1 *into agreements with a non-Federal entity for the use or*
2 *sale of successful technologies developed under this section.”.*

3 **SEC. 138. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

4 *Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development Act*
5 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—*

6 *(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “; and” and*
7 *inserting a semicolon;*

8 *(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at*
9 *the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

10 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

11 *“(5) Western Washington University, Bel-*
12 *lingham to conduct academic research on water qual-*
13 *ity, aquatic ecosystem restoration (including aqua-*
14 *culture), and the resiliency of water resources develop-*
15 *ment projects in the Pacific Northwest to natural dis-*
16 *asters;*

17 *“(6) the University of North Carolina Wil-*
18 *mington to conduct academic research on flood miti-*
19 *gation, coastal resiliency, water resource ecology,*
20 *water quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration (includ-*
21 *ing aquaculture), coastal restoration, and resource-re-*
22 *lated emergency management in North Carolina and*
23 *Mid-Atlantic region; and*

24 *“(7) California State Polytechnic University,*
25 *Pomona to conduct academic research on integrated*

1 *design and management of water resources develop-*
2 *ment projects, including for the purposes of flood risk*
3 *management, ecosystem restoration, water supply,*
4 *water conservation, and sustainable aquifer manage-*
5 *ment.”.*

6 **SEC. 139. NATIONAL COASTAL MAPPING PROGRAM.**

7 *(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to*
8 *carry out a national coastal mapping program to provide*
9 *recurring national coastal mapping along the coasts of the*
10 *United States to support Corps of Engineers navigation,*
11 *flood risk management, environmental restoration, and*
12 *emergency operations missions.*

13 *(b) SCOPE.—In carrying out the program under sub-*
14 *section (a), the Secretary shall—*

15 *(1) disseminate coastal mapping data and new*
16 *or advanced geospatial information and remote sens-*
17 *ing tools for coastal mapping derived from the anal-*
18 *ysis of such data to the Corps of Engineers, other*
19 *Federal agencies, States, and other stakeholders;*

20 *(2) implement coastal surveying based on find-*
21 *ings of the national coastal mapping study carried*
22 *out under section 8110 of the Water Resources Devel-*
23 *opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3702);*

24 *(3) conduct research and development on bathy-*
25 *metric liDAR and ancillary technologies necessary to*

1 *advance coastal mapping capabilities in order to ex-*
2 *loit data with increased efficiency and greater accu-*
3 *racy;*

4 (4) *with respect to any region affected by a hur-*
5 *ricane rated category 3 or higher—*

6 (A) *conduct coastal mapping of such region;*

7 (B) *determine volume changes at Federal*
8 *projects in such region;*

9 (C) *quantify damage to navigation infra-*
10 *structure in such region;*

11 (D) *assess environmental impacts to such*
12 *region, measure any coastal impacts; and*

13 (E) *make any data gathered under this*
14 *paragraph publicly available not later than 2*
15 *weeks after the acquisition of such data;*

16 (5) *at the request of another Federal entity or a*
17 *State or local government entity, provide subject mat-*
18 *ter expertise, mapping services, and technology evo-*
19 *lution assistance;*

20 (6) *enter into an agreement with another Federal*
21 *agency or a State agency to accept funds from such*
22 *agency to expand the coverage of the program to effi-*
23 *ciently meet the needs of such agency;*

24 (7) *coordinate with representatives of the Naval*
25 *Meteorology and Oceanography Command, the Na-*

1 *tional Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,*
2 *United States Geological Survey, and any other rep-*
3 *resentative of a Federal agency that the Secretary de-*
4 *termines necessary, to support any relevant Federal,*
5 *State, or local agency through participation in work-*
6 *ing groups, committees, and organizations;*

7 *(8) maintain the panel of senior leaders estab-*
8 *lished under section 8110(e) of the Water Resources*
9 *Development Act of 2022;*

10 *(9) convene an annual coastal mapping commu-*
11 *nity of practice meeting to discuss and identify tech-*
12 *nical topics and challenges to inform such panel in*
13 *carrying out the duties of such panel; and*

14 *(10) to the maximum extent practicable, to pro-*
15 *cure any surveying or mapping services in accord-*
16 *ance with chapter 11 of title 40, United States Code.*

17 *(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is*
18 *authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section for*
19 *each fiscal year \$15,000,000, to remain available until ex-*
20 *pended.*

21 **SEC. 140. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

22 *Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act*
23 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) is amended—*

24 *(1) in subsection (d)—*

1 (A) in paragraph (12), by striking “; and”
2 and inserting a semicolon;

3 (B) in paragraph (13), by striking the pe-
4 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

5 (C) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(14) Connecticut River Watershed, Connecticut,
7 Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Vermont;

8 “(15) Lower Rouge River Watershed, Michigan;
9 and

10 “(16) Grand River Watershed, Michigan.”; and
11 (2) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(g) *FEASIBILITY REPORT ON PROJECT SPECIFIC*
13 *RECOMMENDATIONS FROM ASSESSMENTS.*—

14 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—At the request of a non-Fed-
15 eral interest for an assessment completed under this
16 section, the Secretary is authorized to prepare a feasi-
17 bility report, in accordance with the requirements of
18 section 905, recommending the construction or modi-
19 fication of a water resources development project to
20 address a water resources need of a river basin or wa-
21 tershed of the United States identified in the assess-
22 ment.

23 “(2) *PRIORITY WATERSHEDS.*—In carrying out
24 this subsection, the Secretary shall give priority to—

1 “(A) the watersheds of the island of Maui,
2 Hawaii, including the Wahikuli, Honokōwai,
3 Kahana, Honokahua, and Honolulu watersheds,
4 including the coral reef habitat north of Lahaina
5 off the northwestern coast of the island of Maui;
6 and

7 “(B) the watersheds of the Northern Mar-
8 iana Islands, American Samoa, and Guam.”.

9 **SEC. 141. REMOVAL OF ABANDONED VESSELS.**

10 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 19 of the Act of March 3,
11 1899 (33 U.S.C. 414) is amended—

12 (1) by striking “SEC. 19. (a) That whenever”
13 and inserting the following:

14 **“SEC. 19. VESSEL REMOVAL BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**

15 “(a) *REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIVE VESSELS.*—

16 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—That whenever”;

17 (2) in subsection (b)—

18 (A) by striking “described in this section”
19 and inserting “described in this subsection”; and

20 (B) by striking “under subsection (a)” and
21 inserting “under paragraph (1)”;

22 (3) by striking “(b) The owner” and inserting
23 the following:

24 “(2) *LIABILITY OF OWNER, LESSEE, OR OPER-*
25 *ATOR.*—The owner”; and

1 (4) *by adding at the end the following:*

2 “(b) *REMOVAL OF ABANDONED VESSEL.—*

3 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized*
4 *to remove from the navigable waters of the United*
5 *States a covered vessel that does not obstruct the navi-*
6 *gation of such waters, if—*

7 “(A) *such removal is determined to be in*
8 *the public interest by the Secretary, in consulta-*
9 *tion with any State in which the vessel is located*
10 *or any Indian Tribe with jurisdiction over the*
11 *area in which the vessel is located, as applicable;*
12 *and*

13 “(B) *in the case of a vessel that is not under*
14 *the control of the United States by reason of sei-*
15 *zure or forfeiture, the Commandant of the Coast*
16 *Guard determines that the vessel is abandoned.*

17 “(2) *INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS.—In removing*
18 *a covered vessel under this subsection, the Secretary—*

19 “(A) *shall enter into an interagency agree-*
20 *ment with the head of any Federal department,*
21 *agency, or instrumentality that has control of*
22 *such vessel; and*

23 “(B) *is authorized to accept funds from*
24 *such department, agency, or instrumentality for*
25 *the removal of such vessel.*

1 “(3) *LIABILITY.*—*The owner of a covered vessel*
2 *shall be liable to the United States for the costs of re-*
3 *moval, destruction, and disposal of such vessel under*
4 *this subsection.*

5 “(4) *COVERED VESSEL DEFINED.*—

6 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*In this subsection, the*
7 *term ‘covered vessel’ means a vessel—*

8 “(i) *determined to be abandoned by the*
9 *Commandant of the Coast Guard; or*

10 “(ii) *under the control of the United*
11 *States by reason of seizure or forfeiture pur-*
12 *suant to any law.*

13 “(B) *EXCLUSION.*—*The term ‘covered vessel’*
14 *does not include—*

15 “(i) *any vessel for which the Secretary*
16 *has removal authority under subsection (a)*
17 *or section 20;*

18 “(ii) *an abandoned barge for which the*
19 *Commandant of the Coast Guard has the*
20 *authority to remove under chapter 47 of*
21 *title 46, United States Code; and*

22 “(iii) *a vessel—*

23 “(I) *for which the owner is not*
24 *identified, unless determined to be*

1 *abandoned by the Commandant of the*
2 *Coast Guard; or*

3 *“(II) for which the owner has not*
4 *agreed to pay the costs of removal, de-*
5 *struction, or disposal.*

6 *“(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—*
7 *There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out*
8 *this section \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2025*
9 *through 2029.”.*

10 *(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 20 of the Act*
11 *of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 416) is amended by striking*
12 *“the preceding section of this Act” and inserting “section*
13 *19(a)”.*

14 **SEC. 142. CORROSION PREVENTION.**

15 *Section 1033(c) of the Water Resources Reform and*
16 *Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2350(c)) is amended—*

17 *(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “; and” and*
18 *inserting a semicolon;*

19 *(2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-*
20 *graph (4); and*

21 *(3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-*
22 *lowing:*

23 *“(3) the carrying out of an activity described in*
24 *paragraph (1) or (2) through a program in corrosion*
25 *prevention that is—*

1 “(A) offered or accredited by an organiza-
2 tion that sets industry standards for corrosion
3 mitigation and prevention; or

4 “(B) an industrial coatings applicator pro-
5 gram that is—

6 “(i) an employment and training ac-
7 tivity (as defined in section 3 of the Work-
8 force Innovation and Opportunity Act (29
9 U.S.C. 3102)); or

10 “(ii) registered under the Act of August
11 16, 1937 (commonly known as the ‘National
12 Apprenticeship Act’; 50 Stat. 664, chapter
13 663; 29 U.S.C. 50 et seq.); and”.

14 **SEC. 143. MISSOURI RIVER EXISTING FEATURES PROTEC-**
15 **TION.**

16 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Before carrying out a covered ac-
17 tion with respect to a covered in-river feature, the Secretary
18 shall perform an analysis to identify whether such action
19 will—

20 (1) contribute to adverse effects of increased
21 water levels during flood events adjacent to the cov-
22 ered in-river feature;

23 (2) increase risk of flooding on commercial and
24 residential structures and critical infrastructure adja-
25 cent to the covered in-river feature;

1 (3) *decrease water levels during droughts adja-*
2 *cent to the covered in-river feature;*

3 (4) *affect the navigation channel, including*
4 *crossflows, velocity, channel depth, and channel*
5 *width, adjacent to the covered in-river feature;*

6 (5) *contribute to bank erosion on private lands*
7 *adjacent to the covered in-river feature;*

8 (6) *affect ports or harbors adjacent to the covered*
9 *in-river feature; or*

10 (7) *affect harvesting of sand adjacent to the cov-*
11 *ered in-river feature.*

12 (b) *MITIGATION.—If the Secretary determines that a*
13 *covered action will result in an outcome described in sub-*
14 *section (a), the Secretary shall mitigate such outcome.*

15 (c) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section may be*
16 *construed to affect the requirements of section 906 of the*
17 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283).*

18 (d) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

19 (1) *COVERED ACTION.—The term “covered ac-*
20 *tion” means the construction of, modification of, oper-*
21 *ational changes to, or implementation of a covered in-*
22 *river feature.*

23 (2) *COVERED IN-RIVER FEATURE.—The term*
24 *“covered in-river feature” means in-river features on*
25 *the Missouri River used to create and maintain dike*

1 *notches, chutes, and complexes for interception or*
2 *rearing authorized pursuant to section 601(a) of the*
3 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.*
4 *4143; 113 Stat. 306; 121 Stat. 1155) and section 334*
5 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113*
6 *Stat. 306; 136 Stat. 3799).*

7 **SEC. 144. FEDERAL BREAKWATERS AND JETTIES.**

8 *Section 8101 of the Water Resources Development Act*
9 *of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2351b) is amended—*

10 *(1) by inserting “, pile dike,” after “jetty” each*
11 *place it appears; and*

12 *(2) in subsection (b)(2)—*

13 *(A) by striking “if” and all that follows*
14 *through “the Secretary” and inserting “if the*
15 *Secretary”;*

16 *(B) by striking “breakwater; and” and in-*
17 *serting “breakwater and—”*

18 *(C) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as*
19 *subparagraph (A);*

20 *(D) in subparagraph (A) (as so redesign-*
21 *ated), by striking the period at the end and in-*
22 *serting “; or”; and*

23 *(E) by adding at the end the following:*

24 *“(B) the pile dike has disconnected from an*
25 *authorized navigation project as a result of a*

1 *lack of such regular and routine Federal mainte-*
2 *nance activity.”.*

3 **SEC. 145. TEMPORARY RELOCATION ASSISTANCE PILOT**
4 **PROGRAM.**

5 *Section 8154(g)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-*
6 *ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3734) is amended by adding*
7 *at the end the following:*

8 *“(F) Project for hurricane and storm dam-*
9 *age risk reduction, Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk*
10 *Management, Virginia, authorized by section*
11 *401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act*
12 *of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.*

13 **SEC. 146. EASEMENTS FOR HURRICANE AND STORM DAM-**
14 **AGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.**

15 *(a) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a project for hurri-*
16 *cane and storm damage reduction for which the Secretary*
17 *is requiring a perpetual easement, the Secretary shall, upon*
18 *request by the non-Federal interest for the project, certify*
19 *real estate availability and proceed to construction of such*
20 *project with a nonperpetual easement if—*

21 *(1) such certification and construction are in*
22 *compliance with the terms of the report of the Chief*
23 *of Engineers for the project and the applicable project*
24 *partnership agreement; and*

1 (2) *the Secretary provides the non-Federal inter-*
2 *est with formal notice that, in the event in which the*
3 *nonperpetual easement expires and is not extended,*
4 *the Secretary will be unable to—*

5 (A) *fulfill the Federal responsibility with*
6 *respect to the project or carry out any required*
7 *nourishment of the project under the existing*
8 *project authorization;*

9 (B) *carry out repair and rehabilitation of*
10 *the project under section 5 of the Act of August*
11 *18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n); and*

12 (C) *provide any other relevant Federal as-*
13 *sistance with respect to the project.*

14 (b) *DISCLOSURE.—For any project for hurricane*
15 *storm damage risk reduction, or a proposal to modify such*
16 *a project, that is authorized after the date of enactment of*
17 *this Act for which a perpetual easement is required for Fed-*
18 *eral participation in the project, the Secretary shall include*
19 *in the report of the Chief of Engineers for the project a dis-*
20 *closure of such requirement.*

21 (c) *MANAGEMENT.—To the maximum extent prac-*
22 *ticable, the Secretary shall, at the request of the non-Federal*
23 *interest for a project for hurricane storm damage risk re-*
24 *duction, identify and accept the minimum real estate inter-*

1 *ests necessary to carry out the project, in accordance with*
2 *section 103.*

3 *(d) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION*
4 *PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION.—*

5 *(1) IN GENERAL.—During the 2-year period be-*
6 *ginning on the date of enactment of this Act, notwith-*
7 *standing any requirement of the Secretary for a cov-*
8 *ered project to comply with the memorandum of the*
9 *Corps of Engineers entitled “Standard Estates – Per-*
10 *petual Beach Nourishment and Perpetual Restrictive*
11 *Dune Easement” and dated August 4, 1995, the Sec-*
12 *retary shall carry out each covered project in a man-*
13 *ner consistent with the previously completed initial*
14 *construction and periodic nourishments of the project,*
15 *including repair and restoration work on the project*
16 *under section 5(a) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33*
17 *U.S.C. 701n(a)).*

18 *(2) COVERED PROJECT DEFINED.—In this sub-*
19 *section, the term “covered project” means an author-*
20 *ized project for hurricane and storm damage reduc-*
21 *tion in any one of the following locations:*

22 *(A) Brevard County, Canaveral Harbor,*
23 *Florida – Mid Reach.*

24 *(B) Brevard County, Canaveral Harbor,*
25 *Florida – North Reach.*

1 (C) *Brevard County, Canaveral Harbor,*
2 *Florida – South Reach.*

3 (D) *Broward County, Florida – Segment*
4 *II.*

5 (E) *Broward County, Florida – Segment*
6 *III.*

7 (F) *Dade County, Florida – Main Segment.*

8 (G) *Dade County, Florida – Sunny Isles*
9 *Segment.*

10 (H) *Duval County, Florida.*

11 (I) *Fort Pierce Beach, Florida.*

12 (J) *Lee County, Florida – Captiva.*

13 (K) *Lee County, Florida – Gasparilla.*

14 (L) *Manatee County, Florida.*

15 (M) *Martin County, Florida.*

16 (N) *Nassau County, Florida.*

17 (O) *Palm Beach County, Florida – Jupiter/*
18 *Carlin Segment.*

19 (P) *Palm Beach County, Florida – Delray*
20 *Segment.*

21 (Q) *Palm Beach County, Florida – Mid*
22 *Town.*

23 (R) *Palm Beach County, Florida – North*
24 *Boca.*

1 (S) *Palm Beach County, Florida – Ocean*
2 Ridge.

3 (T) *Panama City Beaches, Florida.*

4 (U) *Pinellas County, Florida – Long Key.*

5 (V) *Pinellas County, Florida – Sand Key*
6 Segment.

7 (W) *Pinellas County, Florida –Treasure Is-*
8 land.

9 (X) *Sarasota, Lido Key, Florida.*

10 (Y) *Sarasota County, Florida – Venice*
11 Beach.

12 (Z) *St. Johns County, Florida – St. Augus-*
13 tine Beach.

14 (AA) *St. Johns County, Florida – Vilano*
15 Segment.

16 (BB) *St. Lucie County, Florida – Hutch-*
17 inson Island.

18 (3) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of*
19 *Congress that, for the purpose of constructing and*
20 *maintaining a project for hurricane and storm dam-*
21 *age risk reduction, the minimum estate necessary for*
22 *easements may not exceed the life of the project nor*
23 *be less than 50 years.*

24 (e) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section may be*
25 *construed to affect the requirements of section 103(d) of the*

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
2 *2213(d)).*

3 **SEC. 147. SHORELINE AND RIVERINE PROTECTION AND**
4 **RESTORATION.**

5 *Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Development*
6 *Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by adding*
7 *at the end the following:*

8 *“(L) Shoreline of the State of Connecticut.”.*

9 **SEC. 148. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATED TO WATER DATA.**

10 *It is the sense of Congress that, for the purpose of im-*
11 *proving water resources management, the Secretary*
12 *should—*

13 *(1) develop and implement a framework for inte-*
14 *grating, sharing, and using water data;*

15 *(2) identify and prioritize key water data needed*
16 *to support water resources management and plan-*
17 *ning, including—*

18 *(A) water data sets, types, and associated*
19 *metadata; and*

20 *(B) water data infrastructure, technologies,*
21 *and tools;*

22 *(3) in consultation with other Federal agencies,*
23 *States, Indian Tribes, local governments, and relevant*
24 *stakeholders, develop and adopt common national*

1 *standards for collecting, sharing, and integrating*
2 *water data, infrastructure, technologies, and tools;*

3 *(4) ensure that water data is publicly accessible*
4 *and interoperable;*

5 *(5) integrate water data and tools through na-*
6 *tionwide approaches to data infrastructure, plat-*
7 *forms, models, and tool development; and*

8 *(6) support the adoption of new technologies and*
9 *the development of tools for water data collection,*
10 *sharing, and standardization.*

11 **SEC. 149. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO COMPREHEN-**
12 **SIVE BENEFITS.**

13 *It is the sense of Congress that in carrying out any*
14 *feasibility study, the Secretary should follow, to the max-*
15 *imum extent practicable—*

16 *(1) the guidance described in the memoranda re-*
17 *lating to “Comprehensive Documentation of Benefits*
18 *in Feasibility Studies”, dated April 3, 2020, and*
19 *April 13, 2020, and signed by the Assistant Secretary*
20 *for Civil Works and the Director of Civil Works, re-*
21 *spectively; and*

22 *(2) the policies described in the memorandum re-*
23 *lating to “Policy Directive – Comprehensive Docu-*
24 *mentation of Benefits in Decision Document” dated*

1 *January 5, 2021, and signed by the Assistant Sec-*
2 *retary for Civil Works.*

3 **SEC. 150. REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.**

4 *(a) INITIAL REPORT.—*

5 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after*
6 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
7 *submit to the Committees on Transportation and In-*
8 *frastructure and Appropriations of the House of Rep-*
9 *resentatives and the Committees on Environment and*
10 *Public Works and Appropriations of the Senate a re-*
11 *port detailing the status of the reports described in*
12 *paragraph (2).*

13 *(2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports described*
14 *in this paragraph are the following:*

15 *(A) The comprehensive backlog and oper-*
16 *ation and maintenance report required under*
17 *section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Devel-*
18 *opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)).*

19 *(B) The report on managed aquifer recharge*
20 *required under section 8108(d) of the Water Re-*
21 *sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.*
22 *2357(d)).*

23 *(C) The plan on beneficial use of dredged*
24 *material required under section 8130(a) of the*

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136*
2 *Stat. 3717).*

3 *(D) The updated report on Corps of Engi-*
4 *neers Reservoirs required under section 8153 of*
5 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2022*
6 *(136 Stat. 3734).*

7 *(E) The report on dredge capacity require*
8 *under section 8205 of the Water Resources Devel-*
9 *opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).*

10 *(F) The report on the assessment of the con-*
11 *sequences of changing operation and mainte-*
12 *nance responsibilities required under section*
13 *8206 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
14 *2022 (136 Stat. 3756).*

15 *(G) The report on the western infrastructure*
16 *study required under section 8208 of the Water*
17 *Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
18 *3756).*

19 *(H) The report on excess lands for Whittier*
20 *Narrows Dam, California, required under sec-*
21 *tion 8213 of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3758).*

23 *(I) The report on recreational boating in*
24 *the Great Lakes basin required under section*

1 8218 of the Water Resources Development Act of
2 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

3 (J) The report on the disposition study on
4 hydropower in the Willamette Valley, Oregon, re-
5 quired under section 8220 of the Water Resources
6 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat 3762).

7 (K) The report on corrosion prevention ac-
8 tivities required under section 8234 of the Water
9 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
10 3767).

11 (3) *ELEMENTS.*—The Secretary shall include in
12 the report required under paragraph (1) the following
13 information with respect to each report described in
14 paragraph (2):

15 (A) A summary of the status of each such
16 report, including if the report has been initiated.

17 (B) The amount of funds that—

18 (i) have been made available to carry
19 out each such report; and

20 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete
21 each such report.

22 (C) A detailed assessment of how the Sec-
23 retary intends to complete each such report, in-
24 cluding an anticipated timeline for completion.

1 (D) Any available information that is rel-
2 evant to each such report that would inform the
3 committees described in paragraph (1).

4 (b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 10 days after
6 the date on which the budget of the President for each
7 fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to sec-
8 tion 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Sec-
9 retary shall submit to the Committees on Transpor-
10 tation and Infrastructure and Appropriations of the
11 House of Representatives and the Committees on En-
12 vironment and Public Works and Appropriations of
13 the Senate a report on the status of each covered re-
14 port.

15 (2) ELEMENTS.—The Secretary shall include in
16 the report required under paragraph (1) the following
17 information:

18 (A) A summary of the status of each covered
19 report, including if each such report has been
20 initiated.

21 (B) The amount of funds that—

22 (i) have been made available to carry
23 out each such report; and

24 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete
25 each such report.

1 (C) *A detailed assessment of how the Sec-*
2 *retary intends to complete each covered report,*
3 *including an anticipated timeline for comple-*
4 *tion.*

5 (3) *PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.—The Secretary shall*
6 *make each report required under paragraph (1) pub-*
7 *licly available on the website of the Corps of Engi-*
8 *neers.*

9 (4) *NOTIFICATION OF COMMITTEES.—The Sec-*
10 *retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-*
11 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
12 *tives and the Committee on the Environment and*
13 *Public Works of the Senate on an annual basis a*
14 *draft of each covered report.*

15 (5) *DEFINITION OF COVERED REPORT.—In this*
16 *subsection, the term “covered report”—*

17 (A) *means any report or study required to*
18 *be submitted by the Secretary under this Act or*
19 *any Act providing authorizations for water re-*
20 *sources development projects enacted after the*
21 *date of enactment of this Act to the Committee*
22 *on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
23 *House of Representatives and the Committee on*
24 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
25 *that has not been so submitted; and*

1 (B) does not include a feasibility study (as
2 such term is defined in section 105 of the Water
3 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
4 2215(d)).

5 **SEC. 151. SACRAMENTO RIVER WATERSHED NATIVE AMER-**
6 **ICAN SITE AND CULTURAL RESOURCE PRO-**
7 **TECTION PILOT PROGRAM.**

8 (a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—Not later than 180 days after
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall estab-
10 lish a pilot program in accordance with this section to pro-
11 tect Native American burial sites, village sites, and cultural
12 resources identified or discovered at civil works projects in
13 the watershed of the Sacramento River and its tributaries,
14 including the American, Bear, Yuba, and Feather Rivers,
15 in the State of California.

16 (b) *REBURIAL.*—

17 (1) *REBURIAL AREAS.*—In carrying out the pilot
18 program, the Secretary shall, in consultation with
19 and with the consent of each affected Indian Tribe,
20 identify, and, as applicable, cooperate with appro-
21 priate Tribal, local, State, and Federal Government
22 property owners to set aside, areas that may be used
23 for the reburial of Native American human remains
24 and funerary objects that—

1 (A) have been identified or discovered at the
2 site of a covered civil works project;

3 (B) have been rightfully claimed by any af-
4 fected Indian Tribe; and

5 (C) can be reburied in such areas in a man-
6 ner secure from future disturbances, with the
7 consent of such property owner or owners, as ap-
8 plicable.

9 (2) *RECOVERY AND REBURIAL STANDARDS.*—

10 (A) *TIMING OF RECOVERY.*—

11 (i) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In carrying out*
12 *the pilot program, the Secretary shall work*
13 *in good faith with each affected Indian*
14 *Tribe, and each owner of property affected*
15 *by the recovery process, to ensure that—*

16 (I) *the recovery of a burial site,*
17 *village site, or cultural resources from*
18 *the site of a covered civil works project*
19 *under the pilot program is completed,*
20 *pursuant to a written plan or protocol,*
21 *not later than 45 days after the initi-*
22 *ation of such recovery; and*

23 (II) *with respect to a burial site,*
24 *village site, or cultural resources iden-*
25 *tified at the site of a covered civil*

1 *works project before construction of the*
2 *covered civil works project commences,*
3 *such recovery is completed before such*
4 *construction commences on the portion*
5 *of the covered civil works project af-*
6 *ected by the recovery process.*

7 *(ii) ALTERNATIVE TIMETABLE.—Not-*
8 *withstanding the deadlines established by*
9 *clause (i), the Secretary, each relevant non-*
10 *Federal interest for the covered civil works*
11 *project, each affected Indian Tribe, and*
12 *each owner of property affected by the recov-*
13 *ery process may negotiate and agree to an*
14 *alternative timetable for recovery other than*
15 *that required by such clause, based on the*
16 *circumstances of the applicable covered civil*
17 *works project.*

18 *(B) GUIDANCE.—In carrying out subsection*
19 *(a), the Secretary shall develop and issue written*
20 *guidance for recovery and reburial under the*
21 *pilot program that meets or exceeds the recovery*
22 *and reburial standards in policy statements and*
23 *guidance issued by the Advisory Council on His-*
24 *toric Preservation.*

1 (C) *EMINENT DOMAIN PROHIBITION.*—No
2 *Federal entity may exercise the power of eminent*
3 *domain to acquire any property to be used for*
4 *reburial under the pilot program.*

5 (3) *RECOVERY AND REBURIAL.*—

6 (A) *RECOVERY AND REBURIAL BY SEC-*
7 *RETARY.*—*In carrying out the pilot program, the*
8 *Secretary shall, at Federal expense, in consulta-*
9 *tion with and with the consent of each affected*
10 *Indian Tribe, and with appropriate dignity and*
11 *in accordance with the guidance developed under*
12 *paragraph (2)—*

13 (i) *recover any cultural resources iden-*
14 *tified or discovered at the site of a covered*
15 *civil works project and rightfully claimed*
16 *by any affected Indian Tribe;*

17 (ii) *rebury any human remains and*
18 *funerary objects so recovered at the applica-*
19 *ble areas identified and set aside under*
20 *paragraph (1); and*

21 (iii) *repatriate any other cultural re-*
22 *sources so recovered to the affected Indian*
23 *Tribe that has rightfully claimed such cul-*
24 *tural resources.*

25 (B) *TRIBAL AUTHORIZATION.*—

1 (i) *IN GENERAL.*—Upon the request of
2 an affected Indian Tribe, the Secretary
3 shall authorize, pursuant to a memorandum
4 of agreement entered into under clause (ii),
5 the Indian Tribe to assume recovery and re-
6 burial responsibilities under the pilot pro-
7 gram of cultural resources that have been
8 rightfully claimed by the affected Indian
9 Tribe, and shall reimburse the affected In-
10 dian Tribe for reasonable costs directly re-
11 lated to such recovery and reburial.

12 (ii) *MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT.*—
13 In carrying out clause (i)—

14 (I) with respect to a burial site,
15 village site, or cultural resources iden-
16 tified at a covered civil works project
17 before construction of the project com-
18 mences, the Secretary shall, upon re-
19 quest by the affected Indian Tribe,
20 enter into a written memorandum of
21 agreement with the affected Indian
22 Tribe to authorize the necessary recov-
23 ery and reburial activities before such
24 construction commences; and

1 (II) *with respect to a burial site,*
2 *village site, or cultural resources dis-*
3 *covered at a covered civil works project*
4 *after construction of the project com-*
5 *mences, the Secretary shall, upon re-*
6 *quest by the affected Indian Tribe,*
7 *enter into a written memorandum of*
8 *agreement with the affected Indian*
9 *Tribe to authorize the necessary recov-*
10 *ery and reburial activities not later*
11 *than 45 days after such discovery.*

12 (iii) *LIMITATION.—Reimbursement*
13 *under clause (i) shall not exceed 1 percent*
14 *of the total cost of construction of the appli-*
15 *cable covered civil works project, pursuant*
16 *to the terms outlined in paragraph (6).*

17 (4) *TRIBAL MONITORS.—*

18 (A) *IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot*
19 *program, the Secretary may hire a Tribal mon-*
20 *itor or monitors, and shall allow any affected In-*
21 *Indian Tribe to hire a Tribal monitor or monitors,*
22 *at Federal expense, during the construction of*
23 *any covered civil works project, for each area of*
24 *construction, including for each burial site and*
25 *village site with respect to which Native Amer-*

1 *ican cultural resources are being recovered for re-*
2 *burial.*

3 *(B) QUALIFICATIONS.—The Secretary or af-*
4 *ected Indian Tribe, as applicable, shall ensure*
5 *that preference in hiring Tribal monitors under*
6 *this paragraph is provided to qualified Native*
7 *Americans, including individuals who—*

8 *(i) have a professional relationship*
9 *with the affected Indian Tribe; or*

10 *(ii) possess knowledge of, and expertise*
11 *in, the customs of the affected Indian Tribe.*

12 *(C) LIMITATION.—The Federal expense of*
13 *Tribal monitors hired under this paragraph*
14 *shall not exceed 1 percent of the total cost of con-*
15 *struction of the applicable covered civil works*
16 *project, pursuant to the terms outlined in para-*
17 *graph (6).*

18 *(5) IDENTIFICATION AND INVENTORY.—In car-*
19 *rying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall ac-*
20 *cept identifications made by an affected Indian Tribe*
21 *of Native American burial sites and village sites at*
22 *the site of a covered civil works project, and include*
23 *such identifications in any inventory document for*
24 *such project.*

1 (6) *TIMING OF PAYMENTS.*—*The Secretary shall*
2 *enter into a contract or other agreement to make a*
3 *payment to an affected Indian Tribe for reimburse-*
4 *ment of reasonable costs under paragraph (3)(B) or*
5 *actual expenses under paragraph (4), subject to mar-*
6 *ket-based pricing, which payment shall be made not*
7 *later than 90 days after the affected Indian Tribe*
8 *submits an invoice for such costs or expenses to the*
9 *Secretary.*

10 (c) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY.*—

11 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Subject to paragraph (2), not-*
12 *withstanding any other provision of law, the Sec-*
13 *retary may convey to an affected Indian Tribe for use*
14 *as a cemetery or reburial area any area that is lo-*
15 *located on land owned by the Department of the Army*
16 *and is identified and set aside under subsection*
17 *(b)(1).*

18 (2) *RETENTION OF NECESSARY PROPERTY INTER-*
19 *ESTS.*—*In carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary*
20 *shall retain any necessary right-of-way, easement, or*
21 *other property interest that the Secretary determines*
22 *to be necessary to carry out the authorized purposes*
23 *of any Corps of Engineers project related to the con-*
24 *veyed land.*

25 (d) *CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION PROVIDED.*—

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out subsection*
2 *(a), the Secretary shall develop and issue written*
3 *guidance regarding the confidentiality of information*
4 *provided to the Department of the Army by Indian*
5 *Tribes in connection with any covered civil works*
6 *project under the pilot program.*

7 (2) *NONPUBLIC INFORMATION.*—*The following*
8 *information provided to the Department of the Army*
9 *by an Indian Tribe under the pilot program shall be*
10 *treated as confidential and nonpublic information, to*
11 *protect Native American burial sites, village sites,*
12 *and cultural resources, and their locations, from un-*
13 *authorized excavation, desecration, or vandalism:*

14 (A) *Information regarding the locations of*
15 *burial sites, village sites, and cultural resources,*
16 *including maps designating such locations.*

17 (B) *Information regarding cultural or tra-*
18 *ditional practices related to such sites or re-*
19 *sources.*

20 (e) *AVOIDANCE OF DUPLICATION.*—*In carrying out the*
21 *pilot program, the Secretary shall avoid, to the maximum*
22 *extent practicable, duplication of efforts relating to compli-*
23 *ance with this section and any other applicable provision*
24 *of law.*

25 (f) *APPLICABILITY.*—

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 208 of the Water Re-
2 sources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2338)
3 shall not apply to a covered civil works project during
4 the period during which the Secretary is carrying out
5 the pilot program.

6 (2) *EXISTING CONTRACTS.*—Nothing in this sec-
7 tion shall affect any contract relating to a covered
8 civil works project entered into by the Secretary of the
9 Army before the date of enactment of this Act.

10 (g) *PERIOD.*—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot
11 program until the date that is 4 years after the date on
12 which the pilot program is established.

13 (h) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

14 (1) *AFFECTED INDIAN TRIBE.*—The term “af-
15 fected Indian Tribe” means any Indian Tribe that at-
16 taches religious or other significance to any burial
17 site, village site, or cultural resources identified or
18 discovered at a covered civil works project.

19 (2) *BURIAL SITE.*—The term “burial site” means
20 any natural or prepared physical location, whether
21 originally below, on, or above the surface of the earth,
22 where Native American cultural resources are present
23 as a result of a death rite or ceremony of a culture.

1 (3) *COVERED CIVIL WORKS PROJECT.*—*The term*
2 “*covered civil works project*” *means a civil works*
3 *project that is—*

4 (A) *located in the watershed of the Sac-*
5 *ramento River and its tributaries, including the*
6 *American, Bear, Yuba, and Feather Rivers,*
7 *within the State of California;*

8 (B) *being constructed, reconstructed, or re-*
9 *paired, or operated and maintained, using Fed-*
10 *eral funds; and*

11 (C) *owned, authorized, permitted, carried*
12 *out, or operated and maintained by the Depart-*
13 *ment of the Army, including a project carried*
14 *out by a non-Federal interest under section 204*
15 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
16 *(33 U.S.C. 2232) or section 1043 of the Water*
17 *Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014*
18 *(33 U.S.C. 2201 note).*

19 (4) *CULTURAL RESOURCES.*—*The term “cultural*
20 *resources” means—*

21 (A) *human remains; or*

22 (B) *funerary objects or other ceremonial ob-*
23 *jects.*

1 (5) *FUNERARY OBJECTS.*—*The term “funerary*
2 *objects” means items that are associated with the*
3 *death rite or ceremony of a culture.*

4 (6) *HUMAN REMAINS.*—*The term “human re-*
5 *mains” means the physical remains of a human body,*
6 *including such remains that have been cremated and*
7 *that may be in any state of decomposition or skeletal*
8 *completeness (including ashes or small bone frag-*
9 *ments).*

10 (7) *INDIAN TRIBE.*—*The term “Indian Tribe”*
11 *has the meaning given that term in section 102 of the*
12 *Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994*
13 *(25 U.S.C. 5130).*

14 (8) *PILOT PROGRAM.*—*The term “pilot program”*
15 *means the pilot program established under this sec-*
16 *tion.*

17 (9) *RIGHTFULLY CLAIMED.*—*The term “right-*
18 *fully claimed” means claimed by—*

19 (A) *with respect to cultural resources identi-*
20 *fied or discovered on Federal or Tribal lands at*
21 *the site of a covered civil works project—*

22 (i) *the person or entity with ownership*
23 *or control of the cultural resources under*
24 *section 3 of the Native American Graves*

1 *Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C.*
2 *3002); or*

3 *(ii) with respect to cultural resources*
4 *not subject to such Act, the appropriate per-*
5 *son or entity determined in accordance with*
6 *the priority order established by such sec-*
7 *tion; and*

8 *(B) with respect to cultural resources iden-*
9 *tified or discovered on other lands at the site of*
10 *a covered civil works project—*

11 *(i) in the case of Native American*
12 *human remains and funerary objects associ-*
13 *ated with such remains, the lineal descend-*
14 *ants of the Native American, as determined*
15 *in accordance with the laws of the State of*
16 *California; or*

17 *(ii) in any case in which such lineal*
18 *descendants cannot be ascertained, and in*
19 *the case of other funerary objects or other*
20 *ceremonial objects—*

21 *(I) the Indian Tribe that has the*
22 *closest cultural affiliation with the cul-*
23 *tural resources; or*

1 (ii) if the cultural affiliation of
2 the cultural resources cannot be reason-
3 ably ascertained—

4 (aa) the Indian Tribe that is
5 recognized as aboriginally occu-
6 pying the area in which the cul-
7 tural resources were identified or
8 discovered; or

9 (bb) if it can be shown by a
10 preponderance of the evidence that
11 a different Indian Tribe has a
12 stronger cultural relationship
13 with the cultural resources than
14 the Indian Tribe specified in item
15 (aa), the Indian Tribe that has
16 the strongest demonstrated rela-
17 tionship with such cultural re-
18 sources.

19 (10) *VILLAGE SITE*.—The term “village site”
20 means any natural or prepared physical location,
21 whether below, on, or above the surface of the earth,
22 where a Native American village has been present.

1 **SEC. 152. EMERGENCY DROUGHT OPERATIONS PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) *DEFINITION OF COVERED PROJECT.*—*In this sec-*
4 *tion, the term “covered project” means a project—*

5 (1) *that is located in the State of California, the*
6 *State of Nevada, or the State of Arizona; and*

7 (2)(A) *of the Corps of Engineers for which water*
8 *supply is an authorized purpose; or*

9 (B) *for which the Secretary develops a*
10 *water control manual under section 7 of the Act*
11 *of December 22, 1944 (commonly known as the*
12 *“Flood Control Act of 1944”) (58 Stat. 890,*
13 *chapter 665; 33 U.S.C. 709).*

14 (b) *EMERGENCY OPERATION DURING DROUGHT.*—
15 *Consistent with other authorized project purposes and in*
16 *coordination with the non-Federal interest, in operating a*
17 *covered project during a drought emergency in the project*
18 *area, the Secretary may carry out a pilot program to oper-*
19 *ate the covered project with water supply as the primary*
20 *project purpose.*

21 (c) *UPDATES.*—*In carrying out this section, the Sec-*
22 *retary may update the water control manual for a covered*
23 *project to include drought operations and contingency*
24 *plans.*

25 (d) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In carrying out subsection (b),*
26 *the Secretary shall ensure that—*

1 (1) *operations described in that subsection—*

2 (A) *are consistent with water management*
3 *deviations and drought contingency plans in the*
4 *water control manual for the covered project;*

5 (B) *impact only the flood pool managed by*
6 *the Secretary; and*

7 (C) *shall not be carried out in the event of*
8 *a forecast or anticipated flood or weather event*
9 *that would require flood risk management to take*
10 *precedence;*

11 (2) *to the maximum extent practicable, the Sec-*
12 *retary uses forecast-informed reservoir operations;*
13 *and*

14 (3) *the covered project returns to the operations*
15 *that were in place prior to the use of the authority*
16 *provided under that subsection at a time determined*
17 *by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-Fed-*
18 *eral interest.*

19 (e) *CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary may receive*
20 *and expend funds contributed by a non-Federal interest to*
21 *carry out activities under this section.*

22 (f) *REPORT.—*

23 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after*
24 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
25 *submit to the Committee on Environment and Public*

1 *Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-*
2 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
3 *tives a report on the pilot program carried out under*
4 *this section.*

5 (2) *INCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall include in*
6 *the report under paragraph (1) a description of the*
7 *activities of the Secretary that were carried out for*
8 *each covered project and any lessons learned from*
9 *carrying out those activities.*

10 (g) *LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section—*

11 (1) *affects, modifies, or changes the authorized*
12 *purposes of a covered project;*

13 (2) *affects existing Corps of Engineers authori-*
14 *ties, including authorities with respect to navigation,*
15 *hydropower, flood damage reduction, and environ-*
16 *mental protection and restoration;*

17 (3) *affects the ability of the Corps of Engineers*
18 *to provide for temporary deviations;*

19 (4) *affects the application of a cost-share require-*
20 *ment under section 101, 102, or 103 of the Water Re-*
21 *sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211,*
22 *2212, 2213);*

23 (5) *supersedes or modifies any written agreement*
24 *between the Federal Government and a non-Federal*

1 *interest that is in effect on the date of enactment of*
2 *this Act;*

3 (6) *supersedes or modifies any amendment to an*
4 *existing multistate water control plan for the Colo-*
5 *rado River Basin, if applicable;*

6 (7) *affects any water right in existence on the*
7 *date of enactment of this Act;*

8 (8) *preempts or affects any State water law or*
9 *interstate compact governing water;*

10 (9) *affects existing water supply agreements be-*
11 *tween the Secretary and the non-Federal interest; or*

12 (10) *affects any obligation to comply with the*
13 *provisions of any Federal or State environmental*
14 *law, including—*

15 (A) *the National Environmental Policy Act*
16 *of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);*

17 (B) *the Federal Water Pollution Control Act*
18 *(33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.); and*

19 (C) *the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16*
20 *U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).*

21 **SEC. 153. REPORT ON MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

22 (a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress*
23 *that through this Act, as well as through section 1115 of*
24 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2018, that Congress*
25 *has provided the Secretary all of the authority, and all of*

1 *the direction, needed to acquire interests in real estate that*
2 *are less than fee simple title.*

3 **(b) REPORT.**—*Not later than 90 days after the enact-*
4 *ment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Com-*
5 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House*
6 *of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and*
7 *Public Works of the Senate a report indicating whether they*
8 *agree with the sense of Congress in subsection (a).*

9 **(c) DISAGREEMENT.**—*Should the result of report re-*
10 *quired in subsection (b) be that the Secretary disagrees with*
11 *the sense of Congress in subsection (a), not later than 1*
12 *year after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-*
13 *mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
14 *of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
15 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate a report specifying*
16 *recommendations and technical drafting assistance for stat-*
17 *utory language that would provide the Secretary the in-*
18 *tended authority and expressed in subsection (a).*

19 **SEC. 154. LEVEE OWNERS BOARD.**

20 *Section 9003 of the Water Resources Development Act*
21 *of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3302) is amended to read as follows:*

22 **“SEC. 9003. LEVEE OWNERS BOARD.**

23 **“(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF OWNERS BOARD.**—*There is*
24 *hereby established a Levee Owners Board (hereinafter in*
25 *this section referred to as the ‘Owners Board’) composed of*

1 *the eleven members appointed by the Secretary. The mem-*
2 *bers shall be appointed so as to represent various regions*
3 *of the country, including at least one Federal levee system*
4 *owner-operator from each of the eight civil works divisions*
5 *of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Secretary of the*
6 *Army shall designate, and the Administrator of FEMA may*
7 *designate, a representative to act as an observer of the Own-*
8 *ers Board.*

9 “(1) *TERMS OF MEMBERS.—*

10 “(A) *IN GENERAL.—A member of the Own-*
11 *ers Board shall be appointed for a period of 3*
12 *years.*

13 “(B) *REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the*
14 *Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-*
15 *ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be ap-*
16 *propriate.*

17 “(C) *VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Own-*
18 *ers Board shall be filled in the same manner as*
19 *the original appointment was made.*

20 “(2) *CHAIRPERSON.—*

21 “(A) *IN GENERAL.—The members of the*
22 *Owners Board shall appoint a chairperson from*
23 *among the members of the Owners Board.*

24 “(b) *DUTIES OF THE OWNERS BOARD.—*

1 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*— *The Owners Board shall*
2 *meet not less frequently than semiannually to develop*
3 *and make recommendations to the Secretary and Con-*
4 *gress regarding levee system reliability throughout the*
5 *United States.*

6 “(2) *ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS.*—*The*
7 *Owners Board shall provide—*

8 “(A) *prior to the development of the budget*
9 *proposal of the President for a given fiscal year,*
10 *advice and recommendations to the Secretary re-*
11 *garding overall levee system reliability;*

12 “(B) *advice and recommendations to Con-*
13 *gress regarding any feasibility report for a flood*
14 *risk management project that has been submitted*
15 *to Congress;*

16 “(C) *not later than 60 days after the date*
17 *of the submission of the budget proposal of the*
18 *President to Congress, advice and recommenda-*
19 *tions to Congress regarding flood risk manage-*
20 *ment project construction and rehabilitation pri-*
21 *orities and corresponding spending levels;*

22 “(D) *advice and recommendations to the*
23 *Secretary and the Congress regarding effective-*
24 *ness of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers levee*
25 *safety program, including comments and rec-*

1 *ommendations on the budgets and expenditures*
2 *as described in subsection (c)(2); and*

3 “(E) *advice and recommendations to the*
4 *Secretary, the Congress, and the Administrator*
5 *regarding effectiveness of the levee safety initia-*
6 *tive established by section 9005, including com-*
7 *ments and recommendations on the budgets and*
8 *expenditures described in subsection (c)(2).*

9 “(3) *INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—Any advice or*
10 *recommendations made by the Owners Board shall re-*
11 *fect the independent judgment of the Owners Board.*

12 “(c) *DUTIES OF THE SECRETARY.—The Secretary*
13 *shall—*

14 “(1) *designate an Executive Secretary who shall*
15 *assist the Chairman in administering the Owners*
16 *Board and ensuring that the Owners Board operates*
17 *in accordance with chapter 10 of title 5, United*
18 *States Code;*

19 “(2) *provide to the Owners Board such detailed*
20 *reports of Corps activities and expenditures related to*
21 *flood risk management and levees, including for the*
22 *Corps levee safety program and the levee safety initia-*
23 *tive, not less frequently than semiannually; and*

1 “(3) *submit to the Owners Board a courtesy copy*
2 *of any completed feasibility report for a flood risk*
3 *management project submitted to Congress.*

4 “(d) *ADMINISTRATION.—*

5 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall be*
6 *subject to chapter 10 of title 5, other than section*
7 *1013, and with the consent of the appropriate agency*
8 *head, the Owners Board may use the facilities and*
9 *services of any Federal agency.*

10 “(2) *MEMBERS NOT CONSIDERED SPECIAL GOV-*
11 *ERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—For the purposes of com-*
12 *plying with chapter 10 of title 5, United States Code,*
13 *the members of the Owners Board shall not be consid-*
14 *ered special Government employees (as defined in sec-*
15 *tion 202 of title 18, United States Code).*

16 “(3) *TRAVEL EXPENSE.—Non-Federal members*
17 *of the Owners Board while engaged in the perform-*
18 *ance of their duties away from their homes or regular*
19 *places of business, may be allowed travel expenses, in-*
20 *cluding per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized*
21 *by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code.”.*

22 **SEC. 155. DEFINITION.**

23 *For the purposes of this Act, the term “State” shall*
24 *have the meaning given to such term in the Act of October*
25 *15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h-1).*

1 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND**
2 **REPORTS**

3 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY**
4 **STUDIES.**

5 (a) *NEW PROJECTS.*—*The Secretary is authorized to*
6 *conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for*
7 *water resources development and conservation and other*
8 *purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to Con-*
9 *gress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted*
10 *to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources*
11 *Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d)*
12 *or otherwise reviewed by Congress:*

13 (1) *LUXAPALLILA CREEK, MILLPORT, ALA-*
14 *BAMA.*—*Project for flood risk management, Town of*
15 *Millport and vicinity, Alabama.*

16 (2) *YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.*—*Project for*
17 *flood risk management, Yavapai County, in the vicin-*
18 *ity of the City of Cottonwood, Arizona.*

19 (3) *CLEAR LAKE, CALIFORNIA.*—*Project for flood*
20 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, Clear*
21 *Lake, Lake County, California.*

22 (4) *COSUMNES RIVER WATERSHED, CALI-*
23 *FORNIA.*—*Project for flood risk management, eco-*
24 *system restoration, water supply, and related pur-*
25 *poses, Cosumnes River watershed, California.*

1 (5) *HESPERIA, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood*
2 *risk management, city of Hesperia, California.*

3 (6) *PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.—*
4 *Project for flood risk management and storm damage*
5 *risk reduction, Pillar Point Harbor, California.*

6 (7) *RIALTO CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.—Project for*
7 *flood risk management, Rialto Channel, city of Rialto*
8 *and vicinity, California.*

9 (8) *SALINAS RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for*
10 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, Sa-*
11 *linas River, California.*

12 (9) *SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for*
13 *flood risk management, city of San Bernardino, Cali-*
14 *fornia.*

15 (10) *SAN DIEGO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for*
16 *flood risk management, San Diego Bay, California.*

17 (11) *SAN DIEGO AND ORANGE COUNTIES, CALI-*
18 *FORNIA.—Project for flood and coastal storm risk*
19 *management and ecosystem restoration, San Diego*
20 *and Orange Counties, California.*

21 (12) *SAN FELIPE LAKE AND PAJARO RIVER, SAN*
22 *BENITO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood risk*
23 *management, San Felipe Lake and Pajaro River, San*
24 *Benito County, California.*

1 (13) *CITY OF SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—Project*
2 *for flood risk management, including stormwater run-*
3 *off reduction, City of San Mateo, California.*

4 (14) *SANTA ANA RIVER, ANAHEIM, CALI-*
5 *FORNIA.—Project for flood risk management, water*
6 *supply, and recreation, Santa Ana River, Anaheim,*
7 *California.*

8 (15) *SANTA ANA RIVER, JURUPA VALLEY, CALI-*
9 *FORNIA.—Project for ecosystem restoration and recre-*
10 *ation, Santa Ana River, Jurupa Valley, California.*

11 (16) *SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—*
12 *Project for ecosystem restoration and water supply,*
13 *Sweetwater Reservoir, California.*

14 (17) *FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, COLO-*
15 *RADO.—Project for flood risk management and eco-*
16 *system restoration, Fountain Creek, Colorado Springs*
17 *and Pueblo, Colorado.*

18 (18) *CITY OF NORWALK, CONNECTICUT.—Project*
19 *for flood risk management, City of Norwalk, Con-*
20 *necticut, in the vicinity of the Norwalk wastewater*
21 *treatment plant.*

22 (19) *CONNECTICUT SHORELINE, CONNECTICUT.—*
23 *Project for hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-*
24 *tion, Connecticut shoreline, Connecticut.*

1 (20) *PARK RIVER CONDUIT, CITY OF HARTFORD,*
2 *CONNECTICUT.—Project for flood risk management,*
3 *including stormwater management, City of Hartford,*
4 *Connecticut and vicinity.*

5 (21) *WESTPORT BEACHES, CONNECTICUT.—*
6 *Project for hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-*
7 *tion and ecosystem restoration, Westport, Connecticut.*

8 (22) *DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED,*
9 *DELAWARE.—Project for flood risk management, hur-*
10 *ricane and storm risk reduction, and ecosystem res-*
11 *toration, including shoreline stabilization, Delaware*
12 *Inland Bays watershed, Delaware.*

13 (23) *TOWN OF MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project for*
14 *flood risk management, Town of Milton, Delaware.*

15 (24) *CITY OF WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—Project*
16 *for flood risk management and hurricane and storm*
17 *risk reduction, City of Wilmington, Delaware.*

18 (25) *ANACOSTIA RIVER BANK AND SEAWALLS,*
19 *DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.—Project for*
20 *navigation, ecosystem restoration, and recreation, in-*
21 *cluding dredging and sediment management, Ana-*
22 *costia River bank and seawalls, Washington, District*
23 *of Columbia, and Prince George's County, Maryland.*

1 (26) *FLETCHERS COVE, DISTRICT OF COLUM-*
2 *BIA.—Project for recreation, including dredging,*
3 *Fletchers Cove, District of Columbia.*

4 (27) *EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA, FLORIDA.—*
5 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
6 *toration, including sediment and debris management,*
7 *East Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida.*

8 (28) *FLORIDA SPACEPORT SYSTEM MARINE*
9 *INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION WHARF, FLORIDA.—*
10 *Project for navigation, Florida Spaceport System Ma-*
11 *rine Intermodal Transportation Wharf, in the vicin-*
12 *ity of Cape Canaveral, Florida.*

13 (29) *FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE, FLOR-*
14 *IDA.—Project for coastal storm risk management, in-*
15 *cluding shoreline damage prevention and mitigation,*
16 *Fort George Inlet, city of Jacksonville, Florida.*

17 (30) *LAKE CONWAY, FLORIDA.—Project for flood*
18 *risk management, navigation, and ecosystem restora-*
19 *tion, including sediment and debris management,*
20 *Lake Conway, Florida.*

21 (31) *MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, TAMPA, FLOR-*
22 *IDA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage risk*
23 *reduction and ecosystem restoration in the vicinity of*
24 *MacDill Air Force Base, City of Tampa, Florida.*

1 (32) *PALATKA BARGE PORT, PUTNAM COUNTY,*
2 *FLORIDA.—Project for navigation, Palatka Barge*
3 *Port, Putnam County, Florida.*

4 (33) *CAMP CREEK TRIBUTARY, GEORGIA.—*
5 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
6 *toration, including stream restoration, along the*
7 *Camp Creek Tributary in Fulton County, Georgia.*

8 (34) *COLLEGE PARK, GEORGIA.—Project for flood*
9 *risk management, City of College Park, Georgia.*

10 (35) *PROCTOR CREEK, SMYRNA, GEORGIA.—*
11 *Project for flood risk management, Proctor Creek,*
12 *Smyrna, Georgia, including Jonquil Driver*
13 *Stormwater Park.*

14 (36) *TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for eco-*
15 *system restoration and hurricane and storm damage*
16 *risk reduction, Tybee Island, Georgia, including by*
17 *incorporating other Federal studies conducted on the*
18 *effect of the construction of Savannah Harbor Chan-*
19 *nel on the shoreline of Tybee Island.*

20 (37) *GUAM.—Project for flood risk management*
21 *and coastal storm risk management, Guam.*

22 (38) *KAUA'I, HAWAII.—Project for flood and*
23 *coastal storm risk management, county of Kaua'i,*
24 *Hawaii.*

1 (39) *KAIKA-WAIALUA WATERSHED, HAWAII.—*
2 *Project for flood risk management, Kaiaka-Waiialua*
3 *watershed, O‘ahu, Hawaii.*

4 (40) *BERWYN, ILLINOIS.—Project for comprehen-*
5 *sive flood risk management, City of Berwyn, Illinois.*

6 (41) *BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project*
7 *for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,*
8 *Butterfield Creek, Illinois.*

9 (42) *FRANKLIN PARK, ILLINOIS.—Project for*
10 *flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and*
11 *water supply, Village of Franklin Park, Illinois.*

12 (43) *ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for flood*
13 *risk management, Town of Rocky Ripple, Indiana.*

14 (44) *BAYOU RIGAUD TO CAMINADA PASS, LOU-*
15 *ISIANA.—Project for navigation, Bayou Rigaud to*
16 *Caminada Pass, Louisiana.*

17 (45) *HAGAMAN CHUTE, LAKE PROVIDENCE, LOU-*
18 *ISIANA.—Project for navigation, including widening,*
19 *Hagaman Chute, Lake Providence, Louisiana.*

20 (46) *LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORM SURGE RE-*
21 *DUCTION PROJECT, LOUISIANA.—Project for hurricane*
22 *and storm damage risk reduction, Lake Pont-*
23 *chartrain, Orleans, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Liv-*
24 *ingston, St. James, St. John, St. Charles, Jefferson,*
25 *and St. Bernard Parishes, Louisiana.*

1 (47) *LIVINGSTON PARISH FLOOD PROTECTION,*
2 *LOUISIANA.—Project for flood risk management, Liv-*
3 *ingston Parish, Louisiana.*

4 (48) *NATCHITOCHEES, LOUISIANA.—Project for*
5 *flood risk management, City of Natchitoches, Lou-*
6 *isiana.*

7 (49) *NEW ORLEANS METRO AREA, LOUISIANA.—*
8 *Project for ecosystem restoration and water supply,*
9 *including mitigation of saltwater wedges, for the City*
10 *of New Orleans and metro area, Louisiana.*

11 (50) *PILOTTOWN, LOUISIANA.—Project for navi-*
12 *gation and flood risk management, including dredg-*
13 *ing, in the vicinity of Pilottown, Plaquemines Parish,*
14 *Louisiana.*

15 (51) *BALTIMORE INLAND FLOODING, MARY-*
16 *LAND.—Project for inland flood risk management,*
17 *City of Baltimore and Baltimore County, Maryland.*

18 (52) *BEAVERDAM CREEK, PRINCE GEORGE'S*
19 *COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for flood risk manage-*
20 *ment, Beaverdam Creek, Prince George's County,*
21 *Maryland, in the vicinity of United States Route 50*
22 *and railroads.*

23 (53) *MARYLAND BEACHES, MARYLAND.—Project*
24 *for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction and*

1 *flood risk management in the vicinity of United*
2 *States Route 1, Maryland.*

3 (54) *CAPE COD CANAL, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
4 *Project for recreation, Cape Cod Canal, in the vicin-*
5 *ity of Tidal Flats Recreation Area, Massachusetts.*

6 (55) *LEOMINSTER, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for*
7 *flood risk management, City of Leominster, Massa-*
8 *chusetts.*

9 (56) *LOWER COBB BROOK, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
10 *Project for flood risk management, Lower Cobb Brook,*
11 *City of Taunton, Massachusetts.*

12 (57) *SUNSET BAY, CHARLES RIVER, MASSACHU-*
13 *SETTS.—Project for navigation, flood risk manage-*
14 *ment, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, including*
15 *dredging, in the vicinity of Sunset Bay, Charles*
16 *River, cities of Boston, Watertown, and Newton, Mas-*
17 *sachusetts.*

18 (58) *SQUANTUM CAUSEWAY, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
19 *Project for flood and coastal storm risk management,*
20 *Squantum, in the vicinity of East Squantum Street*
21 *and Dorchester Street Causeway, Quincy, Massachu-*
22 *setts.*

23 (59) *TOWN NECK BEACH, SANDWICH, MASSACHU-*
24 *SETTS.—Project for flood risk management and coast-*
25 *al storm risk management, including shoreline dam-*

1 *age prevention and mitigation, Town Neck Beach,*
2 *town of Sandwich, Massachusetts.*

3 (60) *WESTPORT HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
4 *Project for flood risk management, hurricane and*
5 *storm damage risk reduction, and navigation, includ-*
6 *ing improvements to the breakwater at Westport Har-*
7 *bor, Town of Westport, Massachusetts.*

8 (61) *ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Project for water*
9 *supply, Ann Arbor, Michigan.*

10 (62) *KALAMAZOO RIVER WATERSHED, MICH-*
11 *IGAN.—Project for flood risk management and eco-*
12 *system restoration, Kalamazoo River Watershed and*
13 *tributaries, Michigan.*

14 (63) *MCCOMB, MISSISSIPPI.—Project for flood*
15 *risk management, city of McComb, Mississippi.*

16 (64) *MILES CITY, MONTANA.—Project for flood*
17 *risk management, Miles City, Montana.*

18 (65) *BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NEW PROVIDENCE,*
19 *AND SUMMIT, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood risk*
20 *management, Township of Berkeley Heights, Borough*
21 *of New Providence, and City of Summit, New Jersey.*

22 (66) *BERRY'S CREEK, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
23 *flood risk management, Berry's Creek, New Jersey.*

1 (67) *FLEISCHER BROOK, NEW JERSEY.—Project*
2 *for flood risk management, Fleischer Brook, New Jer-*
3 *sey.*

4 (68) *GUTTENBERG, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
5 *flood risk management, Guttenberg, New Jersey, in*
6 *the vicinity of John F. Kennedy Boulevard East.*

7 (69) *PASSAIC RIVER BASIN, NEW JERSEY.—*
8 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
9 *toration, Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Morris, and Passaic*
10 *Counties, New Jersey.*

11 (70) *PASSAIC RIVER, PATERSON, NEW JERSEY.—*
12 *Project for navigation and flood risk management,*
13 *Passaic River, Paterson, New Jersey.*

14 (71) *GREAT FALLS RACEWAYS, PATERSON, NEW*
15 *JERSEY.—Project for flood risk management and hy-*
16 *dropower, Paterson, New Jersey.*

17 (72) *PAULSBORO, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
18 *navigation, Borough of Paulsboro, New Jersey.*

19 (73) *VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD, NEW JERSEY.—*
20 *Project for flood risk management along the Ho-Ho-*
21 *Kus Brook and Saddle River, Village of Ridgewood,*
22 *New Jersey.*

23 (74) *WOLF CREEK, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
24 *flood risk management, Wolf Creek, Ridgefield, New*
25 *Jersey.*

1 (75) *DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—Project*
2 *for water supply, Doña Ana County, New Mexico.*

3 (76) *HATCH, NEW MEXICO.—Project for flood*
4 *risk management, including the Hatch Dam Project,*
5 *Village of Hatch, New Mexico.*

6 (77) *NAMBE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW MEXICO.—*
7 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
8 *toration, including sediment and debris management,*
9 *Nambe River Watershed, New Mexico.*

10 (78) *OTERO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—Project for*
11 *flood risk management, Otero County, New Mexico.*

12 (79) *BABYLON, NEW YORK.—Project for flood risk*
13 *management, hurricane and storm damage risk re-*
14 *duction, navigation, and ecosystem restoration, Town*
15 *of Babylon, New York.*

16 (80) *BRONX RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project for flood*
17 *risk management and hurricane and storm damage*
18 *risk reduction, Bronxville, Tuckahoe, and Yonkers,*
19 *New York.*

20 (81) *BROOKHAVEN, NEW YORK.—Project for flood*
21 *risk management, hurricane and storm damage risk*
22 *reduction, and ecosystem restoration, Town of*
23 *Brookhaven, New York.*

24 (82) *HIGHLANDS, NEW YORK.—Project for flood*
25 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, High-*

1 *land Brook (also known as “Buttermilk Falls Brook”)*
2 *and tributaries, Town of Highlands, Orange County,*
3 *New York.*

4 (83) *INWOOD HILL PARK, NEW YORK.—Project*
5 *for ecosystem restoration, Inwood Hill Park, Spuyten*
6 *Duyvil Creek, Manhattan, New York.*

7 (84) *ISLIP, NEW YORK.—Project for flood risk*
8 *management, Town of Islip, New York.*

9 (85) *OYSTER BAY, NEW YORK.—Project for coast-*
10 *al storm risk management and flood risk management*
11 *in the vicinity of Tobay Beach, Town of Oyster Bay,*
12 *New York.*

13 (86) *PASCACK BROOK, ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW*
14 *YORK.—Project for flood risk management, Pascack*
15 *Brook, Rockland County, New York, including the*
16 *Village of Spring Valley.*

17 (87) *SPARKILL CREEK, ORANGETOWN, NEW*
18 *YORK.—Project for flood risk management and ero-*
19 *sion, Sparkill Creek, Orangetown, New York.*

20 (88) *TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for eco-*
21 *system restoration, Pelham Bay Park, Eastchester*
22 *Bay, in the vicinity of Turtle Cove, Bronx, New York.*

23 (89) *SOMERS, NEW YORK.—Project for ecosystem*
24 *restoration and water supply, Town of Somers, New*
25 *York.*

1 (90) *CAPE FEAR RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,*
2 *NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for flood risk manage-*
3 *ment, in the vicinity of Northeast Cape Fear River*
4 *and Black River, North Carolina.*

5 (91) *LELAND, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for*
6 *flood risk management, navigation, ecosystem restora-*
7 *tion, and recreation, including bank stabilization, for*
8 *Jackeys Creek in the Town of Leland, North Carolina.*

9 (92) *MARION, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for*
10 *flood risk management, including riverbank stabiliza-*
11 *tion, along the Catawba River, City of Marion, North*
12 *Carolina.*

13 (93) *PENDER COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
14 *Project for flood risk management in the vicinity of*
15 *North Carolina Highway 53, Pender County, North*
16 *Carolina.*

17 (94) *PIGEON RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project*
18 *for flood risk management, Pigeon River, in the vicin-*
19 *ity of the towns of Clyde and Canton, Haywood*
20 *County, North Carolina.*

21 (95) *UNION COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Project*
22 *for flood risk management, water supply, and recre-*
23 *ation, Union County, South Carolina.*

24 (96) *OGALLALA AQUIFER.—Project for flood risk*
25 *management and water supply, including aquifer re-*

1 *charge, for the Ogallala Aquifer, Colorado, Kansas,*
2 *New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas.*

3 (97) *COE CREEK, OHIO.—Project for flood risk*
4 *management, Coe Creek, City of Fairview Park, Ohio.*

5 (98) *GREAT MIAMI RIVER, OHIO.—Project for*
6 *flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and*
7 *recreation, including incorporation of existing levee*
8 *systems, for the Great Miami River, Ohio.*

9 (99) *LAKE TEXOMA, OKLAHOMA AND TEXAS.—*
10 *Project for water supply, including increased needs in*
11 *southern Oklahoma, Lake Texoma, Oklahoma and*
12 *Texas.*

13 (100) *SARDIS LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Project for*
14 *water supply, Sardis Lake, Oklahoma.*

15 (101) *SIUSLAW RIVER, FLORENCE, OREGON.—*
16 *Project for flood risk management and streambank*
17 *erosion, Siuslaw River, Florence, Oregon.*

18 (102) *WILLAMETTE RIVER, LANE COUNTY, OR-*
19 *EGON.—Project for flood risk management and eco-*
20 *system restoration, Willamette River, Lane County,*
21 *Oregon.*

22 (103) *ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
23 *Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Alle-*
24 *gheny River, Pennsylvania.*

1 (104) *BOROUGH OF POTTSTOWN, PENNSYL-*
2 *VANIA.—Project for alternate water supply, Borough*
3 *of Pottstown, Pennsylvania.*

4 (105) *BOROUGH OF NORRISTOWN, PENNSYL-*
5 *VANIA.—Project for flood risk management, including*
6 *dredging along the Schuylkill River, in the Borough*
7 *of Norristown and vicinity, Pennsylvania.*

8 (106) *WEST NORRITON TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-*
9 *VANIA.—Project for flood risk management and*
10 *streambank erosion, Stony Creek, in the vicinity of*
11 *Whitehall Road, West Norriton Township, Pennsyl-*
12 *vania.*

13 (107) *GUAYAMA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood*
14 *risk management, Río Guamaní, Guayama, Puerto*
15 *Rico.*

16 (108) *NARANJITO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for*
17 *flood risk management, Río Guadiana, Naranjito,*
18 *Puerto Rico.*

19 (109) *OROCOVIS, PUERTO RICO.—Project for*
20 *flood risk management, Río Orocovis, Orocovis, Puer-*
21 *to Rico.*

22 (110) *PONCE, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood*
23 *risk management, Río Inabón, Ponce, Puerto Rico.*

1 (111) *SANTA ISABEL, PUERTO RICO.—Project for*
2 *flood risk management, Río Descalabrado, Santa Isa-*
3 *bel, Puerto Rico.*

4 (112) *YAUCO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood*
5 *risk management, Río Yauco, Yauco, Puerto Rico.*

6 (113) *GREENE COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Project for*
7 *water supply, including evaluation of Nolichucky*
8 *River capabilities, Greene County, Tennessee.*

9 (114) *DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Project*
10 *for flood risk management, City of Nashville, David-*
11 *son County, Tennessee.*

12 (115) *GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project for*
13 *flood risk management, Guadalupe County, including*
14 *City of Santa Clara, Texas.*

15 (116) *HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project for flood*
16 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, Halls*
17 *Bayou, Harris County, Texas.*

18 (117) *WINOOSKI RIVER BASIN, VERMONT.—*
19 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
20 *toration, Winooski River basin, Vermont.*

21 (118) *CEDARBUSH CREEK, GLOUCESTER COUNTY,*
22 *VIRGINIA.—Project for navigation, Cedarbush Creek,*
23 *Gloucester County, Virginia.*

24 (119) *CHICKAHOMINY RIVER, JAMES CITY COUN-*
25 *TY, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood and coastal storm*

1 *risk management, Chickahominy River, James City*
2 *County, Virginia.*

3 (120) *JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project*
4 *for flood risk management and navigation, James*
5 *City County, Virginia.*

6 (121) *TIMBERNECK CREEK, GLOUCESTER COUN-*
7 *TY, VIRGINIA.—Project for navigation, Timberneck*
8 *Creek, Gloucester County, Virginia.*

9 (122) *YORK RIVER, YORK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—*
10 *Project for flood risk management and coastal storm*
11 *risk management, York River, York County, Virginia.*

12 (123) *WAHKIAKUM COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—*
13 *Project for flood risk management and sediment man-*
14 *agement, Grays River, in the vicinity of Rosburg,*
15 *Wahkiakum County, Washington.*

16 (124) *ARCADIA, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood*
17 *risk management, city of Arcadia, Wisconsin.*

18 (125) *CITY OF LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—Project*
19 *for flood risk management, City of La Crosse, Wis-*
20 *consin.*

21 (126) *RIVER FALLS, WISCONSIN.—Project for eco-*
22 *system restoration, city of River Falls, Wisconsin.*

23 (b) *PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-*
24 *thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following*
25 *project modifications:*

1 (1) *BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS,*
2 *ALABAMA.—Modifications to the project for naviga-*
3 *tion, Coffeeville Lock and Dam, authorized pursuant*
4 *to section 4 of the Act of July 5, 1884 (chapter 229,*
5 *23 Stat. 148; 35 Stat. 818), and portion of the project*
6 *for navigation, Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, Ala-*
7 *bama and Mississippi, consisting of the Demopolis*
8 *Lock and Dam on the Warrior-Tombigbee Waterway,*
9 *Alabama, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March*
10 *2, 1945 (59 Stat. 17), for construction of new locks*
11 *to maintain navigability.*

12 (2) *FARMINGTON DAM, CALIFORNIA.—Modifica-*
13 *tions to the project for flood control and other pur-*
14 *poses, the Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and*
15 *tributaries, California, authorized by section 10 of the*
16 *Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 902),*
17 *for improved flood risk management and to support*
18 *water supply recharge and storage.*

19 (3) *HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CALI-*
20 *FORNIA.—Modifications to the project for navigation,*
21 *Humboldt Harbor and Bay, California, authorized by*
22 *the first section of the Act of July 3, 1930 (chapter*
23 *847, 46 Stat. 932; 82 Stat. 732; 110 Stat. 3663), for*
24 *additional deepening and widening.*

1 (4) *SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CALIFORNIA.—*
2 *Modifications to the project for flood control, Sac-*
3 *ramento-San Joaquin Basin Streams, California, au-*
4 *thorized pursuant to the resolution of the Committee*
5 *on Public Works of the House of Representatives*
6 *adopted on May 8, 1964 (docket number 1371), for*
7 *improved flood risk management, including dredging.*

8 (5) *MADERA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Modifica-*
9 *tions to the project for flood risk management, water*
10 *supply, and ecosystem restoration, Chowchilla River,*
11 *Ash Slough, and Berenda Slough, Madera County,*
12 *California, authorized pursuant to section 6 of the*
13 *Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1595; 52*
14 *Stat. 1225).*

15 (6) *SACRAMENTO RIVER INTEGRATED FLOOD-*
16 *PLAIN MANAGEMENT, CALIFORNIA.—Modifications to*
17 *the project for flood control, Sacramento River, Cali-*
18 *formia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March 1,*
19 *1917 (chapter 144, 39 Stat. 949; 76 Stat. 1197), to*
20 *enhance flood risk reduction, to incorporate natural*
21 *and nature-based features, and to incorporate modi-*
22 *fications to the portion of such project north of the*
23 *Freemont Weir for the purposes of integrating man-*
24 *agement of such system with the adjacent floodplain.*

1 (7) *THAMES RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—Modifica-*
2 *tions to the project for navigation, Thames River,*
3 *Connecticut, authorized by the first section of the Act*
4 *of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 13), to increase authorized*
5 *depth.*

6 (8) *HANAPĒPĒ RIVER, HAWAII.—Modifications to*
7 *the project for local flood protection, Hanapēpē River,*
8 *island of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized by section 10 of*
9 *the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat.*
10 *903), to improve protection provided by levees and*
11 *flood control features.*

12 (9) *LAUPĀHOEHOE HARBOR, HAWAII.—Modifica-*
13 *tions to the project for navigation, Laupāhoehoe Har-*
14 *bor, Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 107 of*
15 *the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 486), for*
16 *seawall repair and mitigation.*

17 (10) *WAIMEA RIVER, KAUA‘I, HAWAII.—Modifica-*
18 *tions to the project for coastal storm risk management*
19 *and ecosystem restoration, Waimea River, Kaua‘i,*
20 *Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 205 of the*
21 *Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), to im-*
22 *prove protection provided by levees and flood control*
23 *features.*

24 (11) *CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-*
25 *PERSAL BARRIER, ILLINOIS.—Modifications to the*

1 *project for Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal and*
2 *Dispersal Barrier, Illinois, initiated under section*
3 *1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
4 *(33 U.S.C. 2294 note; 100 Stat. 4251; 118 Stat.*
5 *1352), for the construction of an emergency access*
6 *boat ramp in the vicinity of Romeoville, Illinois.*

7 (12) *EAST SAINT LOUIS AND VICINITY, ILLI-*
8 *NOIS.—Modifications to the project for ecosystem res-*
9 *toration and recreation, authorized by section*
10 *1001(18) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
11 *2007 (121 Stat. 1052), to reevaluate levels of flood*
12 *risk management and integrate the Spring Lake*
13 *Project, as recommended in the report of the Chief of*
14 *Engineers issued on December 22, 2004.*

15 (13) *LOUISVILLE METROPOLITAN FLOOD PROTEC-*
16 *TION SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION, JEFFERSON AND*
17 *BULLITT COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications to the*
18 *project for flood risk management, Louisville Metro-*
19 *politan Flood Protection System Reconstruction, Jef-*
20 *ferson and Bullitt Counties, Kentucky, authorized by*
21 *section 401(2) of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735), to expand project scope*
23 *and incorporate features identified in the document*
24 *prepared for the non-Federal sponsor of the project,*
25 *issued in June 2017, and titled “20-Year Comprehen-*

1 *sive Facility Plan, Critical Repair and Reinvestment*
2 *Plan, Volume 4: Ohio River Flood Protection”.*

3 (14) *CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOUISIANA.—*
4 *Modifications to the project for navigation, Calcasieu*
5 *River and Pass, Louisiana, authorized by section 101*
6 *of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 481),*
7 *to include channel deepening and jetty extension.*

8 (15) *MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,*
9 *OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the*
10 *project for flood control of the Mississippi River in it*
11 *alluvial valley and for its improvement from the*
12 *Head of Passes to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, author-*
13 *ized by the first section of the Act of May 15, 1928*
14 *(chapter 569, 45 Stat. 534), to include bank stabiliza-*
15 *tion on the portion of the project consisting of the*
16 *Ouachita River from Monroe to Caldwell Parishes,*
17 *Louisiana.*

18 (16) *MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,*
19 *OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the*
20 *project for flood control of the Mississippi River in it*
21 *alluvial valley and for its improvement from the*
22 *Head of Passes to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, author-*
23 *ized by the first section of the Act of May 15, 1928*
24 *(45 Stat. 534, chapter 569), to study the feasibility of*
25 *adding 62 miles of the east bank of the Ouachita*

1 *River Levee System at and below Monroe Parish to*
2 *Caldwell Parish, Louisiana.*

3 (17) *HODGES VILLAGE DAM, OXFORD, MASSA-*
4 *CHUSETTS.—Modifications to the project for flood risk*
5 *management, Hodges Village Dam, Oxford, Massachu-*
6 *setts, authorized pursuant to section 205 of the Flood*
7 *Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), to add recre-*
8 *ation and ecosystem restoration as a project purpose,*
9 *including in the vicinity of Greenbriar Park.*

10 (18) *NEW BEDFORD, FAIRHAVEN, AND*
11 *ACUSHNET, MASSACHUSETTS.—Modifications to the*
12 *project for hurricane-flood protection at New Bedford,*
13 *Fairhaven, and Acushnet, Massachusetts, authorized*
14 *by section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1958 (72*
15 *Stat. 305), for navigation improvements and evalua-*
16 *tion of the current barrier function.*

17 (19) *HOLLAND HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-*
18 *tions to the portion of the project for navigation Hol-*
19 *land (Black Lake), Michigan, authorized by the first*
20 *section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21*
21 *Stat. 183; 30 Stat. 1130; 46 Stat. 929; 49 Stat. 1036;*
22 *68 Stat. 1252), consisting of the Federal Channel of*
23 *Holland Harbor, for additional deepening.*

24 (20) *MONROE HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-*
25 *tions to the project for navigation, Monroe Harbor,*

1 *Michigan, authorized by the first section of the Act of*
2 *July 3, 1930 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 930), for addi-*
3 *tional deepening.*

4 (21) *PORT HURON, MICHIGAN.—Modifications to*
5 *the project for navigation, Channels in Lake Saint*
6 *Clair Michigan, authorized by the first section of the*
7 *Act of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49 Stat. 1036),*
8 *for additional deepening at the mouth of the Black*
9 *River, Port Huron, Michigan.*

10 (22) *SAINT JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modi-*
11 *fications to the portion of the project for navigation,*
12 *Saint Joseph, Michigan, authorized by the first sec-*
13 *tion of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21*
14 *Stat. 183; 30 Stat. 1130; 49 Stat. 1036; 72 Stat.*
15 *299), consisting of the Federal Channel of Saint Jo-*
16 *seph Harbor, for additional deepening.*

17 (23) *SAINT MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-*
18 *tions to the project for navigation Middle and West*
19 *Neebish channels, Saint Marys River, Michigan, au-*
20 *thorized by the first section of the Act of June 13,*
21 *1902 (chapter 1079, 32 Stat. 361; 70 Stat. 54), to*
22 *bring the channels to a consistent depth.*

23 (24) *SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE DAM, NEW HAMP-*
24 *SHIRE.—Modifications to the project for flood protec-*
25 *tion and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake dam, au-*

1 *thorized pursuant to section 5 of the Act of June 22,*
2 *1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1572; 52 Stat. 1216; 58*
3 *Stat. 892), to add ecosystem restoration as a project*
4 *purpose, and to install the proper gates and related*
5 *equipment at Surry Mountain Lake to support*
6 *stream flow augmentation releases.*

7 (25) *BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to*
8 *the project for navigation, Jersey Flats and Bayonne,*
9 *New Jersey, authorized by the first section of the Act*
10 *of September 22, 1922 (chapter 427, 42 Stat. 1038),*
11 *for improvements to the navigation channel, includ-*
12 *ing channel extension, widening, and deepening, in*
13 *the vicinity of Bayonne Dry Dock, New Jersey.*

14 (26) *LONG BEACH, NEW YORK.—Modifications to*
15 *the project for storm damage reduction, Atlantic*
16 *Coast of Long Island from Jones Inlet to East Rock-*
17 *away Inlet, Long Beach Island, New York, authorized*
18 *by section 101(a)(21) of the Water Resources Develop-*
19 *ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3665), to include addi-*
20 *tional replacement of beach groins to offer storm pro-*
21 *tection, erosion prevention, and reduce the need for*
22 *future renourishment.*

23 (27) *BALD HEAD ISLAND, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
24 *Modifications to the project for hurricane-flood control*
25 *protection, Cape Fear to the North Carolina-South*

1 *Carolina State line, North Carolina, authorized by*
2 *section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1966 (80 Stat.*
3 *1419), to add coastal storm risk management and*
4 *hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, includ-*
5 *ing shoreline stabilization, as an authorized purpose*
6 *of the project for the village of Bald Head Island,*
7 *North Carolina.*

8 (28) *RENO BEACH-HOWARD FARMS, OHIO.—*
9 *Modifications to the project for flood control, Reno*
10 *Beach-Howard Farms, Ohio, authorized by section*
11 *203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1178),*
12 *to improve project levees and to provide flood damage*
13 *risk reduction to the portions of Jerusalem Township,*
14 *Ohio, not currently benefited by the project.*

15 (29) *DELAWARE RIVER MAINSTEM AND CHANNEL*
16 *DEEPENING, DELAWARE, NEW JERSEY, AND PENNSYL-*
17 *VANIA.—Modifications to the project for navigation,*
18 *Delaware River Mainstem and Channel Deepening,*
19 *Delaware, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, authorized*
20 *by section 101(6) of the Water Resources Development*
21 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4802; 113 Stat. 300; 114 Stat.*
22 *2602), to increase the authorized depth.*

23 (30) *DELAWARE RIVER, MANTUA CREEK (FORT*
24 *MIFFLIN) AND MARCUS HOOK, PENNSYLVANIA.—Modi-*
25 *fications to the project for navigation, Delaware*

1 *River, Philadelphia to the sea, authorized by the first*
2 *section of the Act of June 25, 1910 (chapter 382, 36*
3 *Stat. 637; 46 Stat. 921; 49 Stat. 1030; 52 Stat. 803;*
4 *59 Stat. 14; 68 Stat. 1249; 72 Stat. 297), to deepen*
5 *the anchorage areas at Mantua Creek (Fort Mifflin)*
6 *and Marcus Hook.*

7 (31) *CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modifica-*
8 *tions to the project for navigation, Charleston Harbor,*
9 *South Carolina, authorized by section 1401(1) of the*
10 *Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.*
11 *1708), including improvements to the portion of the*
12 *project that serves the North Charleston Terminal.*

13 (32) *GALVESTON BAY AREA, TEXAS.—Modifica-*
14 *tions to the following projects for deepening and asso-*
15 *ciated dredged material placement, disposal, and en-*
16 *vironmental mitigation navigation:*

17 (A) *The project for navigation, Galveston*
18 *Bay Area, Texas City Channel, Texas, author-*
19 *ized by section 201 of the Water Resources Devel-*
20 *opment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090).*

21 (B) *The project for navigation and environ-*
22 *mental restoration, Houston-Galveston Naviga-*
23 *tion Channels, Texas, authorized by section*
24 *101(a)(30) of the Water Resources Development*
25 *Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666).*

1 (C) *The project for navigation, Galveston*
2 *Harbor Channel Extension Project, Houston-*
3 *Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, author-*
4 *ized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources*
5 *Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3836).*

6 (D) *The project for navigation, Houston*
7 *Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement*
8 *Project, Harris, Chambers, and Galveston Coun-*
9 *ties, Texas, authorized by section 401(1) of the*
10 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134*
11 *Stat. 2734).*

12 (33) *GALVESTON HARBOR CHANNEL EXTENSION*
13 *PROJECT, HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHAN-*
14 *NELS, TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for navi-*
15 *gation, Galveston Harbor Channel Extension Project,*
16 *Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, au-*
17 *thorized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources*
18 *Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3836), to include*
19 *further deepening and extension of the Federal chan-*
20 *nel and Turning Basin 2.*

21 (34) *GATHRIGHT RESERVOIR AND FALLING*
22 *SPRING DAM, VIRGINIA.—Modifications to the project*
23 *for navigation and flood control, Gathright Reservoir*
24 *and Falling Spring dam, Virginia, authorized by sec-*
25 *tion 10 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (60 Stat.*

1 645), to include recreation as an authorized project
2 purpose.

3 (35) *MOUNT ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL,*
4 *WASHINGTON.—Modifications to the project for sedi-*
5 *ment control and navigation, Mount St. Helens,*
6 *Washington, authorized by chapter IV of title I of the*
7 *Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (99 Stat.*
8 *318; 114 Stat. 2612), to include dredging to address*
9 *flood risk management and navigation for federally*
10 *authorized channels on the Cowlitz River and at the*
11 *confluence of the Cowlitz and Columbia Rivers.*

12 (c) *SPECIAL RULE.—Each study authorized by sub-*
13 *section (b) shall be considered a new phase investigation*
14 *and afforded the same treatment as a general reevaluation.*

15 **SEC. 202. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

16 (a) *FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall expe-*
17 *dite the completion of a feasibility study for each of the*
18 *following projects, and if the Secretary determines that the*
19 *project is justified in a completed report, may proceed di-*
20 *rectly to preconstruction planning, engineering, and design*
21 *of the project:*

22 (1) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne*
23 *and Millers Ferry Locks and Dams Fish Passage,*
24 *Lower Alabama River, Alabama, authorized pursuant*

1 *to section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84*
2 *Stat. 1830).*

3 *(2) Project for navigation, Akutan Harbor Navi-*
4 *gational Improvements, Alaska, authorized pursuant*
5 *to section 203 of the Water Resources Development*
6 *Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).*

7 *(3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central and*
8 *South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration*
9 *Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Restoration,*
10 *Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of the Water*
11 *Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).*

12 *(4) Project for coastal storm risk management,*
13 *Miami-Dade Back Bay, Florida, authorized pursuant*
14 *to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat.*
15 *132).*

16 *(5) Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,*
17 *Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties, Florida, Deep*
18 *Draft Navigation, authorized by the resolution of the*
19 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
20 *the House of Representatives, dated July 23, 1997.*

21 *(6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central and*
22 *South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration*
23 *Program, Western Everglades Restoration Project,*
24 *Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of the Water*
25 *Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).*

1 (7) *Project for flood risk management, Ala Wai*
2 *Canal General Reevaluation, Hawaii, authorized by*
3 *section 1401(2) of the Water Resources Development*
4 *Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3837).*

5 (8) *Project for flood risk management, Amite*
6 *River and Tributaries, East of the Mississippi, Lou-*
7 *isiana, authorized by the resolution of the Committee*
8 *on Public Works of the United States Senate, adopted*
9 *April 14, 1967.*

10 (9) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
11 *Baltimore Metropolitan, Baltimore City, Maryland,*
12 *authorized by the resolution of the Committee on Pub-*
13 *lic Works and Transportation of the House of Rep-*
14 *resentatives, dated April 30, 1992.*

15 (10) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
16 *Nassau County Back Bays, New York, authorized*
17 *pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140,*
18 *69 Stat. 132).*

19 (11) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
20 *Surf City, North Carolina, authorized by section*
21 *7002(3) of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-*
22 *ment Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1367).*

23 (12) *Project for flood risk management, Tar-*
24 *Pamlico River Basin, North Carolina, authorized by*
25 *the resolutions adopted by the Committee on Trans-*

1 *portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
2 *resentatives dated April 11, 2000, and May 21, 2003.*

3 (13) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
4 *Puerto Rico, authorized by section 204 of the Flood*
5 *Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1828).*

6 (14) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Hatchie-*
7 *Loosahatchie, Mississippi River Miles 775–736, Ten-*
8 *nessee and Arkansas, authorized by section 1202(a) of*
9 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132*
10 *Stat. 3803).*

11 (b) *POST-AUTHORIZATION CHANGE REPORTS.—The*
12 *Secretary shall expedite completion of a post-authorization*
13 *change report for the following projects:*

14 (1) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Central and*
15 *South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration*
16 *Program, Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands, Florida,*
17 *authorized by section 601(b)(1) of the Water Re-*
18 *sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).*

19 (2) *Project for water reallocation, Stockton Lake*
20 *Reallocation Study, Missouri, at the project for flood*
21 *control, hydropower, water supply, and recreation,*
22 *Stockton Lake, Missouri, authorized by the Flood*
23 *Control Act of 1954 (Public Law 83–780).*

24 (3) *Project for ecosystem restoration and recre-*
25 *ation, Los Angeles River, California, authorized by*

1 *section 1407(7) of the Water Resources Development*
2 *Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1714).*

3 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FEASI-**
4 **BILITY STUDIES.**

5 *The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the fol-*
6 *lowing feasibility studies, as modified by this section, and*
7 *if the Secretary determines that a project that is the subject*
8 *of the feasibility study is justified in the completed report,*
9 *may proceed directly to preconstruction planning, engineer-*
10 *ing, and design of the project:*

11 (1) *MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CALIFORNIA.—The*
12 *study for navigation, Mare Island Straight channel,*
13 *authorized by section 406 of the Water Resources De-*
14 *velopment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 323; 136 Stat.*
15 *3753), is modified to authorize the Secretary to con-*
16 *sider the benefits of deepening the channel to support*
17 *activities of the Secretary of the department in which*
18 *the Coast Guard is operating.*

19 (2) *SAVANNAH HARBOR, GEORGIA.—Section*
20 *8201(b)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
21 *2022 (136 Stat. 3750) is amended by striking “,*
22 *without evaluation of additional deepening” and in-*
23 *serting “, including evaluation of additional deep-*
24 *ening”.*

1 (3) *HONOLULU HARBOR, HAWAII.*—*The study to*
2 *modify the project for navigation, Honolulu, Hawaii,*
3 *authorized by the first section of the Act of March 3,*
4 *1905 (chapter 1482, 33 Stat. 1146; 136 Stat. 3750),*
5 *is modified to authorize the Secretary to consider the*
6 *benefits of the project modification on disaster resil-*
7 *ience and enhanced national security from utilization*
8 *of the harbor by the Department of Defense.*

9 (4) *ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-*
10 *ISIANA.*—*The study for flood control, navigation, wet-*
11 *land conservation and restoration, wildlife habitat,*
12 *commercial and recreational fishing, saltwater intru-*
13 *sion, freshwater and sediment diversion, and other*
14 *purposes, in the area drained by the intercepted*
15 *drainage system of the West Atchafalaya Basin Pro-*
16 *tection Levee, from Alexandria, Louisiana to the Gulf*
17 *of Mexico, being carried out under Committee Resolu-*
18 *tion 2535 of the Committee on Transportation and*
19 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, adopt-*
20 *ed July 23, 1997, is modified to include the parishes*
21 *of Pointe Coupee, Allen, Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis,*
22 *Acadia, Iberville, and Cameron within the scope of*
23 *the study.*

24 (5) *SAW MILL RIVER, NEW YORK.*—*The study for*
25 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration to*

1 *address areas in the City of Yonkers and the Village*
2 *of Hastings-on-the-Hudson within the 100-year flood*
3 *zone, Saw Mill River, New York, authorized by sec-*
4 *tion 8201(a)(70) of the Water Resources Development*
5 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3748), is modified to authorize*
6 *the Secretary to include within the scope of the study*
7 *areas surrounding the City of Yonkers and the Village*
8 *of Hastings-on-the-Hudson and the Village of*
9 *Elmsford and the Village of Ardsley.*

10 **SEC. 204. CORPS OF ENGINEERS REPORTS.**

11 *(a) REPORT ON RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR INDIVID-*
12 *UALS WITH DISABILITIES.—*

13 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
14 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
15 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
16 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
17 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
18 *Senate a report on access for individuals with dis-*
19 *abilities to covered recreational areas.*

20 *(2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-*
21 *clude in the report submitted under paragraph (1)—*

22 *(A) existing policies or guidance for com-*
23 *plying with the requirements of the Americans*
24 *with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101*
25 *et seq.) at covered recreational areas;*

1 (B) a complete list of covered recreational
2 areas, and the status of each covered recreational
3 area with respect to compliance with the require-
4 ments of such Act;

5 (C) identification of policy changes, inter-
6 nal guidance changes, or changes to shoreline
7 management plans that may result in increased
8 access for individuals with disabilities to covered
9 recreational areas, including access to fishing-re-
10 lated recreational activities at covered rec-
11 reational areas;

12 (D) an analysis of barriers that exist for
13 covered recreational areas to fully comply with
14 the requirements of such Act; and

15 (E) identification of specific covered rec-
16 reational areas that could be improved or modi-
17 fied to better accommodate visitors with disabil-
18 ities, including to increase recreational fishing
19 access for individuals with disabilities.

20 (3) COVERED RECREATIONAL AREA DEFINED.—
21 In this subsection, the term “covered recreational
22 area” means all sites constructed, owned, operated, or
23 maintained by the Secretary that are used for rec-
24 reational purposes.

1 **(b) REPORT ON TURBIDITY IN THE WILLAMETTE VAL-**
2 **LEY, OREGON.—**

3 **(1) IN GENERAL.—***Not later than 1 year after*
4 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
5 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
6 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
7 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
8 *Senate a report on instances of high turbidity in a*
9 *reservoir in the Willamette Valley resulting from a*
10 *drawdown in the reservoir.*

11 **(2) SCOPE.—***In carrying out subsection (a), the*
12 *Secretary shall—*

13 **(A)** *collaborate with any relevant Federal,*
14 *State, and non-Federal entities;*

15 **(B)** *identify and report instances during the*
16 *10-year period preceding the date of enactment*
17 *of this Act in which turbidity concerns have*
18 *arisen following a drawdown at a reservoir in*
19 *the Willamette Valley, including Foster Lake and*
20 *Green Peter Lake;*

21 **(C)** *report on turbidity monitoring that the*
22 *Secretary performs during drawdowns to iden-*
23 *tify, and if necessary correct, turbidity issues;*

24 **(D)** *provide a summary of turbidity moni-*
25 *toring records collected during drawdowns with*

1 *respect to which turbidity concerns have been*
2 *raised by the public, including a comparison be-*
3 *tween turbidity prior to a drawdown, during a*
4 *drawdown, and following refilling;*

5 *(E) identify lessons learned associated with*
6 *turbidity resulting from drawdowns and indicate*
7 *how changes based on those lessons learned are*
8 *being implemented; and*

9 *(F) identify opportunities to minimize*
10 *monetary strains on non-Federal entities caused*
11 *by increased turbidity levels.*

12 *(c) REPORT ON SECURITY AT SOO LOCKS, MICH-*
13 *GAN.—*

14 *(1) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the*
15 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-*
16 *mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-*
17 *structure of the House of Representatives and the*
18 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
19 *Senate a report that—*

20 *(A) highlights any security deficiencies that*
21 *exist with respect to the Soo Locks;*

22 *(B) highlights any supply chain, logistical,*
23 *and economic effects that would result from a*
24 *malfunction or failure of the Soo Locks;*

1 (C) *highlights any effects on the Great*
2 *Lakes Navigation System that would result from*
3 *such a malfunction or failure;*

4 (D) *highlights any potential threats to the*
5 *integrity of the Soo Locks;*

6 (E) *details the Corps of Engineers security*
7 *measures in place to protect the Soo Locks; and*

8 (F) *contains recommendations, as nec-*
9 *essary, and cost estimates for such recommenda-*
10 *tions, for—*

11 (i) *strengthening security measures for*
12 *the Soo Locks; and*

13 (ii) *reducing the effects on the supply*
14 *chain that would result from a malfunction*
15 *or failure of the Soo Locks.*

16 (2) *SOO LOCKS DEFINED.—In this subsection,*
17 *the term “Soo Locks” means the locks at Sault Sainte*
18 *Marie, Michigan, authorized by section 1149 of the*
19 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.*
20 *4254; 121 Stat. 1131; 136 Stat. 3844).*

21 (d) *REPORT ON FLORIDA SEAGRASS REHABILITA-*
22 *TION.—*

23 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
24 *the date of enactment of this Act, and each year there-*
25 *after for 4 years, the Secretary shall submit to the*

1 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
2 *the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
3 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report*
4 *on any planned or ongoing efforts to promote, reha-*
5 *ilitate, and enhance the growth of seagrasses in Flor-*
6 *ida stormwater treatment areas.*

7 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection*
8 *(a), the Secretary shall coordinate with relevant Fed-*
9 *eral, State, and local agencies and other regional*
10 *stakeholders.*

11 (3) *FLORIDA STORMWATER TREATMENT AREA*
12 *DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “Florida*
13 *stormwater treatment area” means a stormwater*
14 *treatment area in the State of Florida authorized by*
15 *or pursuant to section 601 of the Water Resources De-*
16 *velopment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat.*
17 *1268; 132 Stat. 3786).*

18 (e) *REPORT ON SHORELINE USE PERMITS.—*

19 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
20 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
21 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
22 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
23 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
24 *Senate a report describing the use of the authority*
25 *under part 327 of title 36, Code of Federal Regula-*

1 *tions, with respect to the issuance of new, or modi-*
2 *fications to existing, shoreline use permits at the*
3 *Table Rock Lake project of the Corps of Engineers, lo-*
4 *cated in Missouri and Arkansas, authorized as one of*
5 *the multipurpose reservoir projects in the White River*
6 *Basin by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (52*
7 *Stat. 1218).*

8 (2) *CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in*
9 *the report required under paragraph (1)—*

10 (A) *a review of existing regulatory and ad-*
11 *ministrative requirements related to the lease,*
12 *rent, sublease, or other usage agreement by a per-*
13 *mittee for permitted facilities under a shoreline*
14 *use permit, including a floating, nonfloating, or*
15 *fixed-floating structure;*

16 (B) *a description of the authority and pub-*
17 *lic-interest rationale for such requirements, in-*
18 *cluding impacts on local businesses, property*
19 *owners, and prospective lessors, renters, or other*
20 *contractual users of such facilities; and*

21 (C) *a description of the authority for the*
22 *transfer of shoreline use permits upon transfer of*
23 *the permitted facility by sale or other means.*

24 (f) *REPORT ON RELOCATION.—*

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
3 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
4 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
5 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
6 Senate a report on the policies of the Corps of Engi-
7 neers relating to using property buyouts as part of
8 coastal storm risk management projects.

9 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—In developing the report
10 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider
11 ways in which current policies on mandatory prop-
12 erty buyouts may—

13 (A) diminish the incentives for local com-
14 munities to work with the Corps of Engineers;
15 and

16 (B) increase vulnerabilities of communities
17 to flood risk, including communities described in
18 the guidance issued by the Secretary under sec-
19 tion 160 of the Water Resources Development Act
20 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note).

21 (g) *REPORT ON FUEL EFFICIENCY.*—

22 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 2 years after
23 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
24 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
25 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the

1 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
2 *Senate a report on fuel efficiency of each vessel within*
3 *the fleet of vessels owned by the Corps of Engineers.*

4 (2) *CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under*
5 *paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include the fol-*
6 *lowing:*

7 (A) *A list of vessels that are commercially*
8 *available and may be used to carry out the mis-*
9 *sions of the Corps of Engineers that can be in-*
10 *corporated into the fleet of vessels owned by the*
11 *Corps of Engineers to increase fuel efficiency of*
12 *such fleet.*

13 (B) *A list of modifications that can be made*
14 *to increase fuel efficiency of such fleet and the as-*
15 *sociated cost of such modifications.*

16 (C) *A life cycle cost analysis of replacing*
17 *vessels owned by the Corps of Engineers with*
18 *vessels that are more fuel efficient.*

19 (D) *A description of technologies used or*
20 *available to the Secretary to evaluate fuel effi-*
21 *ciency of each vessel owned by the Corps of Engi-*
22 *neers.*

23 (E) *A description of other opportunities to*
24 *increase fuel efficiency of each such vessel.*

1 (F) *A description of potential cost savings*
2 *by increasing fuel efficiency of such vessels.*

3 (G) *A description of State or local policies*
4 *or requirements regarding efficiencies or emis-*
5 *sions of vessels, or related technology, that the*
6 *Secretary must comply with at water resources*
7 *development projects, and any impact such poli-*
8 *cies and requirements have on project costs.*

9 (h) *REPORT ON BOAT RAMPS.—Not later than 1 year*
10 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
11 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
12 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
13 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report de-*
14 *tailing—*

15 (1) *the number of boat ramps constructed by the*
16 *Secretary that are located at a site constructed,*
17 *owned, operated, or maintained by the Secretary;*

18 (2) *the number of such boat ramps that are oper-*
19 *ational; and*

20 (3) *the number of such boat ramps that require*
21 *maintenance in order to be made operational.*

22 **SEC. 205. GAO STUDIES.**

23 (a) *STUDY ON DONOR PORTS.—*

24 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
25 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*

1 *General of the United States shall initiate a review*
2 *of the treatment of donor ports under section 2106 of*
3 *the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of*
4 *2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c) that includes—*

5 *(A) a description of the funding available to*
6 *donor ports under such section, including a de-*
7 *scription of how eligibility for such donor ports*
8 *has been modified;*

9 *(B) a summary of all funds that have been*
10 *provided to donor ports under such section;*

11 *(C) an assessment of how the Secretary pro-*
12 *vides funding under such section to donor ports,*
13 *including—*

14 *(i) a complete description of the proc-*
15 *ess and data used to determine eligibility;*
16 *and*

17 *(ii) the impact construction and main-*
18 *tenance projects, including maintenance*
19 *dredging and deep draft navigation con-*
20 *struction projects, have on donor port eligi-*
21 *bility;*

22 *(D) an assessment of other major container*
23 *ports that are not currently eligible as a donor*
24 *port under such section and a description of the*

1 *criteria that exclude such container ports from*
2 *eligibility; and*

3 *(E) recommendations to improve the provi-*
4 *sion of funds under such section.*

5 *(2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review re-*
6 *quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General*
7 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and*
8 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
9 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
10 *Senate a report containing the results of such review.*

11 *(b) STUDY ON DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—*

12 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
13 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
14 *General of the United States shall complete an anal-*
15 *ysis of—*

16 *(A) the extent to which the Corps of Engi-*
17 *neers utilizes digital infrastructure technologies*
18 *for delivery of authorized water resources devel-*
19 *opment projects, including 3D modeling;*

20 *(B) the digital technology systems utilized*
21 *by the Corps of Engineers;*

22 *(C) the digital technology systems utilized*
23 *by non-Federal entities working with the Sec-*
24 *retary on authorized water resources development*
25 *projects;*

1 (D) the cost to the Government of sup-
2 porting multiple digital technology systems uti-
3 lized by the Corps of Engineers;

4 (E) available digital technology systems
5 that may be used to for the delivery of authorized
6 water resources development projects;

7 (F) any security concerns related to the use
8 of digital technology systems and how such con-
9 cerns may be addressed;

10 (G) the benefits of expanding the adoption
11 of digital technology systems for use by the Corps
12 of Engineers, including for delivery of authorized
13 water resources development projects, in order
14 to—

15 (i) maximize interoperability with
16 other systems, products, tools, or applica-
17 tions;

18 (ii) boost productivity;

19 (iii) manage complexity;

20 (iv) reduce project delays and cost
21 overruns;

22 (v) enhance safety and quality;

23 (vi) reduce total costs for the entire
24 lifecycle of authorized water resources devel-
25 opment projects;

1 (vii) *reduce emissions and quantify*
2 *other sustainable and resilient impacts;*

3 (viii) *promote more timely and pro-*
4 *ductive information sharing; and*

5 (ix) *increase transparency as the result*
6 *of the real-time sharing of information; and*

7 (H) *how the Corps of Engineers could better*
8 *leverage digital technology systems to enable 3D*
9 *model delivery and digital project delivery for—*

10 (i) *seamless application integration;*

11 (ii) *workflow and State-based access*
12 *control capabilities;*

13 (iii) *audit trails; and*

14 (iv) *automation capabilities sup-*
15 *porting a closed-loop process.*

16 (2) *REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis*
17 *required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-*
18 *eral of the United States shall submit to the Com-*
19 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
20 *House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
21 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
22 *the findings of such analysis.*

23 (c) *STUDY ON CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISASTER PRE-*
24 *PAREDNESS, RESPONSE, AND RELATED INFORMATION COL-*
25 *LECTION.—*

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
3 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
4 ysis of Corps of Engineers disaster preparedness and
5 response activities, including—

6 (A) an accounting of postdisaster expendi-
7 tures from the “Corps of Engineers–Civil–Flood
8 Control and Coastal Emergencies” account for
9 each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2004,
10 including—

11 (i) the amounts transferred to such ac-
12 count from other accounts of the Corps of
13 Engineers to cover postdisaster activities in
14 each fiscal year;

15 (ii) the name and location of the au-
16 thorized water resources development
17 projects impacted by the transfer of funds
18 described in clause (i);

19 (iii) a summary of the activities and
20 actions carried out with amounts available
21 in such account, including the amount pro-
22 vided for salaries and expenses; and

23 (iv) trends in the provision of post-dis-
24 aster assistance that may impact future
25 spending through such account;

1 (B) *an evaluation of—*

2 (i) *the publicly available information*
3 *on disaster response and preparedness re-*
4 *lated to authorized water resources develop-*
5 *ment projects, such as levees;*

6 (ii) *the impacts of natural disasters on*
7 *authorized water resources development*
8 *projects, including how such disasters affect*
9 *the performance of such projects and resil-*
10 *iency of such projects to such disasters; and*

11 (iii) *whether the Corps of Engineers*
12 *utilizes, or shares with non-Federal inter-*
13 *ests, information regarding such impacts in*
14 *assessing whether modifications to such*
15 *projects would reduce the likelihood of repet-*
16 *itive impacts or be in the public interest;*
17 *and*

18 (C) *recommendations to improve the provi-*
19 *sion of assistance for response to natural disas-*
20 *ters under section 5 of the Act of August 18,*
21 *1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).*

22 (2) *REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis*
23 *required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-*
24 *eral shall submit to the Committee on Transportation*
25 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives*

1 *and the Committee on Environment and Public*
2 *Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such*
3 *analysis.*

4 *(d) STUDY ON HOMELESS ENCAMPMENTS ON CORPS*
5 *OF ENGINEERS PROPERTY.—*

6 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
7 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
8 *General of the United States shall initiate an anal-*
9 *ysis of—*

10 *(A) unauthorized homeless encampments on*
11 *water resources development projects constructed*
12 *by the Corps of Engineers and lands owned or*
13 *under the control of the Corps of Engineers;*

14 *(B) any actual or potential impacts of such*
15 *encampments on the construction, operation and*
16 *maintenance, or management of such projects*
17 *and lands, including potential impacts on flood*
18 *risk reduction or ecosystem restoration efforts,*
19 *water quality, or public safety;*

20 *(C) efforts to remove or deter such encamp-*
21 *ments from such projects and lands, or remove*
22 *any materials associated with such encampments*
23 *that are unauthorized to be present and pose a*
24 *potential threat to public safety, including man-*

1 *made, flammable materials in urban and arid*
2 *regions; and*

3 *(D) constraints on the ability of the Corps*
4 *of Engineers to remove or deter such encamp-*
5 *ments due to Federal, State, or local laws, regu-*
6 *lations, or ordinances.*

7 *(2) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the anal-*
8 *ysis required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller*
9 *General shall consult with the Secretary, the Admin-*
10 *istrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agen-*
11 *cy, the Administrator of the Environmental Protec-*
12 *tion Agency, and other relevant Federal, State, and*
13 *local government officials and interested parties.*

14 *(3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis*
15 *required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-*
16 *eral shall submit to the Committee on Transportation*
17 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives*
18 *and the Committee on Environment and Public*
19 *Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such*
20 *analysis.*

21 *(e) STUDY ON FEDERAL-STATE DATA SHARING EF-*
22 *FORTS.—*

23 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
24 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
25 *General of the United States shall initiate an anal-*

1 *ysis of the coordination of the Secretary with other*
2 *Federal and State agencies and academic institutions*
3 *in carrying out the development, update, moderniza-*
4 *tion, and utilization of scientific, peer-reviewed data*
5 *on the predictability of future resiliency, sea-level*
6 *rise, and flood impacts.*

7 (2) *SCOPE.—In conducting the analysis required*
8 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall—*

9 (A) *consult with the Secretary, the heads of*
10 *other relevant Federal and State agencies, and*
11 *academic institutions that collect, analyze, syn-*
12 *thesize, and utilize scientific, peer-reviewed data*
13 *on the predictability of future resiliency, sea-*
14 *level rise, and flooding events;*

15 (B) *examine the methodologies and mecha-*
16 *nisms for collecting, analyzing, synthesizing, and*
17 *verifying such data; and*

18 (C) *review and report on the opportunities*
19 *for, and appropriateness of, the Secretary and*
20 *relevant non-Federal interests to utilize such*
21 *data in the planning, design, construction, and*
22 *operation and maintenance of authorized water*
23 *resources development projects.*

24 (3) *REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis*
25 *required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-*

1 *eral shall submit to the Committee on Transportation*
2 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives*
3 *and the Committee on Environment and Public*
4 *Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such*
5 *analysis.*

6 *(f) STUDY ON INSTITUTIONAL BARRIERS TO NATURE-*
7 *BASED FEATURES.—*

8 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
9 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
10 *General of the United States shall initiate an anal-*
11 *ysis of—*

12 *(A) nature-based features that are incor-*
13 *porated into authorized water resources develop-*
14 *ment projects by the Corps of Engineers and the*
15 *type of such projects;*

16 *(B) any limitation on the authority of the*
17 *Secretary to incorporate nature-based features*
18 *into authorized water resources development*
19 *projects;*

20 *(C) regulatory processes necessary for the*
21 *use of nature-based features, including permit-*
22 *ting timelines;*

23 *(D) the level of efficacy and effectiveness of*
24 *nature-based features at authorized water re-*
25 *sources development projects that have—*

1 (i) utilized such nature-based features;

2 and

3 (ii) undergone extreme weather events,

4 including hurricanes; and

5 (E) institutional barriers within the Corps

6 of Engineers preventing broader consideration

7 and integration of nature-based features, includ-

8 ing—

9 (i) staff experience with, and expertise

10 on, nature-based features;

11 (ii) official Corps of Engineers guid-

12 ance on nature-based features;

13 (iii) time constraints or other expedi-

14 ency expectations; or

15 (iv) life cycle costs associated with in-

16 corporating nature-based features into

17 water resources development projects.

18 (2) *REPORT.*—Upon completion of the analysis

19 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-

20 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transportation

21 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives

22 and the Committee on Environment and Public

23 Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such

24 analysis.

1 (3) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this subsection, the term*
2 *“nature-based feature” has the meaning given the*
3 *terms “natural feature” and “nature-based feature”*
4 *in section 1184 of the Water Resources Development*
5 *Act of 2016 (32 U.S.C. 2289a).*

6 (9) *STUDY ON ECOSYSTEM SERVICES.*—

7 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 1 year after*
8 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
9 *General of the United States shall initiate an anal-*
10 *ysis of the use of ecosystem restoration by the Corps*
11 *of Engineers for flood control or flood risk manage-*
12 *ment projects.*

13 (2) *SCOPE.*—*In conducting the analysis under*
14 *paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall assess—*

15 (A) *how the Corps of Engineers complies,*
16 *integrates, and prioritizes ecosystem restoration*
17 *in benefit-cost analysis and generation of project*
18 *alternatives;*

19 (B) *the geographic distribution and fre-*
20 *quency of ecosystem restoration for flood control*
21 *or flood risk management projects;*

22 (C) *the rationale and benefit-cost analyses*
23 *that drive decisions to incorporate ecosystem res-*
24 *toration into flood control or flood risk manage-*
25 *ment projects;*

1 (D) the additional long-term comprehensive
2 benefits to local communities related to ecosystem
3 restoration for flood control or flood risk man-
4 agement projects;

5 (E) recommendations for prioritizing eco-
6 system restoration as a tool for flood control and
7 flood risk management projects; and

8 (F) the percentage of the annual construc-
9 tion budget utilized for ecosystem restoration
10 projects over the past 5 years at flood control or
11 flood risk management projects.

12 (3) *REPORT.*—Upon completion of the analysis
13 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
14 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
15 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
16 and the Committee on Environment and Public
17 Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such
18 analysis.

19 (h) *STUDY ON TRIBAL COORDINATION.*—

20 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
21 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
22 General of the United States shall initiate a review
23 of the Corps of Engineers procedures to address the
24 discovery of Tribal historic or cultural resources, in-
25 cluding village sites, burial sites, and human re-

1 *mains, at authorized water resources development*
2 *projects.*

3 (2) *SCOPE.—In conducting the review required*
4 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall—*

5 (A) *evaluate the implementation of the*
6 *Tribal Liaison requirements under section 8112*
7 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022*
8 *(33 U.S.C. 2281a);*

9 (B) *describe the procedures used by the*
10 *Corps of Engineers when Tribal historic or cul-*
11 *tural resources are identified at authorized water*
12 *resources development projects, including—*

13 (i) *coordination with relevant Tribes,*
14 *Federal, State, and local agencies;*

15 (ii) *the role and effectiveness of the*
16 *Tribal Liaison;*

17 (iii) *recovery and reburial standards;*

18 (iv) *any differences in procedures used*
19 *by each Corps of Engineers district; and*

20 (v) *as applicable, the implementation*
21 *of the requirements of section 306108 of title*
22 *54, United States Code (formerly known as*
23 *section 106 of the National Historic Preser-*
24 *vation Act) or the Native American Graves*

1 *Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C.*
2 *3001 et seq); and*
3 *(C) provide recommendations to improve*
4 *the coordination between the Corps of Engineers*
5 *and Tribes for the identification and recovery of*
6 *Tribal historic and cultural resources discovered*
7 *at authorized water resources development*
8 *projects.*

9 *(3) PRIORITIZATION.—In conducting the review*
10 *required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-*
11 *eral shall prioritize reviewing procedures used by the*
12 *Sacramento District in the South Pacific Division of*
13 *the Corps of Engineers.*

14 *(4) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review re-*
15 *quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General*
16 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and*
17 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
18 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
19 *Senate a report on the findings of such review.*

20 *(i) STUDY ON RISK RATING 2.0.—*

21 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
22 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
23 *General of the United States shall initiate a review*
24 *on the Risk Rating 2.0 initiative.*

1 (2) *CONTENTS.—The Comptroller General shall*
2 *include in the review required under paragraph (1)*
3 *the following:*

4 (A) *A description of—*

5 (i) *the Corps of Engineers processes for*
6 *communicating changes to floodplain maps*
7 *made as a result of Risk Rating 2.0 to af-*
8 *ected communities and property owners;*
9 *and*

10 (ii) *any measures the Corps of Engi-*
11 *neers has put in place to assist owners of*
12 *property that has been included in flood-*
13 *plain maps as a result of Risk Rating 2.0,*
14 *including any options for mitigating flood*
15 *risk and financial support programs.*

16 (B) *An evaluation of the transparency and*
17 *clarity of information provided to property own-*
18 *ers about such changes, including an assessment*
19 *of the adequacy of outreach and education efforts*
20 *to inform such property owners about available*
21 *resources for flood risk mitigation.*

22 (C) *An assessment of—*

23 (i) *the broader effects of changes to*
24 *floodplain maps as a result of Risk Rating*
25 *2.0 on communities, including potential*

1 *economic and social effects of increased*
2 *floodplain designations;*

3 *(ii) the role of local governments and*
4 *community organizations in responding to*
5 *and managing such changes;*

6 *(iii) how such changes may affect the*
7 *benefit-cost analysis used by the Corps of*
8 *Engineers; and*

9 *(iv) whether such changes affect the*
10 *prioritization and justification of flood risk*
11 *management projects.*

12 (3) *REPORT.*—*Upon completion of the review re-*
13 *quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General*
14 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and*
15 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
16 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
17 *Senate a report on the findings of such review.*

18 **SEC. 206. ANNUAL REPORT ON HARBOR MAINTENANCE**

19 **NEEDS AND TRUST FUND EXPENDITURES.**

20 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*On the date on which the budget*
21 *of the President is submitted to Congress pursuant to sec-*
22 *tion 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year*
23 *2026, and for each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary shall*
24 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
25 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*

1 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report de-*
2 *scribing—*

3 (1) *with respect to the fiscal year for which the*
4 *budget is submitted, the operation and maintenance*
5 *costs associated with harbors and inland harbors de-*
6 *scribed in section 210(a)(2) of the Water Resources*
7 *Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(a)(2)), in-*
8 *cluding a description of the costs required to achieve*
9 *and maintain the constructed width and depth for*
10 *such harbors and inland harbors and the costs for ex-*
11 *panded uses at eligible harbors and inland harbors*
12 *(as defined in section 210(d)(2) of such Act), on a*
13 *project-by-project basis;*

14 (2) *as of the date on which the report is sub-*
15 *mitted, expenditures and deposits into the Harbor*
16 *Maintenance Trust Fund established under section*
17 *9505 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;*

18 (3) *an identification of the amount of funding*
19 *requested in the budget of the President for the oper-*
20 *ation and maintenance costs associated with such*
21 *harbors and inland harbors, on a project-by-project*
22 *basis;*

23 (4) *an explanation of how the amount of funding*
24 *described in paragraph (2) complies with the require-*

1 *ments of section 102 of the Water Resources Develop-*
2 *ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note);*

3 *(5) an identification of the unmet operation and*
4 *maintenance needs associated with such harbors and*
5 *inland harbors, on a project-by-project basis, that re-*
6 *mains after accounting for the amount identified*
7 *under paragraph (3); and*

8 *(6) a description of deposits made into the Har-*
9 *bor Maintenance Trust Fund in the fiscal year pre-*
10 *ceding the fiscal year of the applicable budget submis-*
11 *sion and the sources of such deposits.*

12 *(b) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—In the first report*
13 *required to be submitted under subsection (a), the Secretary*
14 *shall identify, to the maximum extent practicable, transpor-*
15 *tation cost savings realized by achieving and maintaining*
16 *the constructed width and depth for the harbors and inland*
17 *harbors described in section 210(a)(2) of the Water Re-*
18 *sources Development Act of 1986, on a project-by-project*
19 *basis.*

20 *(c) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall make*
21 *the report submitted under subsection (a) available to the*
22 *public, including on the internet.*

23 *(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—*

24 *(1) ASSESSMENT OF HARBORS AND INLAND HAR-*
25 *BORS.—Section 210(e)(3) of the Water Resources De-*

1 *velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(e)(3)) is re-*
2 *pealed.*

3 (2) *HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND DEPOS-*
4 *ITS AND EXPENDITURES.—Section 330 of the Water*
5 *Resources Development Act of 1992 (26 U.S.C. 9505*
6 *note) and the item related to such section in the table*
7 *of contents for such Act, are repealed.*

8 **SEC. 207. EXAMINATION OF REDUCTION OF MICROPLAS-**
9 **TICS.**

10 (a) *IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of appro-*
11 *priations, the Secretary, acting through the Director of the*
12 *Engineer Research and Development Center and, where ap-*
13 *propriate, in consultation with other Federal agencies, shall*
14 *carry out research and development activities relating to*
15 *measures that may be implemented to reduce the release of*
16 *microplastics into the environment associated with car-*
17 *rying out the civil works missions of the Corps of Engineers.*

18 (b) *FOCUS AREAS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the*
19 *Secretary shall, at a minimum—*

20 (1) *review efforts to reduce the release of micro-*
21 *plastics associated with sandblasting or hydro-blast-*
22 *ing vessels owned or operated by the Corps of Engi-*
23 *neers;*

1 (2) *research whether natural features or nature-*
2 *based features can be used effectively to reduce the re-*
3 *lease of microplastics into the environment; and*

4 (3) *describe the potential costs and benefits, and*
5 *the effects on the timeline for carrying out water re-*
6 *sources development projects, of implementing meas-*
7 *ures to reduce the release of microplastics into the en-*
8 *vironment.*

9 **SEC. 208. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR**
10 **IMPACTED AREAS.**

11 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall carry out a*
12 *post-disaster watershed assessment under section 3025 of the*
13 *Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33*
14 *U.S.C. 2267b) for the following areas:*

15 (1) *Areas of Maui, Hawaii, impacted by the Au-*
16 *gust 2023 wildfires.*

17 (2) *Areas near Belen, New Mexico, impacted by*
18 *the April 2022 wildfires.*

19 (b) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 18 months*
20 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
21 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
22 *ture of the House of Representative and the Committee on*
23 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
24 *the status of the post-disaster watershed assessments carried*
25 *out under subsection (a).*

1 **SEC. 209. UPPER BARATARIA BASIN AND MORGANZA TO**
2 **THE GULF OF MEXICO CONNECTION, LOU-**
3 **ISIANA.**

4 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall evaluate con-*
5 *structing a connection between the Upper Barataria Basin*
6 *Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Reduction project,*
7 *Louisiana, authorized by section 8401(3) of the Water Re-*
8 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 U.S.C. 3839), and*
9 *the project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,*
10 *Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, authorized by*
11 *section 1001(24) of the Water Resources Development Act*
12 *of 2007 (121 Stat. 1053).*

13 (b) *SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 1 year*
14 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
15 *complete the evaluation described in subsection (a) and sub-*
16 *mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
17 *of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
18 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate any recommenda-*
19 *tions related to constructing a connection between the*
20 *projects described in such subsection.*

21 **SEC. 210. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM FLOOD RISK**
22 **AND RESILIENCY STUDY.**

23 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall conduct a*
24 *study to evaluate and recommend local and systemic meas-*
25 *ures to improve flood resiliency and reduce flood risk in*

1 *the floodplain, including the floodway, of the Upper Mis-*
2 *issippi River System.*

3 (b) *COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the study required*
4 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

5 (1) *develop recommendations to reduce costs and*
6 *damages associated with flooding and enable people*
7 *located in areas adjacent to, and economies dependent*
8 *on, the Upper Mississippi River System to be more*
9 *resilient to flood events;*

10 (2) *identify opportunities to support navigation,*
11 *environmental sustainability, and environmental res-*
12 *toration goals for the Upper Mississippi River Sys-*
13 *tem, including recommending measures that are inci-*
14 *dental flood risk measures that may achieve such*
15 *goals;*

16 (3) *describe the existing flood risk conditions of*
17 *the Upper Mississippi River System;*

18 (4) *develop and recommend integrated, com-*
19 *prehensive, and systems-based approaches for flood*
20 *risk reduction and floodplain management to mini-*
21 *mize the threat to life, health, safety, and property re-*
22 *sulting from flooding by using structural and non-*
23 *structural measures in the Upper Mississippi River*
24 *System;*

1 (5) *investigate and provide recommendations for*
2 *modifications to authorized water resources develop-*
3 *ment projects in Upper Mississippi River States*
4 *within the floodplain of the Upper Mississippi River*
5 *System, including modifications to the authorized*
6 *purposes of such projects to further flood risk manage-*
7 *ment and resiliency;*

8 (6) *perform a systemic analysis of flood resil-*
9 *ency and flood risk to determine the feasibility of*
10 *protecting authorized water resources development*
11 *projects for flood control and navigation in the Upper*
12 *Mississippi River System;*

13 (7) *develop management plans and actions, to be*
14 *carried out by the responsible Federal agency or State*
15 *government, to reduce flood risk and improve resil-*
16 *ency in the Upper Mississippi River System;*

17 (8) *identify and provide recommendations for*
18 *any necessary changes to Federal or State law to*
19 *carry out recommendations provided pursuant to this*
20 *section;*

21 (9) *recommend followup studies of problem areas*
22 *in the Upper Mississippi River System for which*
23 *data or technology does not allow immediate solu-*
24 *tions; and*

1 (10) *recommend additional monitoring of, or*
2 *systemic adaptive management measures for, author-*
3 *ized water resources development projects to respond*
4 *to changing conditions in the Upper Mississippi*
5 *River System.*

6 (c) *COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION.*—*In carrying*
7 *out the study required under subsection (a), the Secretary*
8 *shall—*

9 (1) *coordinate with the Upper Mississippi River*
10 *States, including collectively through the Upper Mis-*
11 *issippi River Basin Association;*

12 (2) *consult with the appropriate Federal agen-*
13 *cies, levee and drainage districts, and units of local*
14 *government, and the Mississippi River Commission;*
15 *and*

16 (3) *seek and consider input from the Upper Mis-*
17 *issippi navigation industry, agriculture and con-*
18 *servation organizations, and other interested parties*
19 *in such States.*

20 (d) *CONTINUATION OF STUDY.*—*The following studies*
21 *shall be considered a continuation of the study carried out*
22 *under subsection (a):*

23 (1) *Any study recommended to be carried out in*
24 *a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares for the*
25 *study conducted under this section.*

1 (2) *Any study spun off from the study conducted*
2 *under this section before completion of such study.*

3 (e) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISTRICT.*—*The Secretary*
4 *shall carry out the study required under subsection (a)*
5 *through the St. Louis District in the Mississippi Valley Di-*
6 *vision of the Corps of Engineers.*

7 (f) *COST SHARE.*—*The Federal share of the cost of the*
8 *study carried out under subsection (a) and any study car-*
9 *ried out pursuant to subsection (d) shall be 75 percent.*

10 (g) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

11 (1) *UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER STATE.*—*The term*
12 *“Upper Mississippi River State” means any of the*
13 *States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, or Wis-*
14 *consin.*

15 (2) *UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM.*—*The*
16 *term “Upper Mississippi River System” has the*
17 *meaning given the term in section 1103(b) of the*
18 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
19 *652(b)).*

20 **SEC. 211. NEW JERSEY HOT SPOT EROSION MITIGATION.**

21 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall conduct one or*
22 *more studies on the effects of hot spot erosion on authorized*
23 *coastal storm risk management projects in the State of New*
24 *Jersey, which shall include, with respect to each affected*
25 *project included in a study—*

1 (1) *the specific area of the project that is affected*
2 *by hot spot erosion; and*

3 (2) *the impact of hot spot erosion on the effec-*
4 *tiveness of the project in meeting the purpose of coast-*
5 *al storm risk management.*

6 (b) *FORM.*—*A study conducted under subsection (a)*
7 *may be in the form of a general reevaluation report, an*
8 *engineering documentation report, or any other method of*
9 *assessment that the Secretary determines appropriate.*

10 (c) *RECOMMENDATIONS.*—*Based on the study or stud-*
11 *ies carried out under subsection (a), the Secretary shall de-*
12 *velop recommendations for mitigating the effects of hot spot*
13 *erosion on authorized coastal storm risk management*
14 *projects in the State of New Jersey, which may include rec-*
15 *ommendations relating to—*

16 (1) *the design and construction of seawalls, jet-*
17 *ties, berms, groins, breakwaters, or other physical*
18 *structures;*

19 (2) *the use of natural features and nature-based*
20 *features, including living shorelines; and*

21 (3) *modifications to authorized project designs or*
22 *renourishment schedules.*

23 (d) *HOT SPOT EROSION DEFINED.*—*In this section,*
24 *the term “hot spot erosion” means the loss of sediment in*

1 *a specific, concentrated area, significantly faster than in*
2 *immediately surrounding areas, due to natural processes.*

3 **SEC. 212. OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

4 *The Secretary—*

5 *(1) shall—*

6 *(A) expedite the completion of the study of*
7 *plans for mitigation and beach restoration au-*
8 *thorized by section 414 of the Water Resources*
9 *Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2636); and*

10 *(B) produce a report of the Chief of Engi-*
11 *neers with a recommended plan for mitigation*
12 *and beach restoration based on updated sediment*
13 *sampling and analysis; and*

14 *(2) may, if the Secretary determines that the*
15 *mitigation and beach restoration plans described in*
16 *such study are technically feasible and environ-*
17 *mentally acceptable, proceed directly to*
18 *preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of*
19 *the mitigation and beach restoration work.*

20 **SEC. 213. COASTAL WASHINGTON.**

21 *(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to*
22 *carry out comprehensive studies for riverine and coastal*
23 *flooding of coastal areas in the State of Washington.*

24 *(b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out a study under*
25 *subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

1 (1) *conduct a comprehensive analysis of current*
2 *riverine and coastal flooding and corresponding risk*
3 *reduction measures with an emphasis on resiliency to*
4 *maintain or enhance current levels of risk manage-*
5 *ment in response to changing conditions;*

6 (2) *establish a method of projecting sea level rise*
7 *with limited tide gage information and develop appli-*
8 *cable tools to address the unique coastal flooding proc-*
9 *ess in the Pacific Northwest region;*

10 (3) *conduct research and development to under-*
11 *stand the atmospheric, oceanic, geologic, and coastal*
12 *forcing and response conditions necessary to develop*
13 *a numerical modeling system that may be used for*
14 *developing coastal hazard data, and how to best in-*
15 *clude that information in such a modeling system;*

16 (4) *identify coastal vulnerabilities and risks in*
17 *riverine and coastal areas due to sea level change, ex-*
18 *treme weather, and increased coastal storm risk;*

19 (5) *identify Tribal and economically disadvan-*
20 *taged communities (as defined by the Secretary under*
21 *section 160 of the Water Resources Development Act*
22 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) with riverine and*
23 *coastal flooding vulnerabilities and risks; and*

1 **SEC. 215. POOR FARM POND DAM, WORCESTER, MASSACHU-**
2 **SETTS.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall carry out a dis-*
4 *position study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act*
5 *of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization and poten-*
6 *tial removal of the Poor Farm Pond Dam, Worcester, Mas-*
7 *sachusetts.*

8 (b) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 18 months*
9 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
10 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
11 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
12 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
13 *the status of the disposition study required under subsection*
14 *(a).*

15 **SEC. 216. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY ON**
16 **UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN.**

17 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall seek to enter*
18 *into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences*
19 *to prepare a report containing—*

20 (1) *the results of a study on the management*
21 *and operations of the dams and reservoirs in the*
22 *Upper Rio Grande Basin, including the Heron, El*
23 *Vado, Abiquiu, Cochiti, Jemez Canyon, and Elephant*
24 *Butte dams and reservoirs; and*

25 (2) *recommendations for future management and*
26 *operation strategies for such dams and reservoirs with*

1 (1) *ensure that the relevant non-Federal interest*
2 *is provided right of first refusal for any potential re-*
3 *lease, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess ease-*
4 *ments; and*

5 (2) *work alongside the non-Federal interest in*
6 *identifying opportunities for land exchanges, where*
7 *possible.*

8 **SEC. 218. SEA SPARROW ACCOUNTING.**

9 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall share data and*
10 *coordinate with relevant Federal, State, and local agencies*
11 *to obtain an accurate count of Cape Sable Seaside Spar-*
12 *rows in Florida during each year and, to the maximum*
13 *extent practicable, during the 5-year period preceding each*
14 *such year.*

15 (b) *SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CONGRESS.*—*Not*
16 *later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act,*
17 *and annually thereafter during the 10-year period begin-*
18 *ning on such date of enactment, the Secretary shall submit*
19 *to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
20 *the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
21 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate the information*
22 *obtained under subsection (a).*

23 **SEC. 219. WILSON LOCK FLOATING GUIDE WALL, ALABAMA.**

24 *On the request of the relevant Federal entity, the Sec-*
25 *retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, use all rel-*

1 *evant authorities to expeditiously provide technical assist-*
2 *ance, including engineering and design assistance, and cost*
3 *estimation assistance to the relevant Federal entity in order*
4 *to address the impacts to navigation along the Tennessee*
5 *River at the Wilson Lock and Dam, Alabama.*

6 **SEC. 220. ALGIERS CANAL LEVEES, LOUISIANA.**

7 *The Secretary shall issue a report to the Committee*
8 *on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
9 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
10 *Works of the Senate within 60 days of the passage of this*
11 *Act detailing the Corps plan to assume responsibilities for*
12 *the Algiers Canal Levee as outlined in section 8340(a) of*
13 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
14 *3795).*

15 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS**
16 **AND MODIFICATIONS**

17 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.**

18 *Section 301 of the Water Resources Development Act*
19 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 579d–2) is amended by striking sub-*
20 *sections (a) through (c) and inserting the following:*

21 *“(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are—*

22 *“(1) to identify water resources development*
23 *projects, and separable elements of projects, author-*
24 *ized by Congress that are no longer viable for con-*
25 *struction due to—*

1 “(A) a lack of local support;

2 “(B) a lack of available Federal or non-Fed-
3 eral resources; or

4 “(C) an authorizing purpose that is no
5 longer relevant or feasible;

6 “(2) to create an expedited and definitive process
7 for Congress to deauthorize water resources develop-
8 ment projects and separable elements that are no
9 longer viable for construction; and

10 “(3) to allow the continued authorization of
11 water resources development projects and separable
12 elements that are viable for construction.

13 “(b) *PROPOSED DEAUTHORIZATION LIST.*—

14 “(1) *PRELIMINARY LIST OF PROJECTS.*—

15 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall de-
16 velop a preliminary list of each water resources
17 development project, or separable element of a
18 project, authorized for construction before June
19 10, 2014, for which—

20 “(i) planning, design, or construction
21 was not initiated before the date of enact-
22 ment of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2024; or

24 “(ii) planning, design, or construction
25 was initiated before the date of enactment of

1 *the Water Resources Development Act of*
2 *2024, but for which no funds, Federal or*
3 *non-Federal, were obligated for planning,*
4 *design, or construction of the project or sep-*
5 *arable element of the project during the cur-*
6 *rent fiscal year or any of the 10 preceding*
7 *fiscal years.*

8 “(B) *USE OF COMPREHENSIVE CONSTRU-*
9 *CTION BACKLOG AND OPERATION AND MAINTEN-*
10 *NANCE REPORT.—The Secretary may develop the*
11 *preliminary list from the comprehensive con-*
12 *struction backlog and operation and mainte-*
13 *nance reports developed pursuant to section*
14 *1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development*
15 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a).*

16 “(2) *PREPARATION OF PROPOSED DEAUTHORIZA-*
17 *TION LIST.—*

18 “(A) *PROPOSED LIST AND ESTIMATED DE-*
19 *AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT.—The Secretary*
20 *shall—*

21 “(i) *prepare a proposed list of projects*
22 *for deauthorization comprised of a subset of*
23 *projects and separable elements identified*
24 *on the preliminary list developed under*
25 *paragraph (1) that are projects or separable*

1 *elements described in subsection (a)(1), as*
2 *determined by the Secretary; and*

3 *“(ii) include with such proposed list*
4 *an estimate, in the aggregate, of the Federal*
5 *cost to complete such projects.*

6 *“(B) DETERMINATION OF FEDERAL COST TO*
7 *COMPLETE.—For purposes of subparagraph (A),*
8 *the Federal cost to complete shall take into ac-*
9 *count any allowances authorized by section 902*
10 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
11 *(33 U.S.C. 2280), as applied to the most recent*
12 *project schedule and cost estimate.*

13 *“(3) PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSULTATION.—*

14 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall so-*
15 *licit comments from the public and the Gov-*
16 *ernors of each applicable State on the proposed*
17 *deauthorization list prepared under paragraph*
18 *(2)(A).*

19 *“(B) COMMENT PERIOD.—The public com-*
20 *ment period shall be 90 days.*

21 *“(4) PREPARATION OF FINAL DEAUTHORIZATION*
22 *LIST.—*

23 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall*
24 *prepare a final deauthorization list by—*

1 “(i) considering any comments received
2 under paragraph (3); and

3 “(ii) revising the proposed deauthor-
4 ization list prepared under paragraph
5 (2)(A) as the Secretary determines nec-
6 essary to respond to such comments.

7 “(B) APPENDIX.—The Secretary shall in-
8 clude as part of the final deauthorization list an
9 appendix that—

10 “(i) identifies each project or separable
11 element on the proposed deauthorization list
12 that is not included on the final deauthor-
13 ization list; and

14 “(ii) describes the reasons why the
15 project or separable element is not included
16 on the final deauthorization list.

17 “(c) SUBMISSION OF FINAL DEAUTHORIZATION LIST
18 TO CONGRESS FOR CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW; PUBLICA-
19 TION.—

20 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
21 the date of the close of the comment period under sub-
22 section (b)(3), the Secretary shall—

23 “(A) submit the final deauthorization list
24 and appendix prepared under subsection (b)(4)
25 to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-

1 *structure of the House of Representatives and the*
2 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of*
3 *the Senate; and*

4 “(B) *publish the final deauthorization list*
5 *and appendix in the Federal Register.*”

6 “(2) *EXCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall not in-*
7 *clude in the final deauthorization list submitted*
8 *under paragraph (1) any project or separable element*
9 *with respect to which Federal funds for planning, de-*
10 *sign, or construction are obligated after the develop-*
11 *ment of the preliminary list under subsection*
12 *(b)(1)(A) but prior to the submission of the final de-*
13 *authorization list under paragraph (1)(A) of this sub-*
14 *section.*”.

15 **SEC. 302. GENERAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

16 (a) *LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.—Section 529(b)(3) of the*
17 *Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2658;*
18 *119 Stat. 2255; 125 Stat. 865; 136 Stat. 4631) is amended*
19 *by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.*

20 (b) *INVASIVE SPECIES IN ALPINE LAKES PILOT PRO-*
21 *GRAM.—Section 507(c) of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 2020 (16 U.S.C. 4701 note) is amended by striking*
23 *“2028” and inserting “2030”.*

24 (c) *ENVIRONMENTAL BANKS.—Section 309(e) of the*
25 *Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act*

1 *(16 U.S.C. 3957(e)) is amended by striking “12” and in-*
2 *serting “14”.*

3 *(d) LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE.—Section*
4 *9005(g)(2)(E)(i) of the Water Resources Development Act*
5 *of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3303a(g)(2)(E)(i)) is amended by strik-*
6 *ing “2028” and inserting “2033”.*

7 *(e) NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-*
8 *GRAM.—Section 1043(b) of the Water Resources Reform and*
9 *Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended*
10 *by striking “2026” each place it appears and inserting*
11 *“2030”.*

12 *(f) ASIAN CARP PREVENTION AND CONTROL PILOT*
13 *PROGRAM.—Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Develop-*
14 *ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—*

15 *(1) in paragraph (2)(C)(ii), by striking “2024”*
16 *and inserting “2030”; and*

17 *(2) in paragraph (7), by striking “2 years there-*
18 *after” and inserting “2 years after the date of enact-*
19 *ment of the Water Resources Development Act of*
20 *2024”.*

21 *(g) TRANSFER OF EXCESS CREDIT.—Section 1020 of*
22 *the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014*
23 *(33 U.S.C. 2223) is amended by striking “2028” and insert-*
24 *ing “2033” each place it appears.*

1 *(h) PILOT PROGRAMS ON THE FORMULATION OF*
2 *CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECTS IN RURAL COMMUNITIES*
3 *AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES.—Sec-*
4 *tion 118 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020*
5 *(33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—*

6 *(1) in subsection (e), by striking “5 years and 10*
7 *years” and inserting “5 years, 10 years, and 15*
8 *years”;*

9 *(2) in subsection (g), by striking “10 years” and*
10 *inserting “15 years”; and*

11 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

12 *“(h) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—In carrying out this sec-*
13 *tion, the Secretary shall prioritize the following projects:*

14 *“(1) The project for flood risk management, city*
15 *of Rialto, California, authorized by section 201 of the*
16 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024.*

17 *“(2) The project for ecosystem restoration and*
18 *recreation, Santa Ana River, Jurupa Valley, Cali-*
19 *ifornia, authorized by section 201 of the Water Re-*
20 *sources Development Act of 2024.*

21 *“(3) The project for flood control and other pur-*
22 *poses, Kentucky River and its tributaries, Kentucky,*
23 *authorized by section 6 of the Act of August 11, 1939*
24 *(chapter 699, 53 Stat. 1416).*

1 “(4) *The project for flood risk management, Ken-*
2 *tucky River, Kentucky, authorized by section*
3 *8201(a)(31) of the Water Resources Development Act*
4 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746).*

5 “(5) *The project for navigation, Hagaman*
6 *Chute, Lake Providence, Louisiana, authorized by sec-*
7 *tion 201 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
8 *2024.*

9 “(6) *The project for flood risk management,*
10 *Otero County, New Mexico, authorized by section 201*
11 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024.*

12 “(7) *The project for flood control and other pur-*
13 *poses, Susquehanna River Basin, Williamsport,*
14 *Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of*
15 *June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1573).*

16 “(8) *The project for flood risk management and*
17 *ecosystem restoration, Winooski River basin, Vermont,*
18 *authorized by section 201 of the Water Resources De-*
19 *velopment Act of 2024.*

20 “(9) *The project for flood risk management and*
21 *sediment management, Grays River, Wahkiakum*
22 *County, Washington, authorized by section 201 of the*
23 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024.”.*

24 *(i) REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.—Section*
25 *3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and Development*

1 *Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note) is amended by striking*
2 *“2028” and inserting “2033”.*

3 **SEC. 303. CONVEYANCES.**

4 *(a) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—*

5 *(1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—*

6 *The exact acreage and the legal description of any*
7 *real property to be conveyed under this section shall*
8 *be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the*
9 *Secretary.*

10 *(2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING*
11 *PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States*
12 *Code, shall not apply to any conveyance under this*
13 *section.*

14 *(3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—An entity to which*
15 *a conveyance is made under this section shall be re-*
16 *sponsible for all reasonable and necessary costs, in-*
17 *cluding real estate transaction and environmental*
18 *documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.*

19 *(4) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a conveyance*
20 *is made under this section shall hold the United*
21 *States harmless from any liability with respect to ac-*
22 *tivities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-*
23 *ance, on the real property conveyed. The United*
24 *States shall remain responsible for any liability with*

1 *respect to activities carried out, before such date, on*
2 *the real property conveyed.*

3 (5) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The*
4 *Secretary may require that any conveyance under*
5 *this section be subject to such additional terms and*
6 *conditions as the Secretary considers necessary and*
7 *appropriate to protect the interests of the United*
8 *States.*

9 (b) *CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA.—*

10 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary*
11 *is authorized to convey, without consideration, to the*
12 *City of Los Angeles, California, all right, title, and*
13 *interest of the United States in and to the real prop-*
14 *erty described in paragraph (2), for the purpose of*
15 *housing a fire station, swiftwater rescue facility, and*
16 *firefighter training facility.*

17 (2) *PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed*
18 *under this subsection is the approximately 11.25 acres*
19 *of land, including improvements on that land, located*
20 *at 5101 Sepulveda Boulevard, Sherman Oaks, Cali-*
21 *fornia.*

22 (3) *REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines at*
23 *any time that the property conveyed under paragraph*
24 *(1) is not being used in accordance with the purpose*
25 *specified in such paragraph, all right, title, and inter-*

1 *est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-*
2 *tion of the Secretary, to the United States.*

3 *(c) SALINAS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—*

4 *(1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary*
5 *shall convey, without consideration, to the County of*
6 *San Luis Obispo, California, all right, title, and in-*
7 *terest of the United States in and to the real property*
8 *described in paragraph (2).*

9 *(2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed*
10 *under this subsection is Salinas Dam and Reservoir*
11 *(Santa Margarita Lake), California.*

12 *(3) SAFETY REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary*
13 *shall, in consultation with appropriate Federal and*
14 *non-Federal entities, ensure the property described in*
15 *paragraph (2) meets applicable State and Federal*
16 *dam safety requirements before conveying such prop-*
17 *erty under this subsection.*

18 *(4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines*
19 *that the property conveyed under this subsection is*
20 *not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-*
21 *terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-*
22 *cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.*

23 *(d) PORT OF SKAMANIA COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—*

24 *(1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary*
25 *may convey, without consideration, to the Port of*

1 *Skamania County, Washington, all right, title, and*
2 *interest of the United States in and to the real prop-*
3 *erty described in paragraph (2).*

4 (2) *PROPERTY.*—*The property to be conveyed*
5 *under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres*
6 *of land, including improvements on that land, con-*
7 *sisting of the following: Lot I–2 in the Fifth Addition*
8 *to the Plats of Relocated North Bonneville recorded in*
9 *Volume B of Plat Records, Pages 51 and 52,*
10 *Skamania County Auditor’s File No. 94016.*

11 (3) *WAIVER OF PROPERTY SCREENING PROVI-*
12 *SION.*—*Section 401(e) of Public Law 100–581 (102*
13 *Stat. 2944) shall not apply to the conveyance under*
14 *this subsection.*

15 (4) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines*
16 *that the property conveyed under this subsection is*
17 *not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-*
18 *terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-*
19 *cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.*

20 (e) *TECHNICAL CORRECTION.*—*Section 8377(e)(3)(B)*
21 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
22 *3825) is amended by striking “reserved an retained” and*
23 *inserting “reserved and retained”.*

1 **SEC. 304. LAKES PROGRAM.**

2 *Section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development*
3 *Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 104 Stat. 4646; 110 Stat.*
4 *3758; 118 Stat. 295; 121 Stat. 1076; 134 Stat. 2703; 136*
5 *Stat. 3778) is amended—*

6 *(1) in paragraph (33), by striking “and” at the*
7 *end;*

8 *(2) in paragraph (34) by striking the period at*
9 *the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

10 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

11 *“(35) East Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida;*

12 *“(36) Dillon Lake, Ohio;*

13 *“(37) Hillcrest Pond, Pennsylvania;*

14 *“(38) Falcon Lake, Zapata County, Texas; and*

15 *“(39) Lake Casa Blanca, Webb County, Texas.”.*

16 **SEC. 305. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.**

17 *Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Development*
18 *Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 113 Stat. 339; 114 Stat. 2679;*
19 *136 Stat. 3779) is amended by adding at the end the fol-*
20 *lowing:*

21 *“(23) West Dundalk Branch Channel and Dun-*
22 *dalk-Seagirt Connecting Channel, Baltimore Harbor*
23 *Anchorage and Channels, Maryland.*

24 *“(24) Crown Bay Marina Channel, United*
25 *States Virgin Islands.*

1 “(25) *Pidgeon Industrial Area Harbor, Mem-*
2 *phis, Tennessee.*

3 “(26) *McGriff Pass Channel, Florida.*

4 “(27) *Oak Harbor Channel and Breakwater,*
5 *Washington.*

6 “(28) *Ediz Hook, Port Angeles, Washington.*”.

7 **SEC. 306. ASSET DIVESTITURE.**

8 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Section 109 of the River and Har-*
9 *bor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C. 534) is amended—*

10 (1) *by striking “That the Secretary of the Army”*
11 *and inserting the following:*

12 “(a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary of the Army*”;

13 (2) *by striking “with or without consideration”*
14 *and all that follows through the period at the end and*
15 *inserting the following: “with or without consider-*
16 *ation if, prior to any transfer or conveyance of a*
17 *bridge, the Secretary and the State authority, or po-*
18 *litical subdivision thereof, execute an agreement con-*
19 *taining the following terms and conditions:*

20 “(1) *The State authority, or political subdivision*
21 *thereof, shall assume responsibility for the operation,*
22 *maintenance, repair, replacement, and rehabilitation*
23 *of the bridge, including the preservation, protection,*
24 *inspection and evaluation of, and future construction*
25 *on, the bridge.*

1 “(2) Operation of the bridge shall be consistent
2 with the purposes of, and may not constrain or
3 change, the operation and maintenance of the water
4 resources development project in connection to which
5 the bridge was constructed or acquired.

6 “(3) The State authority, or political subdivision
7 thereof, shall hold the United States harmless from
8 any liability with respect to the operation, mainte-
9 nance, repair, replacement, and rehabilitation of the
10 bridge, including preservation, protection, inspection
11 and evaluation of, and future construction on, the
12 bridge.

13 “(4) Any additional terms or conditions that the
14 Secretary considers appropriate to protect the inter-
15 ests of the United States.”; and

16 (3) by adding at the end the following:

17 “(b) FUNDS.—The Secretary may transfer to the State
18 authority, or political subdivision thereof, to which a bridge
19 is transferred or conveyed under this section any funds
20 made available to the Secretary for necessary replacement
21 or rehabilitation of the bridge.”.

22 (b) REPORT ON BRIDGE INVENTORY.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
25 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-

1 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
2 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
3 *Senate a report on bridges owned, operated, and*
4 *maintained by the Corps of Engineers.*

5 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-*
6 *clude in the report required under paragraph (1)—*

7 (A) *a list of bridges carrying passengers*
8 *that are—*

9 (i) *not located in recreational areas;*

10 *and*

11 (ii) *not required to be owned, operated,*
12 *and maintained by the Corps of Engineers*
13 *for the proper functioning of water re-*
14 *sources development projects;*

15 (B) *a description of the location of such*
16 *bridges and applicable State authority or polit-*
17 *ical subdivision to which such bridges may be*
18 *transferred or conveyed under section 109 of the*
19 *River and Harbor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C. 534)*
20 *(as amended by this section); and*

21 (C) *a description of measures taken by the*
22 *Corps of Engineers to reduce the number of*
23 *bridges owned, operated, and maintained by the*
24 *Corps of Engineers.*

1 **SEC. 307. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 *Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Development*
4 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by striking*
5 *“\$15,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal year there-*
6 *after” and inserting “\$15,000,000 for fiscal year 2024 and*
7 *\$20,000,000 for each fiscal year thereafter”.*

8 **SEC. 308. COASTAL COMMUNITY FLOOD CONTROL AND**
9 **OTHER PURPOSES.**

10 *Section 103(k)(4) of the Water Resources Development*
11 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)(4)) is amended—*

12 *(1) in subparagraph (A)—*

13 *(A) in clause (i), by striking “makes” and*
14 *inserting “made”; and*

15 *(B) in clause (ii), by striking “repays an*
16 *amount equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ of the remaining principal*
17 *by” and inserting “made a payment of an addi-*
18 *tional \$200,000,000 for that eligible deferred*
19 *payment agreement on or before”;*

20 *(2) in subparagraph (B) by inserting “inter-*
21 *est’s” after “non-Federal”; and*

22 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

23 *“(C) REFUND OF CREDIT.—Any agreement*
24 *made that applied credits to satisfy the terms of*
25 *a pre-payment made under subsection (k)(4)(A)*
26 *that resulted in total payment in excess of the*

1 *amount now required under subsection (k)(4)(A)*
2 *shall be modified to indicate that the excess cred-*
3 *its continue to apply toward any remaining*
4 *principal of the respective project, or at the re-*
5 *quest of the non-Federal interest, the agreement*
6 *shall be modified to retroactively transfer back*
7 *those excess credits to the non-Federal interest*
8 *such that those credits may be applied by the*
9 *non-Federal interest to any cost-shared project*
10 *identified by the non-Federal interest.”.*

11 **SEC. 309. SHORE PROTECTION AND RESTORATION.**

12 *Section 8327 of the Water Resources Development Act*
13 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788) is amended—*

14 (1) *in the section heading, by striking “DELA-*
15 **WARE”**; and

16 (2) *in subsection (b)—*

17 (A) *in the heading, by striking “DELA-*
18 **WARE”**;

19 (B) *by striking “the State of Delaware” and*
20 *inserting “the covered geographic area” each*
21 *place it appears; and*

22 (C) *in paragraph (7), by adding at the end*
23 *the following:*

24 “(C) **COVERED GEOGRAPHIC AREA.**—*The*
25 *term ‘covered geographic area’ means—*

1 “(i) *the State of Delaware;*
2 “(ii) *Fire Island National Seashore,*
3 *New York; and*
4 “(iii) *the hamlets of Massapequa Park,*
5 *Massapequa, Amityville, Copiague,*
6 *Lindenhurst, West Babylon, Babylon, West*
7 *Islip, West Bay Shore, Brightwaters, Bay*
8 *Shore, Islip, East Islip, Great River,*
9 *Oakdale, West Sayville, Saville, Bayport,*
10 *Blue Point, Patchogue, East Patchogue,*
11 *Bellport, Brookhaven, Shirley, Mastic*
12 *Beach, Mastic, Moriches, Center Moriches,*
13 *East Moriches, and Eastport, New York.”.*

14 **SEC. 310. HOPPER DREDGE MCFARLAND REPLACEMENT.**

15 *If the Secretary replaces the Federal hopper dredge*
16 *McFarland referred to in section 563 of the Water Resources*
17 *Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3784; 121 Stat. 1105)*
18 *with another Federal hopper dredge, the Secretary shall—*

19 (1) *place the replacement Federal hopper dredge*
20 *in a ready reserve status;*

21 (2) *periodically perform routine underway*
22 *dredging tests of the equipment (not to exceed 70 days*
23 *per year) of the replacement Federal hopper dredge in*
24 *a ready reserve status to ensure the ability of the re-*

1 *placement Federal hopper dredge to perform urgent*
2 *and emergency work; and*

3 (3) *in consultation with affected stakeholders,*
4 *place the replacement Federal hopper dredge in active*
5 *status in order to perform dredging work if the Sec-*
6 *retary determines that private industry has failed—*

7 (A) *to submit a responsive and responsible*
8 *bid for work advertised by the Secretary; or*

9 (B) *to carry out a project as required pur-*
10 *suant to a contract between the industry and the*
11 *Secretary.*

12 **SEC. 311. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

13 *Section 1113 of the Water Resources Development Act*
14 *of 1986 (100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719, 136 Stat. 3781)*
15 *is amended—*

16 (1) *in subsection (d)—*

17 (A) *by striking “The non-Federal” and in-*
18 *serting the following:*

19 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal*”; and

20 (B) *by adding at the end the following:*

21 “(2) *RECONNAISSANCE STUDY.—Notwithstanding*
22 *paragraph (1), the Federal share of a reconnaissance*
23 *study carried out by the Secretary under this section*
24 *shall be 100 percent.*”; and

1 (2) *in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”*
2 *and inserting “\$90,000,000”.*

3 **SEC. 312. PACIFIC REGION.**

4 *Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act*
5 *of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended by in-*
6 *serting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.*

7 **SEC. 313. SELMA, ALABAMA.**

8 *The Federal share of the cost of the project for flood*
9 *risk management, Selma Flood Risk Management and*
10 *Bank Stabilization, Alabama, authorized by section*
11 *8401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022*
12 *(136 Stat. 3838), shall be 100 percent.*

13 **SEC. 314. BARROW, ALASKA.**

14 *For purposes of implementing the coastal erosion*
15 *project, Barrow, Alaska, authorized pursuant to section 116*
16 *of the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies*
17 *Appropriations Act, 2010 (123 Stat. 2851) the Secretary*
18 *may consider the North Slope Borough to be in compliance*
19 *with section 402(a) of the Water Resources Development Act*
20 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 701b–12(a)) on adoption by the North*
21 *Slope Borough Assembly of a floodplain management plan*
22 *to reduce the impacts of flood events in the immediate flood-*
23 *plain area of the project, if the plan—*

24 (1) *was developed in consultation with the Sec-*
25 *retary and the Administrator of the Federal Emer-*

1 *gency Management Agency in accordance with the*
2 *guidelines developed under section 402(c) of such Act;*
3 *and*

4 *(2) is approved by the Secretary.*

5 **SEC. 315. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CALIFORNIA.**

6 *Section 142 of the Water Resources Development Act*
7 *of 1976 (90 Stat. 2930; 100 Stat. 4158) is amended—*

8 *(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting*
9 *“(a) The Secretary”;*

10 *(2) by inserting “, Contra Costa,” before “and*
11 *Solano”;* *and*

12 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

13 *“(b) ADDITIONAL PURPOSES.—In carrying out sub-*
14 *section (a), the Secretary shall—*

15 *“(1) include the ocean shorelines of each county;*

16 *“(2) with respect to the bay and ocean shorelines*
17 *of each county—*

18 *“(A) investigate measures to adapt to rising*
19 *sea levels;*

20 *“(B) consider the needs of economically dis-*
21 *advantaged communities within the study area,*
22 *including identification of areas in which infra-*
23 *structure for transportation, wastewater, hous-*
24 *ing, and other economic assets of such commu-*

1 *nities are most vulnerable to flood or shoreline*
2 *risks; and*

3 *“(C) to the maximum extent practicable,*
4 *consider the use of natural features or nature-*
5 *based features and the beneficial use of dredged*
6 *materials; and*

7 *“(3) with respect to the bay and ocean shorelines,*
8 *and streams running to the bay and ocean shorelines,*
9 *of each county, investigate the effects of proposed flood*
10 *or shoreline protection, coastal storm risk reduction,*
11 *environmental infrastructure, and other measures or*
12 *improvements on—*

13 *“(A) the local economy, including recre-*
14 *ation;*

15 *“(B) aquatic ecosystem restoration, en-*
16 *hancement, or expansion efforts or opportunities;*

17 *“(C) public infrastructure protection and*
18 *improvement;*

19 *“(D) stormwater runoff capacity and con-*
20 *trol measures, including those that may mitigate*
21 *flooding;*

22 *“(E) erosion of beaches and coasts; and*

23 *“(F) any other measures or improvements*
24 *relevant to adapting to rising sea levels.”.*

1 **SEC. 316. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA.**

2 (a) SANTA ANA CREEK, INCLUDING SANTIAGO
3 CREEK.—

4 (1) MODIFICATION.—*The project for flood con-*
5 *trol, Santa Ana River Mainstem Project, including*
6 *Santiago Creek, California, authorized by section*
7 *401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
8 *1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111; 104 Stat.*
9 *4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115), is modified to*
10 *require the Secretary to treat construction of the*
11 *Santiago Creek Channel as a separable element of the*
12 *project.*

13 (2) PROHIBITION.—*The Secretary may not con-*
14 *struct the Santiago Creek Channel unless such con-*
15 *struction minimizes the impacts to existing trees in,*
16 *or adjacent to, the Santiago Creek Channel.*

17 (3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—*Nothing in this*
18 *subsection shall affect the authorization for other por-*
19 *tions of the project described in paragraph (1).*

20 (4) DEFINITIONS.—*In this subsection:*

21 (A) SANTIAGO CREEK CHANNEL.—*The term*
22 *“Santiago Creek Channel” means the portion of*
23 *the project for flood control, Santa Ana River*
24 *Mainstem Project, including Santiago Creek,*
25 *California, authorized by section 401(a) of the*
26 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100*

1 *Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111; 104 Stat. 4611;*
2 *110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115), consisting of*
3 *Santiago Creek downstream of the I–5 Interstate*
4 *Highway to the confluence with the Santa Ana*
5 *River.*

6 (B) *SEPARABLE ELEMENT.*—*The term “sep-*
7 *arable element” has the meaning given such term*
8 *in section 103 of the Water Resources Develop-*
9 *ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213).*

10 (b) *REPORT.*—

11 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 90 days after*
12 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
13 *provide the Committee on Transportation and Infra-*
14 *structure of the House of Representatives and the*
15 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
16 *Senate with an update on implementation of the*
17 *project for flood control, Santa Ana River Mainstem,*
18 *including Santiago Creek, California, authorized by*
19 *section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development*
20 *Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111; 104*
21 *Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115).*

22 (2) *SPECIFICATIONS.*—*In providing the update*
23 *required under paragraph (1), the Secretary is di-*
24 *rected to provide specific information on—*

1 (A) efforts by the Secretary and the non-
2 Federal interest for the project to acquire the
3 lands or interests in lands necessary to imple-
4 ment the project;

5 (B) the status of potential reimbursement
6 requests by the non-Federal interest for such
7 lands or interests; and

8 (C) the status of ongoing requests by the
9 non-Federal interest for approval by the Sec-
10 retary of pending land (or interest in land) ap-
11 praisals and litigation settlements associated
12 with such lands or interests in lands.

13 **SEC. 317. FAULKNER ISLAND, CONNECTICUT.**

14 Section 527 of the Water Resources Development Act
15 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3767) is amended by striking
16 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

17 **SEC. 318. BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.**

18 The project for hurricane and storm damage risk re-
19 duction, Delaware Beneficial Use of Dredged Material for
20 the Delaware River, Delaware, authorized by section 401(3)
21 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
22 2736; 136 Stat. 3788) is modified to include the project for
23 hurricane and storm damage reduction, Delaware Bay
24 coastline, Delaware and New Jersey–Broadkill Beach, Dela-

1 *ware, authorized by section 101(a)(11) of the Water Re-*
2 *sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275).*

3 **SEC. 319. FEDERAL TRIANGLE AREA, WASHINGTON, DIS-**
4 **TRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

5 *In carrying out the feasibility study for the project for*
6 *flood risk management, Federal Triangle Area, Washington,*
7 *District of Columbia, authorized by section 8201(a)(12) of*
8 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
9 *3745), the Secretary may accept and expend funds contrib-*
10 *uted by other Federal agencies within the study area.*

11 **SEC. 320. WASHINGTON AQUEDUCT.**

12 *Section 8146(d) of the Water Resources Development*
13 *Act of 2022 (40 U.S.C. 9501 note; 136 Stat. 3729) is*
14 *amended—*

15 *(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “Water and*
16 *Sewer Authority” after “District of Columbia”; and*

17 *(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “Fairfax*
18 *County” and inserting “the Fairfax County Water*
19 *Authority”.*

20 **SEC. 321. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, WASH-**
21 **INGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARYLAND,**
22 **AND VIRGINIA.**

23 *The Federal share of the cost of the feasibility study*
24 *for the project for water supply, Washington, District of Co-*
25 *lumbia, Maryland, and Virginia, authorized by section*

1 *8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
2 *2022 (136 Stat. 3745) shall be 100 percent.*

3 **SEC. 322. NORTHERN ESTUARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORA-**
4 **TION, FLORIDA.**

5 *Section 8215(b) of the Water Resources Development*
6 *Act of 2022 is amended by adding at the end the following:*

7 *“(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the*
8 *cost of carrying out paragraph (1) shall be 100 per-*
9 *cent.”.*

10 **SEC. 323. NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GEORGIA**
11 **AND SOUTH CAROLINA.**

12 *Section 1319(c) of the Water Resources Development*
13 *Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1703; 136 Stat. 3792) is amended—*

14 *(1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-*
15 *lows:*

16 *“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other*
17 *provision of law, the Project is modified to include—*

18 *“(A) full repair of the New Savannah Bluff*
19 *Lock and Dam structure;*

20 *“(B) modification of the structure such that*
21 *the structure is able to maintain a stable pool*
22 *with the same daily average elevation as is*
23 *achieved by the existing structure, as measured*
24 *at both the United States Geological Survey*
25 *Gage 02196999, located at the New Savannah*

1 *Bluff Lock and Dam, and the United States Geo-*
2 *logical Survey Gage 02196670, located in the vi-*
3 *ximity of the Fifth Street Bridge, Augusta, Geor-*
4 *gia, which at the New Savannah Bluff Lock and*
5 *Dam is between 114.5 and 115 feet National*
6 *Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29);*

7 *“(C) construction of a fish passage structure*
8 *as recommended in the report of the Chief of En-*
9 *gineers for the Project, dated August 17, 2012, or*
10 *such other Project feature that appropriately*
11 *mitigates impacts to fish habitat caused by the*
12 *Project without removing the dam; and*

13 *“(D) conveyance by the Secretary to Au-*
14 *gusta-Richmond County, Georgia, of the park*
15 *and recreation area adjacent to the New Savan-*
16 *nah Bluff Lock and Dam, without consider-*
17 *ation.”;*

18 *(2) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the*
19 *following:*

20 *“(C) CEILING.—The costs of construction to*
21 *be paid by the Georgia Ports Authority as a*
22 *non-Federal interest for the Project for the modi-*
23 *fications authorized under paragraph (1) shall*
24 *not exceed the costs that would be paid by such*
25 *non-Federal interest for construction of the fish*

1 *passage structure recommended in the report of*
2 *the Chief of Engineers for the Project, dated Au-*
3 *gust 17, 2012.”; and*

4 (3) *in paragraph (3), by striking “the cost shar-*
5 *ing of the Project as provided by law” and inserting*
6 *“the cost sharing of the fish passage structure as rec-*
7 *ommended in the report of the Chief of Engineers for*
8 *the Project, dated August 17, 2012”.*

9 **SEC. 324. DILLARD ROAD, PATOKA LAKE, INDIANA.**

10 (a) *TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary is au-*
11 *thorized to transfer, without consideration, to the State of*
12 *Indiana, all right, title, and interest of the United States*
13 *in and to the real property interests described in subsection*
14 (i).

15 (b) *PROPERTY.—The real property interests to be*
16 *transferred under this section are any easements on the ap-*
17 *proximately 11.85 acres of land associated with Dillard*
18 *Road, located in Patoka Township, Crawford County, Indi-*
19 *ana, that is subject to the Department of the Army license*
20 *granted to the State of Indiana numbered DACW27–3–22–*
21 *690, as described in Exhibit A of such license, including*
22 *improvements on that land.*

23 (c) *DISPOSAL.—The Secretary may, under subchapter*
24 *III of chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code,*
25 *dispose of any portion of the real property interests de-*

1 *scribed in subsection (b) of which the State of Indiana does*
2 *not accept transfer.*

3 (d) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines that the*
4 *land described in subsection (b) ceases to be used as a road,*
5 *all right, title, and interest in and to the real property in-*
6 *terests shall revert, at the discretion of the Secretary, to the*
7 *United States.*

8 (e) *COSTS OF TRANSFER.*—*The State of Indiana shall*
9 *be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs, includ-*
10 *ing real estate transaction and environmental documenta-*
11 *tion costs, associated with the transfer under this section.*

12 (f) *LIABILITY.*—*The State of Indiana shall hold the*
13 *United States harmless from any liability with respect to*
14 *activities carried out, on or after the date of the conveyance,*
15 *on the land described in subsection (b).*

16 (g) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—*The Sec-*
17 *retary may require that the transfer under this section be*
18 *subject to such additional terms and conditions as the Sec-*
19 *retary considers necessary and appropriate to protect the*
20 *interests of the United States.*

21 **SEC. 325. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LOUISIANA.**

22 (a) *SCOPING OF EVALUATION.*—

23 (1) *STUDY.*—*Not later than June 30, 2025, the*
24 *Secretary shall complete a study of the following re-*
25 *lating to the covered project:*

1 (A) *Any project modifications undertaken*
2 *by the non-Federal interest for the covered*
3 *project since 2005 not constructed in accordance*
4 *with section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33*
5 *U.S.C. 408).*

6 (B) *Current elevations required for the cov-*
7 *ered project to meet the 100-year level of risk re-*
8 *duction.*

9 (C) *Whether project modifications under-*
10 *taken by the non-Federal interest for the covered*
11 *project since 2005 were injurious to the covered*
12 *project or the public.*

13 (D) *Any deviations from design guidelines*
14 *acceptable for the covered project.*

15 (E) *Improvements needed for the covered*
16 *project to address any deficiencies according to*
17 *current design guidelines of the Corps of Engi-*
18 *neers district in which the covered project is lo-*
19 *cated.*

20 (F) *A re-evaluation of project economics.*

21 (2) *REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after com-*
22 *pleting the study under paragraph (1), the Secretary*
23 *shall submit to Congress a report that includes—*

24 (A) *the results of the study;*

1 (B) a recommendation for a pathway into
2 a systemwide improvement plan created pursu-
3 ant to section 5(c)(2) of the Act of August 18,
4 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(c)) (as amended by this
5 Act); and

6 (C) recommendations for improvement to
7 the covered project to address any deficiencies.

8 (b) *COVERED PROJECT DEFINED.*—In this section, the
9 term “covered project” means the Larose to Golden Meadow
10 project, Louisiana, authorized by the Flood Control Act of
11 1965 as the Grand Isle and vicinity project.

12 (c) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is
13 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
14 \$3,000,000.

15 **SEC. 326. MORGANZA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOUISIANA.**

16 Section 1001(24) of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1053) is amended by adding at the
18 end the following:

19 “(C) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit
20 toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the
21 project described in subparagraph (A) the cost of
22 work carried out by the non-Federal interest for
23 interim flood protection after March 31, 1989, if
24 the Secretary determines that the work—

25 “(i) is integral to the project;

1 “(ii) *complies with all applicable Fed-*
2 *eral laws, regulations, and policies that*
3 *were in place at the time the work was com-*
4 *pleted; and*

5 “(iii) *notwithstanding the date de-*
6 *scribed in this subparagraph, is otherwise*
7 *in compliance with the requirements of sec-*
8 *tion 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970*
9 *(42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b).”.*

10 **SEC. 327. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**
11 **ISIANA.**

12 (a) *STUDY REQUEST.—If the non-Federal interest for*
13 *the Port Fourchon project requests to undertake a feasibility*
14 *study for a modification to the project under section*
15 *203(a)(1)(B) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
16 *1986 (as amended by this Act), the Secretary shall provide*
17 *to the non-Federal interest, not later than 30 days after*
18 *the date on which the Secretary receives such request, a de-*
19 *termination in accordance with section 203(a)(1)(3) of such*
20 *Act (as amended by this Act).*

21 (b) *NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL ANALYSES AND RE-*
22 *VIEWS.—Not later than 30 days after receiving a feasibility*
23 *study for modification to the Port Fourchon project sub-*
24 *mitted by the non-Federal interest for the project under sec-*

1 *tion 203(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
2 *(33 U.S.C. 2231(a)), the Secretary shall—*

3 *(1) review the study and determine, in accord-*
4 *ance with section 203(b)(3)(C) such Act (as amended*
5 *by this Act), whether additional information is need-*
6 *ed for the Secretary to perform the required analyses,*
7 *reviews, and compliance processes;*

8 *(2) provide the non-Federal interest with a com-*
9 *prehensive list of additional information needs, as ap-*
10 *plicable; and*

11 *(3) if additional information is not needed, in-*
12 *form the non-Federal interest that the study submis-*
13 *sion is complete.*

14 *(c) ANALYSIS, REVIEW, AND COMPLIANCE.—*

15 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2) and*
16 *(3), not later than 180 days after the Secretary re-*
17 *ceives the study for the Port Fourchon project de-*
18 *scribed in subsection (b), the Secretary shall complete*
19 *the analyses, review, and compliance processes for the*
20 *project required under section 203(b) of the Water Re-*
21 *sources Development Act of 1986, issue a finding of*
22 *no significant impact or a record of decision, and*
23 *submit such finding or decision to the non-Federal in-*
24 *terest.*

1 (2) *EXCEPTION.*—*The Secretary may delay the*
2 *issuance of the finding or record of decision required*
3 *under paragraph (1) if—*

4 (A) *the Secretary has not received necessary*
5 *information or approvals from another entity,*
6 *including the non-Federal interest, in a manner*
7 *that affects the ability of the Secretary to meet*
8 *any requirements under State, local, or Federal*
9 *law; or*

10 (B) *significant new information or cir-*
11 *cumstances, including a major modification to*
12 *an aspect of the Port Fourchon project, requires*
13 *additional analysis by the Secretary.*

14 (3) *NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL TIME.*—*If the*
15 *Secretary determines that more than 180 days will be*
16 *required to carry out paragraph (1), the Secretary*
17 *shall notify the Committee on Transportation and In-*
18 *frastructure of the House of Representatives, the Com-*
19 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-*
20 *ate, and the non-Federal interest and describe the*
21 *basis for requiring additional time.*

22 (d) *PORT FOURCHON PROJECT DEFINED.*—*In this sec-*
23 *tion, the term “Port Fourchon project” means the project*
24 *for navigation, Port Fourchon Belle Pass Channel, Lou-*

1 isiana, authorized by section 403(a)(4) of the Water Re-
2 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2743).

3 **SEC. 328. UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM, MIN-**
4 **NESOTA.**

5 *The Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam (as such*
6 *term is defined in section 2010 of the Water Resources Re-*
7 *form and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270; 136*
8 *Stat. 3795)) is modified to remove navigation as an author-*
9 *ized purpose.*

10 **SEC. 329. MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, MISSOURI.**

11 *Section 111 of the Energy and Water Development and*
12 *Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009 (123 Stat. 607)*
13 *is amended by striking “\$7,000,000” and inserting*
14 *“\$65,000,000”.*

15 **SEC. 330. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

16 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall permit the on-*
17 *going presence of an eligible structure at the Table Rock*
18 *Lake project.*

19 (b) *PRIVATELY OWNED SEWER AND SEPTIC SYS-*
20 *TEM.*—*The Secretary shall permit the ongoing presence of*
21 *an eligible structure that is a privately owned sewer and*
22 *septic system at the Table Rock Lake project until—*

23 (1) *the abandonment of such system by the hold-*
24 *er of a license for right-of-way for such system; or*

25 (2) *the failure of such system.*

1 (c) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

2 (1) *ELIGIBLE STRUCTURE.*—*The term “eligible*
3 *structure” means a privately owned sewer and septic*
4 *system for which a license for right-of-way has been*
5 *provided by the Secretary and is in effect on the date*
6 *of enactment of this Act, dwelling unit, shed, retain-*
7 *ing wall, deck, patio, gazebo, driveway, or fence—*

8 (A) *that is located on fee land or land sub-*
9 *ject to a flowage easement; and*

10 (B) *that does not impact the reservoir level*
11 *or pose a failure risk to the dam of the Table*
12 *Rock Lake project.*

13 (2) *FEE LAND.*—*The term “fee land” means the*
14 *land acquired in fee title by the United States for the*
15 *Table Rock Lake project.*

16 (3) *TABLE ROCK LAKE PROJECT.*—*The term*
17 *“Table Rock Lake project” means the Table Rock*
18 *Lake project of the Corps of Engineers, located in*
19 *Missouri and Arkansas, authorized as one of the mul-*
20 *tipurpose reservoir projects in the White River Basin*
21 *by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat.*
22 *1218).*

1 **SEC. 331. MISSOURI RIVER MITIGATION, MISSOURI, KAN-**
2 **SAS, IOWA, AND NEBRASKA.**

3 (a) *ACQUISITION OF LANDS.*—*In acquiring any land,*
4 *or interests in land, to satisfy the total number of acres*
5 *required for the covered project, the Secretary—*

6 (1) *may only acquire land, or an interest in*
7 *land, that—*

8 (A) *is on the riverward side of levees; or*

9 (B) *will contribute to future flood risk resil-*
10 *ience projects;*

11 (2) *may only acquire land, or an interest in*
12 *land, with the approval of the Governor of the State*
13 *in which the land is located; and*

14 (3) *may not acquire land, or an interest in land,*
15 *by eminent domain.*

16 (b) *APPLICATION OF LANDS.*—*The Secretary shall*
17 *apply all covered land toward the number of acres required*
18 *for the covered project in accordance with section 334 of*
19 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.*
20 *306; 136 Stat. 3799).*

21 (c) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

22 (1) *COVERED LAND.*—*The term “covered land”*
23 *means any land or interests in land that—*

24 (A) *is acquired by a Federal agency other*
25 *than the Corps of Engineers;*

1 (B) is located within the meander belt of the
2 lower Missouri River; and

3 (C) the Secretary, in consultation with the
4 head of any Federal agency that has acquired the
5 land or interest in land, determines meets the
6 purposes of the covered project.

7 (2) *COVERED PROJECT.*—The term “covered
8 project” means the project for mitigation of fish and
9 wildlife losses, Missouri River Bank Stabilization and
10 Navigation Project, Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, and Ne-
11 braska, authorized by section 601(a) of the Water Re-
12 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4143; 113
13 Stat. 306; 121 Stat. 1155; 136 Stat. 2395).

14 **SEC. 332. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR AND TRIBU-**
15 **TARIES, NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.**

16 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—The study for flood and storm dam-
17 age reduction for the New York and New Jersey Harbor
18 and Tributaries project, authorized by the Act of June 15,
19 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132, 134 Stat. 2676) and being
20 carried out pursuant to the Disaster Relief Appropriations
21 Act, 2013 (Public Law 113–2), is modified to require the
22 Secretary, upon the request of the non-Federal interest for
23 the project, to include within the scope of such study an
24 investigation of, and recommendations relating to, projects
25 and activities to maximize the net public benefits, including

1 *ecological benefits and societal benefits, from the reduction*
2 *of the comprehensive flood risk within the geographic scope*
3 *of the project from the isolated and compound effects of fac-*
4 *tors described in section 8106(a) of the Water Resources De-*
5 *velopment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2282g).*

6 (b) *ASSOCIATED PROJECTS.*—*The Secretary is author-*
7 *ized to carry out projects and activities recommended pur-*
8 *suant to subsection (a) if such projects and activities other-*
9 *wise meet the criteria for projects carried out under a con-*
10 *tinuing authority program (as defined in section 7001(c))*
11 *of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of*
12 *2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(c)).*

13 (c) *CONTINUATION.*—*Any study recommended to be*
14 *carried out in a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares*
15 *for such study shall be considered a continuation of the*
16 *study described in subsection (a).*

17 (d) *CONSIDERATION; CONSULTATION.*—*In developing*
18 *recommendations pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary*
19 *shall—*

20 (1) *consider the use of natural and nature-based*
21 *features;*

22 (2) *consult with applicable Federal and State*
23 *agencies and other stakeholders within the geographic*
24 *scope of the project; and*

25 (3) *solicit public comments.*

1 (e) *INTERIM PROGRESS; REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—Not
2 *later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act,*
3 *the Secretary shall transmit to the Committee on Transpor-*
4 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives*
5 *and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of*
6 *the Senate a report detailing—*

7 (1) *any recommendations made pursuant to sub-*
8 *section (a);*

9 (2) *any projects or activities carried out under*
10 *subsection (b);*

11 (3) *any additional, site-specific areas within the*
12 *geographic scope of the project for which additional*
13 *study is recommended by the Secretary; and*

14 (4) *any interim actions related to reduction of*
15 *comprehensive flood risk within the geographic scope*
16 *of the project undertaken by the Secretary during the*
17 *study period.*

18 (f) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.*—*Any additional action author-*
19 *ized by this section shall not delay any existing study, engi-*
20 *neering, or planning work underway as of the date of enact-*
21 *ment of this Act.*

22 **SEC. 333. WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OHIO, INDIANA, AND**
23 **MICHIGAN.**

24 *Section 441 of the Water Resources Development Act*
25 *of 1999 (113 Stat. 328) is amended—*

1 (1) *in subsection (a), by striking “flood control,”*
2 *and inserting “flood risk management, hurricane and*
3 *storm damage risk reduction,”;*

4 (2) *in subsection (b), by striking “the study” and*
5 *inserting “any study under this section”;* and

6 (3) *by striking subsection (c) and inserting the*
7 *following:*

8 “*(c) TREATMENT OF STUDIES.—Any study carried out*
9 *by the Secretary under this section after the date of enact-*
10 *ment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 shall*
11 *be treated as a continuation of the initial study carried*
12 *out under this section.*

13 “*(d) PROJECTS.—A project resulting from a study car-*
14 *ried out under this section may be implemented pursuant*
15 *to section 212.”.*

16 **SEC. 334. WILLAMETTE VALLEY, OREGON.**

17 *The Secretary may not complete its review of, and con-*
18 *sultation with other Federal agencies on, the operation and*
19 *maintenance of the projects for flood control, navigation,*
20 *and other purposes, Willamette River Basin, Oregon, au-*
21 *thorized by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter*
22 *795, 52 Stat. 1222; 62 Stat. 1178; 64 Stat. 177; 68 Stat.*
23 *1264; 74 Stat. 499; 100 Stat. 4144), until the Secretary*
24 *prepares and formally analyzes an alternative that ceases*

1 *hydropower operations at the projects, notwithstanding hy-*
2 *dropower being an authorized purpose of such projects.*

3 **SEC. 335. COLUMBIA RIVER CHANNEL, OREGON AND WASH-**
4 **INGTON.**

5 *In carrying out maintenance activities on the project*
6 *for navigation, Columbia River Channel, Oregon and*
7 *Washington, authorized by section 101(b)(13) of the Water*
8 *Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 280), the Sec-*
9 *retary is authorized to include, as part of the full operating*
10 *costs of the Cutter Suction Dredge provided by the non-Fed-*
11 *eral interest for the project, any costs of replacing the Cutter*
12 *Suction Dredge that the Secretary and the non-Federal in-*
13 *terest agree are necessary.*

14 **SEC. 336. BUFFALO BAYOU TRIBUTARIES AND RESILIENCY**
15 **STUDY, TEXAS.**

16 *(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-*
17 *pletion of the Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency*
18 *Study, Texas, carried out pursuant to title IV of the Bipar-*
19 *tisan Budget Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 76).*

20 *(b) REPORTS.—The final report of the Chief of Engi-*
21 *neers for the study described in subsection (a) shall contain*
22 *recommendations for projects that—*

23 *(1) align with community objectives;*

24 *(2) avoid or minimize adverse effects on the envi-*
25 *ronment and community; and*

1 (3) *promote the resiliency of infrastructure.*

2 (c) *DEADLINE.—Not later than December 31, 2025, the*
3 *Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation*
4 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
5 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
6 *the final report described in subsection (b).*

7 **SEC. 337. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL JETTY DEFICIENCY,**
8 **PORT LAVACA, TEXAS.**

9 (a) *IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation,*
10 *Matagorda Ship Channel, Port Lavaca, Texas, authorized*
11 *by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72*
12 *Stat. 298), is modified to authorize the Secretary to carry*
13 *out the repairs for the Matagorda Ship Channel Jetty Defi-*
14 *ciency, as described in the report titled “Matagorda Ship*
15 *Channel Project Deficiency Report” and published by the*
16 *Secretary in the June 2020 Matagorda Ship Channel*
17 *Project Deficiency Report.*

18 (b) *COST SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost*
19 *of the repairs carried out pursuant to subsection (a) shall*
20 *be 10 percent.*

21 **SEC. 338. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS.**

22 *The project for flood control, San Antonio channel im-*
23 *provement, Texas, authorized by section 203 of the Flood*
24 *Control Act of 1954 as part of the project for flood protec-*
25 *tion on the Guadalupe and San Antonio Rivers, Texas (68*

1 *Stat. 1259; 90 Stat. 2921; 114 Stat. 2611*), is modified to
2 *require the Secretary to carry out the project substantially*
3 *in accordance with Alternative 7, as identified in the final*
4 *General Re-evaluation Report and Environmental Assess-*
5 *ment for the project, dated January 2014.*

6 **SEC. 339. WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE, WASHINGTON.**

7 (a) *ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary*
8 *may establish a program to provide environmental assist-*
9 *ance to non-Federal interests in Chelan County, Island*
10 *County, King County, Kittitas County, Pierce County, San*
11 *Juan County, Snohomish County, Skagit County, and*
12 *Whatcom County, Washington.*

13 (b) *FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided under*
14 *this section may be in the form of design and construction*
15 *assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure*
16 *and resource protection and development projects in the*
17 *counties listed in subsection (a) or make defined term for*
18 *Western Washington State, including projects for waste-*
19 *water treatment and related facilities, water supply and re-*
20 *lated facilities, environmental restoration, and surface*
21 *water resource protection and development.*

22 (c) *OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may*
23 *provide assistance for a project under this section only if*
24 *the project is publicly owned.*

25 (d) *PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—*

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Before providing assistance*
2 *under this section to a non-Federal interest, the Sec-*
3 *retary shall enter into a partnership agreement under*
4 *section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42*
5 *U.S.C. 1962d–5b) with the non-Federal interest with*
6 *respect to the project to be carried out with such as-*
7 *sistance.*

8 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*Each partnership agree-*
9 *ment for a project entered into under this subsection*
10 *shall provide for the following:*

11 (A) *Development by the Secretary, in con-*
12 *sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-*
13 *ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and*
14 *development plan, including appropriate engi-*
15 *neering plans and specifications.*

16 (B) *Establishment of such legal and institu-*
17 *tional structures as are necessary to ensure the*
18 *effective long-term operation of the project by the*
19 *non-Federal interest.*

20 (3) *COST SHARING.*—

21 (A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Federal share of the*
22 *cost of a project under this section—*

23 (i) *shall be 75 percent; and*

24 (ii) *may be provided in the form of*
25 *grants or reimbursements of project costs.*

1 (B) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—*In case of a*
2 *delay in the funding of the Federal share of a*
3 *project that is the subject of an agreement under*
4 *this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive*
5 *credit for reasonable interest accrued on the cost*
6 *of providing the non-Federal share of the project*
7 *cost.*

8 (C) *CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND*
9 *RIGHTS-OF-WAY.*—*Notwithstanding section*
10 *221(a)(4)(G) of the Flood Control Act of 1970*
11 *(42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(a)(4)(G)), the non-Federal*
12 *interest shall receive credit for land, easements,*
13 *rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-*
14 *Federal share of project cost (including all rea-*
15 *sonable costs associated with obtaining permits*
16 *necessary for the construction, operation, and*
17 *maintenance of the project on publicly owned or*
18 *controlled land), except that the credit may not*
19 *exceed 25 percent of total project costs.*

20 (D) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—*The*
21 *non-Federal share of operation and maintenance*
22 *costs for projects constructed with assistance pro-*
23 *vided under this section shall be 100 percent.*

24 (e) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*There is authorized to be ap-*
2 *propriated \$242,000,000 to carry out this section.*

3 (2) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—*Not more*
4 *than 10 percent of the amounts made available to*
5 *carry out this section may be used by the Secretary*
6 *to administer projects under this section at Federal*
7 *expense.*

8 (f) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—*Section 219(f)(404) of*
9 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 is repealed.*

10 ***SEC. 340. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.***

11 (a) *NEW PROJECTS.*—*Section 219(f) of the Water Re-*
12 *sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.*
13 *336; 121 Stat. 1258; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended by adding*
14 *at the end the following:*

15 “(406) *BUCKEYE, ARIZONA.*—*\$12,000,000 for*
16 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
17 *reclamation, City of Buckeye, Arizona.*

18 “(407) *FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.*—*\$5,000,000 for*
19 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
20 *reclamation, City of Flagstaff, Arizona.*

21 “(408) *PAGE, ARIZONA.*—*\$10,000,000 for water*
22 *and wastewater infrastructure, including water rec-*
23 *lamation, City of Page, Arizona.*

1 “(409) SAHUARITA, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
3 *reclamation, in the town of Sahuarita, Arizona.*

4 “(410) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$20,000,000 for
5 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
6 *reclamation, City of Tucson, Arizona.*

7 “(411) WINSLOW, ARIZONA.—\$3,000,000 for
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
9 *reclamation, City of Winslow, Arizona.*

10 “(412) ADELANTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for
11 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the City of*
12 *Adelanto, California.*

13 “(413) APTOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for
14 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of*
15 *Aptos, California.*

16 “(414) BISHOP, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,500,000 for
17 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
18 *Bishop, California.*

19 “(415) BLOOMINGTON, CALIFORNIA.—
20 \$20,000,000 for *water and wastewater infrastructure,*
21 *including stormwater management, in Bloomington,*
22 *California.*

23 “(416) BUTTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
24 \$50,000,000 for *water and wastewater infrastructure,*
25 *including stormwater management, water supply, en-*

1 *vironmental restoration, and surface water resource*
2 *protection in Butte County, California.*

3 “(417) CALIFORNIA CITY, CALIFORNIA.—
4 *\$1,902,808 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
5 *including water supply, in the city of California*
6 *City, California.*

7 “(418) CARSON, CALIFORNIA.—*\$11,000,000 for*
8 *water and water supply infrastructure in the City of*
9 *Carson, California.*

10 “(419) CEDAR GLEN, CALIFORNIA.—*\$35,000,000*
11 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
12 *water supply and water storage, in Cedar Glen, Cali-*
13 *fornia.*

14 “(420) CULVER CITY, CALIFORNIA.—*\$10,000,000*
15 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
16 *water supply and drinking water, in City of Culver*
17 *City, California.*

18 “(421) COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—*\$20,000,000 for*
19 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
20 *stormwater management, in the city of Colton, Cali-*
21 *fornia.*

22 “(422) EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, CALI-
23 *FORNIA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater in-*
24 *frastructure, including stormwater management,*

1 *drinking water, and water supply, in the City of Los*
2 *Angeles, California, including Sun Valley.*

3 “(423) *FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
4 *\$20,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-*
5 *ture, including stormwater management, surface*
6 *water resource protection, and environmental restora-*
7 *tion, in Fresno County, California.*

8 “(424) *GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUBLIC UTILITY*
9 *DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,500,000 for water and*
10 *wastewater infrastructure, including water supply*
11 *and water storage, for communities served by the*
12 *Georgetown Divide Public Utility District, Cali-*
13 *fornia.*

14 “(425) *GRAND TERRACE, CALIFORNIA.—*
15 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
16 *including stormwater management, in the city of*
17 *Grand Terrace, California.*

18 “(426) *HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for*
19 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including re-*
20 *lated environmental infrastructure, in the city of*
21 *Hayward, California.*

22 “(427) *HOLLISTER, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for*
23 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
24 *Hollister, California.*

1 “(428) *KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
2 *\$50,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-*
3 *ture in Kern County, California.*

4 “(429) *LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000*
5 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
6 *stormwater management, in Lake County, California.*

7 “(430) *LAKE TAHOE BASIN.—\$20,000,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
9 *supply, in the communities within the Lake Tahoe*
10 *Basin in Nevada and California.*

11 “(431) *LA QUINTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for*
12 *water and wastewater infrastructure, in the City of*
13 *La Quinta, California.*

14 “(432) *LAKWOOD, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000 for*
15 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
16 *Lakewood, California.*

17 “(433) *LAWNDALE, CALIFORNIA.—\$6,000,000 for*
18 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
19 *stormwater management, and environmental infra-*
20 *structure, in the city of Lawndale, California.*

21 “(434) *LONE PINE, CALIFORNIA.—\$7,000,000 for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *stormwater management, in the town of Lone Pine,*
24 *California.*

1 “(435) *LOMITA, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,500,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
3 *supply and stormwater management, in the city of*
4 *Lomita, California.*

5 “(436) *LOS BANOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for*
6 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
7 *stormwater management, in the city of Los Banos,*
8 *California.*

9 “(437) *LOS OLIVOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000*
10 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in the town*
11 *of Los Olivos, California.*

12 “(438) *LYNWOOD, CALIFORNIA.—\$12,000,000 for*
13 *water and water supply infrastructure in the city of*
14 *Lynwood, California.*

15 “(439) *MADERA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
16 *\$27,500,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-*
17 *ture in Madera County, California.*

18 “(440) *MILPITAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for*
19 *water and water supply infrastructure in the city of*
20 *Milpitas, California.*

21 “(441) *MONTECITO, CALIFORNIA.—\$18,250,000*
22 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *water supply and stormwater management, in the*
24 *town of Montecito, California.*

1 “(442) OAKLAND-ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-
2 FORNIA.—\$30,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
3 frastructure, including stormwater management, in
4 the cities of Oakland and Alameda, California.

5 “(443) OXNARD, CALIFORNIA.—\$40,000,000 for
6 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
7 supply, conservation, water reuse and related facili-
8 ties, environmental restoration, and surface water re-
9 source protection, in the city of Oxnard, California.

10 “(444) PATTERSON, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
12 water supply and environmental restoration, in the
13 city of Patterson, California.

14 “(445) POMONA, CALIFORNIA.—\$35,000,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
16 supply and drinking water, in Pomona, California.

17 “(446) ROHNERT PARK, CALIFORNIA.—
18 \$10,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
19 ture in the city of Rohnert Park, California.

20 “(447) SALINAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for
21 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
22 supply, in the city of Salinas, California.

23 “(448) SAN BENITO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
24 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 *including water supply, in San Benito County, Cali-*
2 *fornia.*

3 “(449) *SAN BUENAVENTURA, CALIFORNIA.—*
4 *\$18,250,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
5 *including water reclamation, City of San*
6 *Buenaventura, California.*

7 “(450) *SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
8 *\$200,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-*
9 *ture, including water supply, in San Diego County,*
10 *California.*

11 “(451) *SOUTH GATE, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000*
12 *for water and water supply infrastructure in the city*
13 *of South Gate, California.*

14 “(452) *SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
15 *\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
16 *including drinking water and water supply, in San*
17 *Luis Obispo County, California.*

18 “(453) *STANISLAUS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
19 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
20 *including water supply and stormwater management,*
21 *in Stanislaus County, California.*

22 “(454) *TULARE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
23 *\$20,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-*
24 *ture, including stormwater management, surface*

1 *water resource protection, and environmental restora-*
2 *tion, in Tulare County, California.*

3 “(455) *WATSONVILLE, CALIFORNIA.*—\$28,000,000
4 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
5 *Watsonville, California.*

6 “(456) *YOLO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.*—\$20,000,000
7 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
8 *water supply and stormwater management, in Yolo*
9 *County, California.*

10 “(457) *YORBA LINDA WATER DISTRICT, CALI-*
11 *FORNIA.*—\$6,500,000 *for water and water supply in-*
12 *frastructure in communities served by the Yorba*
13 *Linda Water District, California.*

14 “(458) *FREMONT COUNTY, COLORADO.*—
15 *\$50,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-*
16 *ture, in Fremont County, Colorado.*

17 “(459) *EAST HAMPTON, CONNECTICUT.*—
18 *\$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
19 *including water supply, in the town of East Hamp-*
20 *ton, Connecticut.*

21 “(460) *EAST LYME, CONNECTICUT.*—\$25,000,000
22 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *water supply, in the town of East Lyme, Connecticut.*

24 “(461) *BETHANY BEACH TO REHOBOTH BEACH,*
25 *DELAWARE.*—\$25,000,000 *for water and wastewater*

1 *infrastructure, including stormwater management,*
2 *water storage and treatment, and environmental res-*
3 *toration in the town of Bethany Beach, Delaware,*
4 *and the city of Rehoboth Beach, Delaware.*

5 “(462) *WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000*
6 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
7 *stormwater management, water storage and treat-*
8 *ment, and environmental restoration in the City of*
9 *Wilmington, Delaware.*

10 “(463) *BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.—*
11 *\$50,000,000 for water and water-related infrastruc-*
12 *ture, including stormwater management, water stor-*
13 *age and treatment, surface water protection, and en-*
14 *vironmental restoration, in Broward County, Florida.*

15 “(464) *DELTONA, FLORIDA.—\$31,200,000 for*
16 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the City of*
17 *Deltona, Florida.*

18 “(465) *LONGBOAT KEY, FLORIDA.—\$2,000,000*
19 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
20 *stormwater management, in the Town of Longboat*
21 *Key, Florida.*

22 “(466) *MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$10,000,000*
23 *for water and water supply infrastructure, including*
24 *water supply, in Marion County, Florida.*

1 “(467) *OVIEDO, FLORIDA.—\$10,000,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
3 *storage and treatment, in the city of Oviedo, Florida.*

4 “(468) *OSCEOLA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$5,000,000*
5 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
6 *water supply, and environmental restoration, in*
7 *Osceola County, Florida.*

8 “(469) *CENTRAL FLORIDA.—\$45,000,000 for*
9 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
10 *supply, in Brevard County, Orange County, and*
11 *Osceola County, Florida.*

12 “(470) *CENTRAL COASTAL GEORGIA, GEORGIA.—*
13 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
14 *including stormwater management and water supply,*
15 *in Bryan, Camden, Chatham, Effingham, Glynn, and*
16 *McIntosh Counties, Georgia.*

17 “(471) *DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$40,000,000*
18 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
19 *drinking water and water treatment, in DeKalb*
20 *County, Georgia.*

21 “(472) *PORTERDALE, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *stormwater management, water supply, and environ-*
24 *mental restoration in the city of Porterdale, Georgia.*

1 “(473) *BURLEY, IDAHO.*—\$20,000,000 for water
2 *and wastewater infrastructure, including water treat-*
3 *ment, in the city of Burley, Idaho.*

4 “(474) *BELVIDERE, ILLINOIS.*—\$17,000,000 for
5 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
6 *Belvidere, Illinois.*

7 “(475) *DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.*—\$5,000,000
8 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
9 *water supply and drinking water, in the village of*
10 *Clarendon Hills, Illinois.*

11 “(476) *FOX RIVER, ILLINOIS.*—\$9,500,000 for
12 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
13 *storage and treatment, in the villages of Lakemoor,*
14 *Island Lake, and Volo, and McHenry County, Illi-*
15 *nois.*

16 “(477) *GERMAN VALLEY, ILLINOIS.*—\$5,000,000
17 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
18 *drinking water and water treatment, in the village of*
19 *German Valley, Illinois.*

20 “(478) *LASALLE, ILLINOIS.*—\$4,000,000 for
21 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
22 *stormwater management, drinking water, water treat-*
23 *ment, and environmental restoration, in the city of*
24 *LaSalle, Illinois.*

1 “(479) *ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS.*—\$4,000,000 for
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
3 *drinking water and water treatment, in the city of*
4 *Rockford, Illinois.*

5 “(480) *SAVANNA, ILLINOIS.*—\$2,000,000 for
6 *water and water supply infrastructure, including*
7 *drinking water, in the city of Savanna, Illinois.*

8 “(481) *SHERRARD, ILLINOIS.*—\$7,000,000 for
9 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
10 *drinking water and water treatment, in the village of*
11 *Sherrard, Illinois.*

12 “(482) *BROWNSVILLE, KENTUCKY.*—\$14,000,000
13 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
14 *water supply and drinking water, in the city of*
15 *Brownsville, Kentucky.*

16 “(483) *MONROE, LOUISIANA.*—\$7,000,000 for
17 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
18 *stormwater management, water supply, and drinking*
19 *water, in the city of Monroe, Louisiana.*

20 “(484) *POINTE CELESTE, LOUISIANA.*—
21 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
22 *including pump stations, in Pointe Celeste, Lou-*
23 *isiana.*

24 “(485) *FRANKLIN, MASSACHUSETTS.*—\$1,000,000
25 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*

1 *stormwater management, in the town of Franklin,*
2 *Massachusetts.*

3 “(486) WINTHROP, MASSACHUSETTS.—
4 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
5 including stormwater management, in the town of
6 Winthrop, Massachusetts.

7 “(487) MILAN, MICHIGAN.—\$3,000,000 for water
8 and wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-
9 ply and drinking water, in the city of Milan, Michi-
10 gan.

11 “(488) SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN.—\$58,000,000 for
12 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
13 stormwater management and water supply, in Gen-
14 esee, Macomb, Oakland, Wayne, and Washtenaw
15 Counties, Michigan.

16 “(489) ELYSIAN, MINNESOTA.—\$5,000,000 for
17 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
18 supply, in the city of Elysian, Minnesota.

19 “(490) LE SUEUR, MINNESOTA.—\$3,200,000 for
20 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
21 supply, in the city of Le Sueur, Minnesota.

22 “(491) COLUMBIA, MISSISSIPPI.—\$4,000,000 for
23 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
24 quality enhancement and water supply, in the city of
25 Columbia, Mississippi.

1 “(492) *HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—*
2 *\$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
3 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including*
4 *stormwater management), drainage systems, and*
5 *water quality enhancement, Hancock County, Mis-*
6 *issippi.*

7 “(493) *LAUREL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
9 *stormwater management, in the city of Laurel, Mis-*
10 *issippi.*

11 “(494) *MOSS POINT, MISSISSIPPI.—\$11,000,000*
12 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
13 *stormwater management, in the city of Moss Point,*
14 *Mississippi.*

15 “(495) *OLIVE BRANCH, MISSISSIPPI.—*
16 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
17 *including stormwater management, water quality en-*
18 *hancement, and water supply, in the city of Olive*
19 *Branch, Mississippi.*

20 “(496) *PICAYUNE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for*
21 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
22 *stormwater management, in the city of Picayune,*
23 *Mississippi.*

24 “(497) *STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$6,000,000*
25 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*

1 *drinking water, water treatment, water quality en-*
2 *hancement, and water supply, in the city of*
3 *Starkville, Mississippi.*

4 “(498) *LAUGHLIN, NEVADA.—\$29,000,000 for*
5 *water infrastructure, including water supply, in the*
6 *town of Laughlin, Nevada.*

7 “(499) *PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—\$4,000,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of*
9 *Pahrump, Nevada.*

10 “(500) *NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$25,000,000 for water*
11 *and wastewater infrastructure, and related environ-*
12 *mental infrastructure, in the counties of Belknap,*
13 *Carroll, Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rockingham, and*
14 *Strafford, New Hampshire.*

15 “(501) *BELMAR, NEW JERSEY.—\$10,000,000 for*
16 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including re-*
17 *lated environmental infrastructure and stormwater*
18 *management in Belmar Township, New Jersey.*

19 “(502) *CAPE MAY, NEW JERSEY.—\$40,000,000*
20 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
21 *water supply and desalination, for the city of Cape*
22 *May, the boroughs of West Cape May and Cape May*
23 *Point, and Lower Township, New Jersey.*

1 “(503) *COLESVILLE, NEW JERSEY.*—\$10,000,000
2 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in Colesville,*
3 *New Jersey.*

4 “(504) *DEPTFORD TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.*—
5 *\$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
6 *in Deptford Township, New Jersey.*

7 “(505) *LACEY TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.*—
8 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
9 *including related environmental infrastructure and*
10 *stormwater management, in Lacey Township, New*
11 *Jersey.*

12 “(506) *MERCHANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY.*—
13 *\$18,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
14 *in the borough of Merchantville, New Jersey.*

15 “(507) *PARK RIDGE, NEW JERSEY.*—\$10,000,000
16 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in the bor-*
17 *ough of Park Ridge, New Jersey.*

18 “(508) *WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.*—
19 *\$3,200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
20 *in Washington Township, Gloucester County, New*
21 *Jersey.*

22 “(509) *BERNALILLO, NEW MEXICO.*—\$20,000,000
23 *for wastewater infrastructure in the town of*
24 *Bernalillo, New Mexico.*

1 “(510) *BOSQUE FARMS, NEW MEXICO.*—
2 \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the vil-
3 lage of Bosque Farms, New Mexico.

4 “(511) *CARMEL, NEW YORK.*—\$3,450,000 for
5 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
6 stormwater management, in the town of Carmel, New
7 York.

8 “(512) *DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.*—
9 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
10 in Dutchess County, New York.

11 “(513) *KINGS COUNTY, NEW YORK.*—
12 \$100,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including stormwater management (including
14 combined sewer overflows), in Kings County, New
15 York.

16 “(514) *MOHAWK RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NEW*
17 *YORK.*—\$100,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
18 structure, including stormwater management, surface
19 water resource protection, environmental restoration,
20 and related infrastructure, in the vicinity of the Mo-
21 hawk River and tributaries, including the counties of
22 Albany, Delaware, Fulton, Greene, Hamilton, Her-
23 kimer, Lewis, Madison, Montgomery, Oneida, Otsego,
24 Saratoga, Schoharie, and Schenectady, New York.

1 “(515) MOUNT PLEASANT, NEW YORK.—
2 \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
3 including stormwater management, in the town of
4 Mount Pleasant, New York.

5 “(516) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—
6 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
7 including stormwater management (including com-
8 bined sewer overflows), in the vicinity of Newtown
9 Creek, New York City, New York.

10 “(517) NEW YORK COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
11 \$60,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
12 including stormwater management (including com-
13 bined sewer overflows), in New York County, New
14 York.

15 “(518) ORANGE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
16 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
17 in Orange County, New York.

18 “(519) SLEEPY HOLLOW, NEW YORK.—
19 \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
20 including stormwater management, in the village of
21 Sleepy Hollow, New York.

22 “(520) ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
23 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
24 in Ulster County, New York.

1 “(521) *RAMAPO, NEW YORK.*—\$4,000,000 for
2 *water infrastructure, including related environmental*
3 *infrastructure, in the town of Ramapo, New York.*

4 “(522) *RIKERS ISLAND, NEW YORK.*—
5 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
6 *including stormwater management (including com-*
7 *bined sewer overflows) on Rikers Island, New York.*

8 “(523) *YORKTOWN, NEW YORK.*—\$10,000,000 for
9 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of*
10 *Yorktown, New York.*

11 “(524) *CANTON, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$41,025,650
12 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
13 *stormwater management, in the town of Canton,*
14 *North Carolina.*

15 “(525) *FAIRMONT, NORTH CAROLINA.*—
16 \$7,137,500 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
17 *in the town of Fairmont, North Carolina.*

18 “(526) *MURPHY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$1,500,000
19 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
20 *water supply, in the town of Murphy, North Caro-*
21 *lina.*

22 “(527) *ROBBINSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.*—
23 \$3,474,350 for water and wastewater infrastructure
24 *in the town of Robbinsville, North Carolina.*

1 “(528) *WEAVERVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
2 *\$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
3 *in the town of Weaverville, North Carolina.*

4 “(529) *APPLE CREEK, OHIO.—\$350,000 for*
5 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
6 *stormwater management, in the village of Apple*
7 *Creek, Ohio.*

8 “(530) *BROOKLYN HEIGHTS, OHIO.—\$170,000*
9 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
10 *stormwater management, in the village of Brooklyn*
11 *Heights, Ohio.*

12 “(531) *CHAGRIN FALLS REGIONAL WATER SYS-*
13 *TEM, OHIO.—\$3,500,000 for water and wastewater in-*
14 *frastructure in the villages of Bentleyville, Chagrin*
15 *Falls, Moreland Hills, and South Russell, and the*
16 *Townships of Bainbridge, Chagrin Falls, and Russell,*
17 *Ohio.*

18 “(532) *CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—\$11,500,000*
19 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in Cuyahoga*
20 *County, Ohio.*

21 “(533) *ERIE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$16,000,000 for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *stormwater management (including combined sewer*
24 *overflows) in Erie County, Ohio.*

1 “(534) *HURON, OHIO.—\$7,100,000 for water and*
2 *wastewater infrastructure in the city of Huron, Ohio.*

3 “(535) *KELLEYS ISLAND, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for*
4 *wastewater infrastructure in the village of Kelleys Is-*
5 *land, Ohio.*

6 “(536) *NORTH OLMSTED, OHIO.—\$1,175,165 for*
7 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
8 *North Olmsted, Ohio.*

9 “(537) *PAINESVILLE, OHIO.—\$11,800,000 for*
10 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
11 *stormwater management, in the City of Painesville,*
12 *Ohio.*

13 “(538) *SOLON, OHIO.—\$14,137,341 for water*
14 *and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater*
15 *management (including combined sewer overflows), in*
16 *the city of Solon, Ohio.*

17 “(539) *SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.—\$25,000,000 for*
18 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including re-*
19 *lated environmental infrastructure, in Summit Coun-*
20 *ty, Ohio.*

21 “(540) *STARK COUNTY, OHIO.—\$24,000,000 for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including re-*
23 *lated environmental infrastructure, in Stark County,*
24 *Ohio.*

1 “(541) *TOLEDO AND OREGON, OHIO.—*
2 *\$10,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
3 *in the cities of Toledo and Oregon, Ohio.*

4 “(542) *VERMILION, OHIO.—\$15,400,000 for*
5 *wastewater infrastructure in the city of Vermilion,*
6 *Ohio.*

7 “(543) *WESTLAKE, OHIO.—\$750,000 for water*
8 *and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater*
9 *management, in the city of Westlake, Ohio.*

10 “(544) *STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—\$30,000,000*
11 *for water infrastructure, including related environ-*
12 *mental infrastructure and water storage, trans-*
13 *mission, treatment, and distribution, in the city of*
14 *Stillwater, Oklahoma.*

15 “(545) *BEAVERTON, OREGON.—\$10,000,000 for*
16 *water supply in the city of Beaverton, Oregon.*

17 “(546) *CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON.—*
18 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
19 *including combined sewer overflows, in Clackamas*
20 *County, Oregon.*

21 “(547) *WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON.—*
22 *\$50,000,000 for water infrastructure and water sup-*
23 *ply in Washington County, Oregon.*

24 “(548) *BERKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
25 *\$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*

1 *including water supply, stormwater management,*
2 *drinking water, and water treatment, in Berks Coun-*
3 *ty, Pennsylvania.*

4 “(549) *CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
5 *\$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
6 *including water supply, stormwater management,*
7 *drinking water, and water treatment, in Chester*
8 *County, Pennsylvania.*

9 “(550) *FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
10 *\$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
11 *including stormwater management, in Franklin*
12 *Township, Pennsylvania.*

13 “(551) *INDIAN CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
14 *\$50,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the bor-*
15 *oughs of Telford, Franconia, and Lower Safford,*
16 *Pennsylvania.*

17 “(552) *PEN ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,000,000*
18 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in the bor-*
19 *ough of Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania.*

20 “(553) *CHESTERFIELD, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
21 *\$1,200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
22 *in the town of Chesterfield, South Carolina.*

23 “(554) *CHERAW, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$8,800,000*
24 *for water, wastewater, and other environmental infra-*
25 *structure in the town of Cheraw, South Carolina.*

1 “(555) *FLORENCE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
2 *\$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
3 *in Florence County, South Carolina.*

4 “(556) *LAKE CITY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
5 *\$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
6 *including stormwater management in the city of Lake*
7 *City, South Carolina.*

8 “(557) *TIPTON, HAYWOOD, AND FAYETTE COUN-*
9 *TIES, TENNESSEE.—\$50,000,000 for water and waste-*
10 *water infrastructure, including related environmental*
11 *infrastructure and water supply, in Tipton, Hay-*
12 *wood, and Fayette Counties, Tennessee.*

13 “(558) *AUSTIN, TEXAS.—\$50,000,000 for water*
14 *and wastewater infrastructure in the city of Austin,*
15 *Texas.*

16 “(559) *AMARILLO, TEXAS.—\$38,000,000 for*
17 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
18 *stormwater management and water storage and treat-*
19 *ment systems, in the City of Amarillo, Texas.*

20 “(560) *BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—\$40,000,000 for*
21 *water and wastewater infrastructure, in the City of*
22 *Brownsville, Texas.*

23 “(561) *CLARENDON, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for*
24 *water infrastructure, including water storage, in the*
25 *city of Clarendon, Texas.*

1 “(562) *QUINLAN, TEXAS.—\$1,250,000 for water*
2 *and wastewater infrastructure in the city of Quinlan,*
3 *Texas.*

4 “(563) *RUNAWAY BAY, TEXAS.—\$7,000,000 for*
5 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
6 *stormwater management and water storage and treat-*
7 *ment systems, in the city of Runaway Bay, Texas.*

8 “(564) *WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for*
9 *wastewater infrastructure and water supply in Webb*
10 *County, Texas.*

11 “(565) *ZAPATA COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000*
12 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
13 *water supply, in Zapata County, Texas.*

14 “(566) *KING WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—*
15 *\$1,300,000 for wastewater infrastructure in King*
16 *William County, Virginia.*

17 “(567) *POTOMAC RIVER, VIRGINIA.—\$1,000,000*
18 *for wastewater infrastructure, environmental infra-*
19 *structure, and water quality improvements, in the vi-*
20 *cinity of the Potomac River, Virginia.*

21 “(568) *CHELAN, WASHINGTON.—\$9,000,000 for*
22 *water infrastructure, including water supply, storage,*
23 *and distribution, in the city of Chelan, Washington.*

24 “(569) *COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—*
25 *\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water*

1 *supply and storage, in the city of College Place,*
2 *Washington.*

3 “(570) *FERNDALE, WASHINGTON.—\$4,000,000*
4 *for water, wastewater, and environmental infrastruc-*
5 *ture, in the city of Ferndale, Washington.*

6 “(571) *LYNDEN, WASHINGTON.—\$4,000,000 for*
7 *water, wastewater, and environmental infrastructure,*
8 *in the city of Lynden, Washington.*

9 “(572) *OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000*
10 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
11 *water supply and aquifer storage and recovery, in the*
12 *city of Othello, Washington.”.*

13 *(b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—*

14 *(1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress*
15 *finds that the project modifications described in this*
16 *subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-*
17 *mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section*
18 *7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development*
19 *Act (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Congress on*
20 *Future Water Resources Development”, or have other-*
21 *wise been reviewed by Congress.*

22 *(2) MODIFICATIONS.—*

23 *(A) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUN-*
24 *TIES, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(80) of the*
25 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*

1 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1258) is*
2 *amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and insert-*
3 *ing “\$45,000,000”.*

4 *(B) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Sec-*
5 *tion 219(f)(86) of the Water Resources Develop-*
6 *ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334;*
7 *121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended by*
8 *striking “\$13,280,000” and inserting*
9 *“\$16,300,000”.*

10 *(C) CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
11 *Section 219(f)(87) of the Water Resources Devel-*
12 *opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.*
13 *334; 121 Stat. 1259) is amended—*

14 *(i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-*
15 *ing “WATER DISTRICT” and inserting*
16 *“COUNTY”;*

17 *(ii) by inserting “\$80,000,000, of*
18 *which not less than” before “\$23,000,000”;*

19 *(iii) by inserting “shall be” after*
20 *“\$23,000,000”; and*

21 *(iv) by inserting “service area, and of*
22 *which not less than \$57,000,000 shall be for*
23 *water and wastewater infrastructure, in-*
24 *cluding stormwater management and water*
25 *supply, within the service areas for the*

1 *Delta Diablo Sanitation District and the*
2 *Ironhouse Sanitary District, Contra Costa*
3 *County” after “Water District”.*

4 (D) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
5 Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Resources Devel-
6 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is amend-
8 ed—

9 (i) by striking “\$103,000,000” and in-
10 serting “\$128,000,000”; and

11 (ii) by striking “Santa Clarity Valley”
12 and inserting “Santa Clarita Valley”.

13 (E) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA EN-
14 VIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—Section
15 8319(e)(1) of the Water Resources Development
16 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3785) is amended by
17 striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting
18 “\$100,000,000”.

19 (F) LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.—

20 (i) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—Section
21 219(c)(27) of the Water Resources Develop-
22 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 114 Stat.
23 2763A–219; 121 Stat. 1209) is amended by
24 striking “Wastewater” and inserting
25 “Water and wastewater”.

1 (ii) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-*
2 *TIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION ASSISTANCE.—*
3 *Section 219(e)(15) of the Water Resources*
4 *Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;*
5 *110 Stat. 3757; 121 Stat. 1192) is amended*
6 *by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting*
7 *“\$43,000,000”.*

8 (G) *SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALI-*
9 *FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(101) of the Water Re-*
10 *sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.*
11 *4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1260) is modified*
12 *by striking “\$9,000,000” and inserting*
13 *“\$24,000,000”.*

14 (H) *SOUTH PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
15 *219(f)(52) of the Water Resources Development*
16 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114*
17 *Stat. 2763A–220; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by*
18 *striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting*
19 *“\$100,000,000”.*

20 (I) *PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Sec-*
21 *tion 219(f)(129) of the Water Resources Develop-*
22 *ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334;*
23 *121 Stat. 1261) is amended by striking*
24 *“\$7,500,000” and inserting “\$57,500,000”.*

1 (J) ATLANTA, GEORGIA.—Section 219(e)(5)
2 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
3 (106 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334)
4 is amended by striking “\$75,000,000” and in-
5 serting “\$100,000,000”.

6 (K) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
7 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
9 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended by strik-
10 ing “\$15,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

11 (L) GUAM.—Section 219(f)(323) of the
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (136
13 Stat. 3811) is amended by striking
14 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$35,000,000”.

15 (M) MAUI, HAWAII.—Section 219(f)(328) of
16 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
17 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3811)
18 is modified by striking “\$20,000,000” and in-
19 serting “\$50,000,000”.

20 (N) COOK COUNTY AND LAKE COUNTY, ILLI-
21 NOIS.—Section 219(f)(54) of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
23 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A-221) is amended by
24 striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting
25 “\$149,000,000”.

1 (O) *FOREST PARK, ILLINOIS.*—Section
2 219(f)(330) of the *Water Resources Development*
3 *Act of 1992* (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
4 Stat. 3811) is amended by striking
5 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

6 (P) *MADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES, IL-*
7 *LINOIS.*—Section 219(f)(55) of the *Water Re-*
8 *sources Development Act of 1992* (106 Stat.
9 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat. 2763A–221; 134
10 Stat. 2718; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended—

11 (i) by inserting “(including
12 stormwater)” after “wastewater”; and

13 (ii) by striking “\$100,000,000” and
14 inserting “\$150,000,000”.

15 (Q) *SOUTH CENTRAL ILLINOIS.*—Section
16 219(f)(333) of the *Water Resources Development*
17 *Act of 1992* (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
18 Stat. 3812) is amended—

19 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
20 ing “*MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-*
21 *TIES, ILLINOIS*” and inserting “*SOUTH CEN-*
22 *TRAL ILLINOIS*”; and

23 (ii) by striking “*Montgomery County*
24 and *Christian County*” and inserting
25 “*Montgomery County, Christian County,*

1 *Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper*
2 *County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-*
3 *ty, and Lawrence County”.*

4 *(R) WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section*
5 *219(f)(334) of the Water Resources Development*
6 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
7 *Stat. 3808) is amended by striking*
8 *“\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$36,000,000”.*

9 *(S) BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.—Section*
10 *219(f)(21) of the Water Resources Development*
11 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114*
12 *Stat. 2763A–220; 121 Stat. 1226; 136 Stat.*
13 *3817) is amended by striking “\$90,000,000” and*
14 *inserting “\$100,000,000”.*

15 *(T) EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE*
16 *RIVER BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA.—Section*
17 *5082(i) of the Water Resources Development Act*
18 *of 2007 (121 Stat. 1226) is amended by striking*
19 *“\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”.*

20 *(U) LAFOURCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—Sec-*
21 *tion 219(f)(146) of the Water Resources Develop-*
22 *ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334;*
23 *121 Stat. 1262) is amended by striking*
24 *“\$2,300,000” and inserting “\$7,300,000”.*

1 (V) *SOUTH CENTRAL PLANNING AND DEVEL-*
2 *OPMENT COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—Section*
3 *219(f)(153) of the Water Resources Development*
4 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 121*
5 *Stat. 1262; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended by strik-*
6 *ing “\$12,500,000” and inserting “\$17,500,000”.*

7 (W) *SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOU-*
8 *ISIANA.—Section 5085(i) of the Water Resources*
9 *Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1228) is*
10 *amended by striking “\$17,000,000” and insert-*
11 *ing “\$22,000,000”.*

12 (X) *FITCHBURG, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section*
13 *219(f)(336) of the Water Resources Development*
14 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
15 *Stat. 3812) is amended by striking*
16 *“\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.*

17 (Y) *HAVERHILL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section*
18 *219(f)(337) of the Water Resources Development*
19 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
20 *Stat. 3812) is amended by striking*
21 *“\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.*

22 (Z) *LAWRENCE, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section*
23 *219(f)(338) of the Water Resources Development*
24 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*

1 *Stat. 3812) is amended by striking*
2 *“\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.*

3 *(AA) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section*
4 *219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Development*
5 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
6 *Stat. 3812) is amended by striking*
7 *“\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.*

8 *(BB) METHUEN, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section*
9 *219(f)(340) of the Water Resources Development*
10 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
11 *Stat. 3812) is amended by striking*
12 *“\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.*

13 *(CC) MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Section*
14 *219(f)(345) of the Water Resources Development*
15 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
16 *Stat. 3812) is amended by striking*
17 *“\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”.*

18 *(DD) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of*
19 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1992*
20 *(106 Stat. 4825; 113 Stat. 336; 121 Stat. 1262;*
21 *136 Stat. 3818) is amended—*

22 *(i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-*
23 *ing “MICHIGAN COMBINED SEWER OVER-*
24 *FLOWS” and inserting “MICHIGAN”; and*

1 (ii) in subparagraph (A) by striking
2 “\$85,000,000” and inserting
3 “\$160,000,000”.

4 (EE) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
5 219(f)(163) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
7 Stat. 1263) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”
8 and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

9 (FE) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
10 tion 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336;
12 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 119 Stat. 282; 119 Stat.
13 2257; 122 Stat. 1623; 134 Stat. 2718) is amend-
14 ed by striking “\$130,000,000” and inserting
15 “\$170,000,000”.

16 (GG) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
17 tion 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat. 336;
19 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
20 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$22,000,000”.

21 (HH) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
22 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136
24 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
25 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$26,000,000”.

1 (II) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
2 219(f)(354) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136
4 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
5 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$22,000,000”.

6 (JJ) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Section
7 219(f)(32) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 337; 121
9 Stat. 1233; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by strik-
10 ing “\$70,000,000” and inserting
11 “\$100,000,000”.

12 (KK) CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY.—Section
13 219(f)(357) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136
15 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
16 “\$119,000,000” and inserting “\$143,800,000”.

17 (LL) CENTRAL NEW MEXICO.—Section
18 593(h) of the Water Resources Development Act
19 of 1999 (113 Stat. 380; 119 Stat. 2255; 136 Stat.
20 3820) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000”
21 and inserting “\$150,000,000”.

22 (MM) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—Section
23 219(f)(184) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121

1 *Stat. 1264) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”*
2 *and inserting “\$25,000,000”.*

3 (NN) QUEENS, NEW YORK.—*Section*
4 *219(f)(377) of the Water Resources Development*
5 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136*
6 *Stat. 3814) is amended by striking*
7 *“\$119,200,000” and inserting “\$190,000,000”.*

8 (OO) NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED.—*Sec-*
9 *tion 552(a) of the Water Resources Development*
10 *Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3780; 136 Stat. 3821) is*
11 *amended by adding at the end the following:*

12 “(3) CONSIDERATIONS.—*In carrying out this*
13 *section, the Secretary may consider natural and na-*
14 *ture-based infrastructure.”.*

15 (PP) NORTH CAROLINA.—*Section 5113 of*
16 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2007*
17 *(121 Stat. 1237) is amended in subsection (f) by*
18 *striking “\$13,000,000” and inserting*
19 *“\$50,000,000”.*

20 (QQ) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—*Section*
21 *219(f)(207) of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121*
23 *Stat. 1265) is amended by striking “\$2,500,000*
24 *for Flats East Bank” and inserting*
25 *“\$25,500,000”.*

1 (RR) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—Section
2 219(f)(206) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
4 Stat. 1265) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000”
5 and inserting “\$31,000,000”.

6 (SS) OHIO.—Section 594 of the Water Re-
7 sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 381;
8 119 Stat. 2261; 121 Stat. 1140; 121 Stat. 1944;
9 136 Stat. 3821) is amended in subsection (h) by
10 striking “\$250,000,000” and inserting
11 “\$300,000,000”.

12 (TT) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section
13 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
15 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat 2719) is amended by strik-
16 ing “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

17 (UU) WOODWARD, OKLAHOMA.—Section
18 219(f)(236) of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
20 Stat. 1266) is amended by striking “\$1,500,000”
21 and inserting “\$3,000,000”.

22 (VV) SOUTHWESTERN OREGON.—Section
23 8359 of the Water Resources Development Act of
24 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is amended—

1 (i) in subsection (e)(1), by striking
2 “\$50,000,000” and inserting
3 “\$100,000,000”; and

4 (ii) in subsection (f), by inserting
5 “Lincoln,” after “Lane,”.

6 (WW) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYL-
7 VANIA.—Section 219(f)(239) of the Water Re-
8 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
9 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is amended
10 by striking “\$310,000” and inserting
11 “\$3,000,000”.

12 (XX) NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.—Section
13 219(f)(11) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334) is
15 amended by striking “\$20,000,000 for water re-
16 lated infrastructure” and inserting “\$70,000,000
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure, includ-
18 ing water supply”.

19 (YY) PHOENIXVILLE BOROUGH, CHESTER
20 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Section 219(f)(68) of
21 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
22 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat.
23 2763A–221) is amended by striking “\$2,400,000
24 for water and sewer infrastructure” and insert-
25 ing “\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater in-

1 *frastructure, including stormwater infrastructure*
2 *and water supply”.*

3 *(ZZ) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE,*
4 *SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the*
5 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
6 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A–220;*
7 *117 Stat. 1838; 130 Stat. 1677; 132 Stat. 3818;*
8 *134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat. 3818) is amended by*
9 *striking “\$165,000,000” and inserting*
10 *“\$235,000,000”.*

11 *(AAA) MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CARO-*
12 *LINA.—Section 219(f)(393) of the Water Re-*
13 *sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.*
14 *4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3815) is amended*
15 *by striking “\$7,822,000” and inserting*
16 *“\$20,000,000”.*

17 *(BBB) SMITH COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Sec-*
18 *tion 219(f)(395) of the Water Resources Develop-*
19 *ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334;*
20 *136 Stat. 3815) is amended by striking*
21 *“\$19,500,000” and inserting “\$69,500,000”.*

22 *(CCC) DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS.—*
23 *Section 5140 of the Water Resources Develop-*
24 *ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1251) is amended*

1 *in subsection (i) by striking “\$40,000,000” and*
2 *inserting “\$100,000,000”.*

3 *(DDD) TEXAS.—Section 5138 of the Water*
4 *Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.*
5 *1250; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended in subsection*
6 *(i) by striking “\$80,000,000” and inserting*
7 *“\$200,000,000”.*

8 *(EEE) WESTERN RURAL WATER.—Section*
9 *595 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
10 *1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117 Stat.*
11 *142; 117 Stat. 1836; 118 Stat. 440; 121 Stat.*
12 *1219; 123 Stat. 2851; 128 Stat. 1316; 130 Stat.*
13 *1681; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat. 3822) is amend-*
14 *ed—*

15 *(i) in subsection (c)(1)—*

16 *(I) by inserting by inserting “,*
17 *including natural and nature-based in-*
18 *frastructure” after “water-related envi-*
19 *ronmental infrastructure”;*

20 *(II) in subparagraph (C), by*
21 *striking “and” at the end; and*

22 *(III) by adding at the end the fol-*
23 *lowing:*

24 *“(E) drought resilience measures; and”;* and

25 *(ii) in subsection (i)—*

1 (I) in paragraph (1), by striking
2 “\$800,000,000” and inserting
3 “\$850,000,000”; and

4 (II) in paragraph (2), by striking
5 “\$200,000,000” and inserting
6 “\$250,000,000”.

7 (FFF) MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.—Section
8 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
10 Stat. 3816) is amended by striking “\$4,500,000”
11 and inserting “\$11,000,000”.

12 (3) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION.—Notwith-
13 standing the operation of section 6001(e) of the Water
14 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (as
15 in effect on the day before the date of enactment of
16 the Water Resources Development Act of 2016), any
17 project included on a list published by the Secretary
18 pursuant to such section the authorization for which
19 is amended by this subsection remains authorized to
20 be carried out by the Secretary.

21 **SEC. 341. SPECIFIC DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

22 (a) DEAUTHORIZATION OF DESIGNATED PORTIONS OF
23 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CALI-
24 FORNIA.—

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The portion of the project for*
2 *flood risk management, Los Angeles County Drainage*
3 *Area, California, authorized by section 5 of the Act*
4 *of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 50*
5 *Stat. 167; 52 Stat. 1215; 55 Stat. 647; 64 Stat. 177;*
6 *104 Stat. 4611; 136 Stat. 3785), consisting of the*
7 *flood channels described in paragraph (2), are no*
8 *longer authorized beginning on the date that is 18*
9 *months after the date of enactment of this Act.*

10 (2) *FLOOD CHANNELS DESCRIBED.*—*The flood*
11 *channels referred to in paragraph (1) are the fol-*
12 *lowing flood channels operated and maintained by the*
13 *Los Angeles County Flood Control District, as gen-*
14 *erally defined in Corps of Engineers operations and*
15 *maintenance manuals and as may be further de-*
16 *scribed in an agreement entered into under para-*
17 *graph (3):*

18 (A) *Arcadia Wash Channel (Auburn*
19 *Branch Channel).*

20 (B) *Arcadia Wash Channel (Baldwin Ave.*
21 *Branch Channel).*

22 (C) *Arcadia Wash Channel (East Branch*
23 *Channel).*

24 (D) *Arcadia Wash Channel (Lima St.*
25 *Branch Channel).*

- 1 *(E) Bel Aire Dr./Sunset Canyon Channel.*
- 2 *(F) Big Dalton Wash Channel.*
- 3 *(G) Big Dalton Wash Channel (East*
4 *Branch Inlet Channel).*
- 5 *(H) Blanchard Canyon Channel.*
- 6 *(I) Blue Gum Canyon Channel.*
- 7 *(J) Brand Canyon Channel.*
- 8 *(K) Childs Canyon Channel.*
- 9 *(L) Dead Horse Canyon Channel.*
- 10 *(M) Dunsmuir Canyon Channel.*
- 11 *(N) Eagle Canyon Channel.*
- 12 *(O) Elmwood Canyon Channel.*
- 13 *(P) Emerald Wash Channel.*
- 14 *(Q) Emerald Wash Channel (West Branch).*
- 15 *(R) Hay Canyon Channel.*
- 16 *(S) Higgins and Coldwater Canyon.*
- 17 *(T) Hillcrest Canyon Channel.*
- 18 *(U) La Tuna Canyon Channel.*
- 19 *(V) Little Dalton Diversion Channel.*
- 20 *(W) Little Dalton Wash Channel.*
- 21 *(X) Live Oak Wash Channel.*
- 22 *(Y) Mansfield St. Channel.*
- 23 *(Z) Marshall Creek Channel.*
- 24 *(AA) Marshall Creek Channel (West*
25 *Branch).*

- 1 *(BB) Rexford-Monte Mar Branch.*
- 2 *(CC) Royal Boulevard Channel.*
- 3 *(DD) Rubio Canyon Diversion Channel.*
- 4 *(EE) San Dimas Wash Channel.*
- 5 *(FF) Sawtelle Channel.*
- 6 *(GG) Shields Canyon Channel.*
- 7 *(HH) Sierra Madre Villa Channel.*
- 8 *(II) Sierra Madre Wash.*
- 9 *(JJ) Sierra Madre Wash Inlet.*
- 10 *(KK) Snover Canyon Channel.*
- 11 *(LL) Stough Canyon Channel.*
- 12 *(MM) Thompson Creek Channel.*
- 13 *(NN) Walnut Creek Channel.*
- 14 *(OO) Webber Canyon Channel.*
- 15 *(PP) Westwood Branch Channel.*
- 16 *(QQ) Wilson Canyon Channel.*
- 17 *(RR) Winery Canyon Channel.*

18 (3) *AGREEMENT.*—*Not later than 90 days after*
19 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
20 *seek to enter into an agreement with the Los Angeles*
21 *County Flood Control District to ensure that the Los*
22 *Angeles County Flood Control District—*

23 *(A) will continue to operate, maintain, re-*
24 *pair, rehabilitate, and replace as necessary, the*
25 *flood channels described in paragraph (2)—*

1 (i) *in perpetuity at no cost to the*
2 *United States; and*

3 (ii) *in a manner that does not reduce*
4 *the level of flood protection of the project de-*
5 *scribed in paragraph (1);*

6 (B) *will retain public ownership of all real*
7 *property required for the continued functioning*
8 *of the flood channels described in paragraph (2),*
9 *consistent with authorized purposes of the project*
10 *described in paragraph (1);*

11 (C) *will allow the Corps of Engineers to*
12 *continue to operate, maintain, repair, rehabili-*
13 *tate, and replace any appurtenant structures,*
14 *such as rain and stream gages, existing as of the*
15 *date of enactment of this Act and located within*
16 *the flood channels subject to deauthorization*
17 *under paragraph (1) as necessary to ensure the*
18 *continued functioning of the project described in*
19 *paragraph (1); and*

20 (D) *will hold and save the United States*
21 *harmless from damages due to floods, breach,*
22 *failure, operation, or maintenance of the flood*
23 *channels described in paragraph (2).*

24 (4) *ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary*
25 *may accept and expend funds voluntarily contributed*

1 *by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to*
2 *cover the administrative costs incurred by the Sec-*
3 *retary to—*

4 *(A) enter into an agreement under para-*
5 *graph (3); and*

6 *(B) monitor compliance with such agree-*
7 *ment.*

8 ***(b) THAMES RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—***

9 ***(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-***
10 ***actment of this Act, the 25-foot-deep channel portion***
11 ***of the project for navigation, Thames River, Con-***
12 ***necticut, authorized by the first section of the Act of***
13 ***July 3, 1930 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 918), consisting***
14 ***of the area described in paragraph (2), is no longer***
15 ***authorized.***

16 ***(2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in***
17 ***paragraph (1) is the area—***

18 ***(A) beginning at a point N706550.83,***
19 ***E1179497.53;***

20 ***(B) running southeasterly about 808.28 feet***
21 ***to a point N705766.32, E1179692.10;***

22 ***(C) running southeasterly about 2219.17***
23 ***feet to a point N703725.88, E1180564.64;***

24 ***(D) running southeasterly about 1594.84***
25 ***feet to a point N702349.59, E1181370.46;***

1 (E) running southwesterly about 483.01 feet
2 to a point N701866.63, E1181363.54;

3 (F) running northwesterly about 2023.85
4 feet to a point N703613.13, E1180340.96;

5 (G) running northwesterly about 2001.46
6 feet to a point N705453.40, E1179554.02; and

7 (H) running northwesterly about 1098.89
8 feet to the point described in paragraph (1).

9 (c) SAINT PETERSBURG HARBOR, FLORIDA.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
11 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
12 navigation, Saint Petersburg Harbor, Florida, au-
13 thorized by section 101 the River and Harbor Act of
14 1950 (64 Stat. 165), consisting of the area described
15 in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

16 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
17 paragraph (1) is the portion of the Federal channel
18 located within Bayboro Harbor, at approximately
19 -82.635353 W and 27.760977 N, south of the Range
20 300 line and west of the Station 71+00 line.

21 (d) NORTH BRANCH, CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
23 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
24 navigation North Branch channel, Chicago River, Il-
25 linois, authorized by section 22 of the Act of March

1 3, 1899 (chapter 425, 30 Stat. 1156), consisting of the
2 area described in paragraph (2) is no longer author-
3 ized.

4 (2) *AREA DESCRIBED.*—The area referred to in
5 paragraph (1) is the approximately one-mile long seg-
6 ment of the North Branch Channel on the east side
7 of Goose Island, Chicago River, Illinois.

8 (e) *PAPILLION CREEK WATERSHED, NEBRASKA.*—Be-
9 ginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the project
10 for flood protection and other purposes in the Papillion
11 Creek Basin, Nebraska, authorized by section 203 of the
12 Flood Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 743) is modified to
13 deauthorize the portions of the project known as Dam Site
14 7 and Dam Site 12.

15 (f) *TRUCKEE RIVER, NEVADA.*—Beginning on the date
16 of enactment of this Act, the project for flood risk manage-
17 ment, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by section
18 7002(2) of the Water Resources Reform and Development
19 Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1366), is no longer authorized.

20 (g) *NEWTOWN CREEK FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHAN-*
21 *NEL, NEW YORK.*—

22 (1) *DEFINITION OF NEWTOWN CREEK NAVIGA-*
23 *TION PROJECT.*—In this subsection, the term “New-
24 town Creek navigation project” means the project for
25 the Newtown Creek Federal navigation channel, New

1 *York, described in The Rivers and Harbors Act of*
2 *1919, Ch. 832, 40 Stat. 1275, 1276 (1919), The Riv-*
3 *ers and Harbors Improvement Act of 1930, Ch. 847,*
4 *46 Stat. 918, 920 (1930), and The Rivers and Har-*
5 *bors Improvement Act of 1937, Ch. 832, 50 Stat. 844,*
6 *845 (1937).*

7 *(2) The Newtown Creek navigation project is*
8 *modified to reduce, in part, the authorized dimensions*
9 *of the project, such that the remaining authorized*
10 *depths are as follows:*

11 *(A) A 18-foot deep channel with a center*
12 *line beginning at point North 40.727729 and*
13 *West 73.929142, thence to a point North*
14 *40.722214 and West 73.925874. [Reach EA]*

15 *(B) A 18-foot deep Turning Basin South-*
16 *West of a line formed by points North 40.726202*
17 *and West 73.927289; and North 40.723508 and*
18 *West 73.924713. [Reaches E1A and GA]*

19 *(C) A 16-foot-deep channel with a center*
20 *line beginning at a point North 40.722214 and*
21 *West 73.925874, thence to a point North*
22 *40.718664 and West 73.924176. [Reaches EB*
23 *and H]*

24 *(D) A 16-foot-deep channel with a center*
25 *line beginning at a point North 40.718664 and*

1 *West 73.924176, thence to a point North*
2 *40.717539 and West 73.927438. [Reach JA]*

3 *(E) A 14-foot-deep channel with a center*
4 *line beginning at a point North 40.717539 and*
5 *West 73.927438, thence to a point North*
6 *40.716611 and West 73.929278. [Reach JB]*

7 *(F) A 12-foot-deep channel with a center*
8 *line beginning at a point North 40.716611 and*
9 *West 73.929278, thence to a point North*
10 *40.713156 and West 73.931351. [Reaches JC and*
11 *KA]*

12 (3) *DEAUTHORIZATIONS.—*

13 *(A) IN GENERAL.—The portions of the New-*
14 *town Creek navigation project described in sub-*
15 *paragraphs (B) through (E) are deauthorized.*

16 *(B) PORTION DESCRIBED.—A portion re-*
17 *ferred to in Paragraph (1) is a portion of the*
18 *channel adjacent the Turning Basin, specifically*
19 *the area—*

20 *(i) East of a line formed by points*
21 *North 40.726202 and West 73.927289; and*
22 *North 40.723508 and West 73.924713;*
23 *[Reaches E1B and GB] and*

24 *(ii) Maspeth Creek. [Reach F]*

1 (C) *PORTION DESCRIBED.*—A portion re-
2 ferred to in Paragraph (1) is a portion of the
3 channel in East Branch, specifically the area—

4 (i) *Beginning at a point North*
5 40.718066 and West 73.923931; and

6 (ii) *Extending upstream. [Reach I]*

7 (D) *PORTION DESCRIBED.*—A portion re-
8 ferred to in Paragraph (1) is a portion of the
9 channel in English Kills, specifically the area—

10 (i) *Beginning at a point North*
11 40.713156 and West 73.931351; and

12 (ii) *Extending upstream. [Reach KB]*

13 (E) *PORTION DESCRIBED.*—A portion re-
14 ferred to in Paragraph (1) as Dutch Kills, spe-
15 cifically the area—

16 (i) *Beginning at a point North*
17 40.737623 and West 73.94681; and

18 (ii) *Extending upstream. [Reach L/L1]*

19 (h) *MONROE BAY AND CREEK FEDERAL CHANNEL,*
20 *VIRGINIA.*—

21 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Beginning on the date of en-
22 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
23 navigation, Monroe Bay and Creek, Virginia, author-
24 ized by the first section of the Act of July 3, 1930

1 *(chapter 847, 46 Stat. 922), consisting of the area de-*
2 *scribed in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.*

3 *(2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in*
4 *paragraph (1) is the roughly 300 feet of the length of*
5 *the Federal turning and anchorage basin in the vicin-*
6 *ity of the property located at 829 Robin Grove Ln.,*
7 *Colonial Beach, Virginia, 22443.*

8 *(i) SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—*

9 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-*
10 *actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Seattle*
11 *Harbor, Washington, authorized by the first section of*
12 *the Act of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49 Stat.*
13 *1039), is modified to deauthorize the portion of the*
14 *project within the East Waterway consisting of the*
15 *area described in paragraph (2).*

16 *(2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in*
17 *paragraph (1) is the area—*

18 *(A) beginning at the southwest corner of*
19 *Block 386, Plat of Seattle Tidelands (said corner*
20 *also being a point on the United States pierhead*
21 *line);*

22 *(B) thence north 90°00'00" west along the*
23 *projection of the south line of Block 386, 206.58*
24 *feet to the centerline of the East Waterway;*

1 (C) thence north $14^{\circ}30'00''$ east along the
2 centerline and parallel with the northwesterly
3 line of Block 386, 64.83 feet;

4 (D) thence north $33^{\circ}32'59''$ east, 235.85 feet;

5 (E) thence north $39^{\circ}55'22''$ east, 128.70 feet;

6 (F) thence north $14^{\circ}30'00''$ east parallel
7 with the northwesterly line of Block 386, 280.45
8 feet;

9 (G) thence north $90^{\circ}00'00''$ east, 70.00 feet
10 to the pierhead line and the northwesterly line of
11 Block 386; and

12 (H) thence south $14^{\circ}30'00''$ west, 650.25 feet
13 along said pierhead line and northwesterly line
14 of Block 386 to the point of beginning.

15 (j) *STUDY ON ADDITIONAL DEAUTHORIZATIONS.*—Not
16 later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this sub-
17 section, the Secretary shall submit a report to the Com-
18 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
19 of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
20 Public Works of the Senate on the impacts of deauthoriza-
21 tion of the following projects:

22 (1) The portion of the project for flood protection
23 on the Lower San Joaquin River and tributaries,
24 California, authorized by section 10 of the Act of De-
25 cember 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 901) con-

1 *sisting of the right bank of the San Joaquin River be-*
2 *tween levee miles 0.00 on the left bank of the*
3 *Tuolumne River and levee mile 3.76 on the San Joa-*
4 *quin River, California; and*

5 *(2) The Freeport and Vicinity Coastal Storm*
6 *Risk Management separable element of the project for*
7 *coastal storm risk management and ecosystem res-*
8 *toration, Sabine Pass to Galveston Bay, authorized*
9 *by section 1401 of the Water Resources Development*
10 *Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3838).*

11 **SEC. 342. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF DEFERRED**
12 **PAYMENT AGREEMENT REQUEST.**

13 *Section 103(k) of the Water Resources Development Act*
14 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)) is amended by adding at the*
15 *end the following:*

16 *“(5) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—*

17 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—Upon receipt of a re-*
18 *quest for a renegotiation of terms by a non-Fed-*
19 *eral interest under paragraph (2), the Secretary*
20 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation*
21 *and Infrastructure of the House and the Com-*
22 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the*
23 *Senate a report 30 days after enactment and*
24 *quarterly thereafter regarding the status of the*
25 *request.*

1 “(B) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense*
 2 *of Congress that the Secretary should respond to*
 3 *any request for a renegotiation of terms sub-*
 4 *mitted under paragraph (2) in a timely man-*
 5 *ner.”.*

6 ***TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES***
 7 ***INFRASTRUCTURE***

8 ***SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.***

9 *The following projects for water resources development*
 10 *and conservation and other purposes, as identified in the*
 11 *reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Re-*
 12 *sources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant to*
 13 *section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-*
 14 *ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed*
 15 *by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by the Sec-*
 16 *retary substantially in accordance with the plans, and sub-*
 17 *ject to the conditions, described in the respective reports or*
 18 *decision documents designated in this section:*

19 (1) *NAVIGATION.—*

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. CA | Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening, Oak- land | May 30, 2024 | Federal: \$408,164,600 Non-Federal: \$200,780,400 Total: \$608,945,000 |

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|---|--|---|
| 2. MD | Baltimore Harbor Anchorages and Channels Modification of Seagirt Loop Channel, City of Baltimore, Deep Draft Navigation | June 22, 2023 | Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000 |

1 (2) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
2 DUCTION.—

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. DC, VA | Metropolitan Washington, District of Columbia, Coastal Storm Risk Management | June 17, 2024 | Federal: \$9,899,000 Non-Federal: \$5,330,500 Total: \$15,230,000 |
| 2. FL | St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management | April 18, 2024 | Initial Federal: \$24,591,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$35,533,000 Total: \$60,124,000 Renourishment Federal: \$24,632,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$53,564,000 Renourishment Total: \$78,196,000 |
| 3. NY | South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach, Richmond County, Coastal Storm Risk Management | February 6, 2024 | Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000 |

337

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|---|--|--|
| 4. RI | Rhode Island Coastline, Coastal Storm Risk Manage- ment | September 28, 2023 | Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000 |

1 (3) *FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND HURRICANE*
2 *AND STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—*

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. LA | St. Tammany Parish, Lou- isiana Coastal Storm and Flood Risk Management | May 28, 2024 | Federal: \$3,653,346,450 Non-Federal: \$2,240,881,550 Total: \$5,894,229,000 |

3 (4) *NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM*
4 *DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—*

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. TX | Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Coastal Resil- ience Study, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties | June 2, 2023 | Total: \$314,221,000 |

5 (5) *FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND ECOSYSTEM*
6 *RESTORATION.—*

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|---|--|---|
| 1. MS | Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater - North DeSoto County Feasibility Study, DeSoto County, Flood Risk Management and Ecosystem Restoration | December 18, 2023 | Federal: \$44,295,000 Non-Federal: \$23,851,000 Total: \$68,146,000 |

1 (6) *MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.*—

| A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Decision Document | D. Estimated Costs |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. AZ | Tres Rios, Arizona Ecosystem Restoration Project | May 28, 2024 | Federal: \$215,840,300 Non-Federal: \$116,221,700 Total: \$332,062,000 |
| 2. KS | Manhattan, Kansas Federal Levee System | May 6, 2024 | Federal: \$29,454,750 Non-Federal: \$15,860,250 Total: \$45,315,000 |
| 3. MO | University City Branch, River Des Peres, University City, St. Louis County, Flood Risk Management | February 9, 2024 | Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000 |

2 **SEC. 402. FACILITY INVESTMENT.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to subsection (b), using
4 amounts available in the revolving fund established by the
5 first section of the Civil Functions Appropriations Act,
6 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576) that are not otherwise obligated, the
7 Secretary may—

1 (1) *design and construct the new building for op-*
2 *erations and maintenance in Galveston, Texas, de-*
3 *scribed in the prospectus submitted to the Committee*
4 *on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of*
5 *Representatives and the Committee on Environment*
6 *and Public Works of the Senate on May 22, 2024,*
7 *pursuant to subsection (c) of such Act (33 U.S.C.*
8 *576(c)), substantially in accordance with such pro-*
9 *spectus;*

10 (2) *design and construct the new warehouse fa-*
11 *ility at the Longview Lake Project near Lee's Sum-*
12 *mit, Missouri, described in the prospectus submitted*
13 *to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
14 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-*
15 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-*
16 *ate on May 22, 2024, pursuant to subsection (c) of*
17 *such Act (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in accord-*
18 *ance with such prospectus;*

19 (3) *design and construct the joint facility for the*
20 *resident office for the Corpus Christi Resident Office*
21 *(Construction) and the Corpus Christi Regulatory*
22 *Field Office on existing federally owned property at*
23 *the Naval Air Station, in Corpus Christi, Texas, de-*
24 *scribed in the prospectus submitted to the Committee*
25 *on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of*

1 *Representatives and the Committee on Environment*
2 *and Public Works of the Senate on June 6, 2023, pur-*
3 *suant to subsection (c) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 576(c)),*
4 *substantially in accordance with such prospectus; and*
5 *(4) carry out such construction and infrastruc-*
6 *ture improvements as are required to support such*
7 *building and facilities, including any necessary dem-*
8 *olition of the existing infrastructure.*

9 *(b) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out subsection (a),*
10 *the Secretary shall ensure that the revolving fund estab-*
11 *lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appropria-*
12 *tions Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576) is appropriately reim-*
13 *bursed from funds appropriated for Corps of Engineers pro-*
14 *grams that benefit from the building and facilities con-*
15 *structed under this section.*