



# MINUTES

Meeting of Monday 23 September 2024

Brussels

23 September 2024, 15.00 – 16.00

*Coordinator's meeting (in camera)*

\* \* \*

*The meeting opened on Monday 23 September 2024 at 16:08, with Johan Van Overtveldt (Chair) presiding.*

23 September 2024, 16.00 – 18.30

**1. Adoption of agenda**

**Decision:** The draft agenda was adopted.

**2. Chair's announcements**

The draft decisions of the coordinators' meeting of 5 September 2024 were adopted in the form annexed to these minutes.

The Chair reminded that based on the new rules on Ethics & Transparency Members have to follow some mandatory training sessions organised by DG PRES (on preventing

conflict and harassment in the workplace and on good office management) and that completing the trainings by the due date (16 January 2025) is a pre-requirement to be appointed rapporteur, shadow rapporteur and to participate in official delegations or in interinstitutional negotiations.

**3. Adoption of minutes**

- 23 July 2024 PV – PE763.002v01-00

**Decision:** The minutes were approved.

- 23-24 July 2024 PV – PE763.000v01-00

**Decision:** The minutes were approved.

\* \* \*

\*\*\* *Voting time* \*\*\*

**4. Mobilisation of the European Union Solidarity Fund to provide assistance to Italy, Slovenia, Austria, Greece and France relating to six natural disasters occurred in 2023**

BUDG/10/00854

2024/0212(BUD) COM(2024)0325 – C10-0088/2024

Rapporteur:

Georgios Aftias (PPE)

AM – PE763.100v01-00

Responsible:

BUDG

- Adoption of draft report

**Decision:** The draft report was adopted.: 30 votes to 2, with 1 abstentions

- Deadline for tabling amendments: **9 September 2024, 17.30**

**5. 2024 Budget: Section III - Commission:**

**Rapporteur: Siegfried Mureşan (EPP)**

- DEC 8/2024 - Adopted: 31 votes to 1, with 1 abstention

- No other transfer request received

**6. 2024 Budget: Other Sections**

**Rapporteur: Nils Ušakovs (S&D)**

- EP: C2/2024, C4/2024 - Adopted: 30 votes to 1, with 2 abstentions

- EESC: INF 3/2024, INF 4/2024 - Adopted: 27 votes to 6, with 0 abstentions

- CoR: INF 2/2024 - Adopted: 27 votes to 6, with 0 abstentions

- CoR: establishment plan - Adopted: 28 votes to 1, with 4 abstentions

- No other transfer request received

**Speakers:** Ellen Robson (DG PERS), Margarida Abecasis (DG ITEC), Gilles Guillard (EESC), Reinhold Gnan (CoR), Victor Negrescu

7. **Building policy :**  
**Rapporteur: Olivier Chastel (Renew)**  
**- No building request received**

\*\*\* *End of vote* \*\*\*

\* \* \*

*The meeting adjourned at 16:30 and resumed at 16:31, with Monika Hohlmeier (Vice-Chair) presiding.*

8. **2024 Budget: Section III - Commission - exchange of views:**  
**Rapporteur: Siegfried Mureşan (EPP)**  
**- DEC 10/2024 – Mobilisation of the Emergency Aid Reserve**  
**- No other information received**

**Speakers:** Lenka Filipkova (EC, DG BUDG), Siegfried Mureşan, Victor Negrescu, Rasmus Nordqvist, João Oliveira

9. **Building policy - exchange of views :**  
**Rapporteur: Olivier Chastel (Renew)**  
**- EP: Brussels market prospection**  
**- EC: Brussels B1 - B4 occupancy of buildings of 20,000 m<sup>2</sup>**  
**- No other information received**

**Speakers:** Leena Linnus (DG INLO), Olivier Chastel, Jean-Marc Germain, Lukas Sieper, Marc Becquet (EC, OIB), Monika Hohlmeier

10. **Exchange of views on Institutions' building policy: European Commission**  
BUDG/10/00839
- Exchange of views

**Decision:** Exchange of views

**Speakers:** Marc Becquet (EC, OIB), Olivier Chastel, Jean-Marc Germain

11. **Mobilisation of the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund to support workers made redundant following an application from Belgium — EGF/2024/001**  
**BE/Match-Smatch**  
BUDG/10/00919

2024/0226(BUD) COM(2024)0275 – C10-0101/2024

Rapporteur:

Michalis Hadjipantela (PPE)

PR – PE763.160v01-00

Responsible:

BUDG

Opinions:

EMPL

REGI

- Consideration of draft report

**Decision:** Exchange of views

- Deadline for tabling amendments:**1 October 2024, 12.00**

**Speakers:** Monika Hohlmeier, Jean Marc Germain, Olivier Chastel, Lukas Sieper, João Oliveira, Lenka Filipkova (EC, DG BUDG)

**12. Draft amending budget no 4 to the general budget 2024 - update of revenue (own resources) and adjustments to some decentralised agencies**

BUDG/10/00727

2024/0185(BUD) 13195/2024 – C10-0109/2024

Rapporteur:

Siegfried Mureşan (PPE)

PR – PE763.032v01-00

Responsible:

BUDG

- Consideration of draft report

**Decision:** Exchange of views

- Deadline for tabling amendments:**26 September 2024, 12.00**

**Speakers:** Siegfried Mureşan, Victor Negrescu, Julien Sanchez, Lenka Filipkova (EC, DG BUDG)

-----

**13. Any other business**

**14. Date of next meeting**

7 October 2024 - Extraordinary BUDG meeting (Strasbourg)

14 October 2024 - BUDG meeting

*The meeting closed at 18:22.*

## Results of roll-call votes

### Contents

1. Mobilisation of the European Union Solidarity Fund to provide assistance to Italy, Slovenia, Austria, Greece and France relating to six natural disasters occurred in 2023 - Georgios Aftias (PPE).....	6
1.1. Final vote .....	6
2. Transfers 2024 Budget: Section III – DEC 08/2024 - Siegfried Mureşan (EPP).....	6
2.1. Final vote .....	6
3. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - European Parliament: C 2/2024 and C 4/2024 – Nils Ušakovs (S&D).....	7
3.1. Final vote .....	7
4. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - European Economic and Social Committee INF 3/2024 and INF 4/2024 - Nils Ušakovs (S&D).....	8
4.1. Final vote .....	8
5. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - Committee of the Regions INF 2/2024 – Nils Ušakovs (S&D).....	9
5.1. Final vote .....	9
6. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - Committee of the Regions Art.53 Establishment Plan N° 2/2024 - Nils Ušakovs (S&D).....	9
6.1. Final vote .....	9

#### Key to symbols:

- + : in favour
- : against
- 0 : abstention

1. Mobilisation of the European Union Solidarity Fund to provide assistance to Italy, Slovenia, Austria, Greece and France relating to six natural disasters occurred in 2023 - Georgios Aftias (PPE)

1.1. Final vote

30	+
ECR	Bogdan Rzońca
NI	Thomas Geisel, Lukas Sieper
PPE	Georgios Aftias, Niclas Herbst, Monika Hohlmeier, Siegfried Mureşan, Danuše Nerudová, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski
PfE	Tamás Deutsch, Angéline Furet, Ondřej Kovařík, Julien Sanchez
Renew	Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug, Lucia Yar
S&D	Lina Gálvez, Jens Geier, Jean-Marc Germain, Hannes Heide, Giuseppe Lupo, Victor Negrescu, Carla Tavares
The Left	João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee
Verts/ALE	Rasmus Andresen, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff

2	-
ECR	Dick Erixon
ESN	Alexander Jungbluth

1	0
PfE	Auke Zijlstra

Corrections to votes and voting intentions	
+	Alexander Jungbluth
-	
0	

2. Transfers 2024 Budget: Section III – DEC 08/2024 - Siegfried Mureşan (EPP)

2.1. Final vote

31	+
----	---

ECR	Bogdan Rzońca
ESN	Alexander Jungbluth
NI	Thomas Geisel, Lukas Sieper
PPE	Georgios Aftias, Niclas Herbst, Monika Hohlmeier, Siegfried Mureşan, Danuše Nerudová, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski
PfE	Tamás Deutsch, Angéline Furet, Ondřej Kovařík, Julien Sanchez
Renew	Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug, Lucia Yar
S&D	Lina Gálvez, Jens Geier, Jean-Marc Germain, Hannes Heide, Giuseppe Lupo, Victor Negrescu, Carla Tavares
The Left	João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee
Verts/ALE	Rasmus Andresen, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff

<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>
ECR	Dick Erixon

<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
PfE	Auke Zijlstra

### 3. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - European Parliament: C 2/2024 and C 4/2024 – Nils Ušakovs (S&D)

#### 3.1. Final vote

<b>30</b>	<b>+</b>
ECR	Bogdan Rzońca
NI	Thomas Geisel, Lukas Sieper
PPE	Georgios Aftias, Niclas Herbst, Monika Hohlmeier, Siegfried Mureşan, Danuše Nerudová, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski
PfE	Tamás Deutsch, Angéline Furet, Ondřej Kovařík, Julien Sanchez
Renew	Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug, Lucia Yar
S&D	Lina Gálvez, Jens Geier, Jean-Marc Germain, Hannes Heide, Giuseppe Lupo, Victor Negrescu, Carla Tavares
The Left	João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee
Verts/ALE	Rasmus Andresen, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff

<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>
----------	----------

ECR	Dick Erixon
-----	-------------

<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
ESN	Alexander Jungbluth
PfE	Auke Zijlstra

	<b>Corrections to votes and voting intentions</b>
<b>+</b>	Alexander Jungbluth
<b>-</b>	
<b>0</b>	

#### 4. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - European Economic and Social Committee INF 3/2024 and INF 4/2024 - Nils Ušakovs (S&D)

##### 4.1. Final vote

<b>27</b>	<b>+</b>
ECR	Bogdan Rzońca
NI	Thomas Geisel, Lukas Sieper
PPE	Georgios Aftias, Niclas Herbst, Monika Hohlmeier, Siegfried Mureşan, Danuše Nerudová, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski
PfE	Tamás Deutsch
Renew	Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug, Lucia Yar
S&D	Lina Gálvez, Jens Geier, Jean-Marc Germain, Hannes Heide, Giuseppe Lupo, Victor Negrescu, Carla Tavares
The Left	João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee
Verts/ALE	Rasmus Andresen, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff

<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>
ECR	Dick Erixon
ESN	Alexander Jungbluth
PfE	Angéline Furet, Ondřej Kovařík, Julien Sanchez, Auke Zijlstra

<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
----------	----------



--	--

## 5. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - Committee of the Regions INF 2/2024 – Nils Ušakovs (S&D)

### 5.1. Final vote

<b>27</b>	<b>+</b>
ECR	Bogdan Rzońca
NI	Thomas Geisel, Lukas Sieper
PPE	Georgios Aftias, Niclas Herbst, Monika Hohlmeier, Siegfried Mureşan, Danuše Nerudová, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski
PfE	Tamás Deutsch
Renew	Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug, Lucia Yar
S&D	Lina Gálvez, Jens Geier, Jean-Marc Germain, Hannes Heide, Giuseppe Lupo, Victor Negrescu, Carla Tavares
The Left	João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee
Verts/ALE	Rasmus Andresen, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff

<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>
ECR	Dick Erixon
ESN	Alexander Jungbluth
PfE	Angéline Furet, Ondřej Kovařík, Julien Sanchez, Auke Zijlstra

<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

## 6. Transfers Other Sections 2024 - Committee of the Regions Art.53 Establishment Plan N° 2/2024 - Nils Ušakovs (S&D)

### 6.1. Final vote

<b>28</b>	<b>+</b>
ECR	Bogdan Rzońca
NI	Thomas Geisel, Lukas Sieper
PPE	Georgios Aftias, Niclas Herbst, Monika Hohlmeier, Siegfried Mureşan, Danuše Nerudová, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski

PfE	Tamás Deutsch, Auke Zijlstra
Renew	Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug, Lucia Yar
S&D	Lina Gálvez, Jens Geier, Jean-Marc Germain, Hannes Heide, Giuseppe Lupo, Victor Negrescu, Carla Tavares
The Left	João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee
Verts/ALE	Rasmus Andresen, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff

<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>
ECR	Dick Erixon

<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
ESN	Alexander Jungbluth
PfE	Angéline Furet, Ondřej Kovařík, Julien Sanchez

**ПРИСЪСТВЕН ЛИСТ/LISTA DE ASISTENCIA/PREZENČNÍ LISTINA/DELTAGERLISTE/  
ANWESENHEITSLISTE/KOHALOLIJATE NIMEKIRI/KATAΣΤΑΣΗ ΠΑΡΟΝΤΩΝ/RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/  
LISTE DE PRÉSENCE/POPIS NAZOČNIH/ELENCO DI PRESENZA/APMEKLĒJUMU REĢISTRŠ/DALYVIŲ SAŖAŠAS/  
JELENĹĹTI İV/REĢİSTRU TA' ATTENDENZA/PRESENTIELIJST/LISTA OBECNOŠCI/LISTA DE PRESENÇAS/  
LISTĂ DE PREZENȚĂ/PREZENČNĂ LISTINA/SEZNAM NAVZOČIH/LĂSNĂOLOLISTA/NĂRVAROLISTA**

Бюро/Mesa/Předsednictvo/Formandskabet/Vorstand/Juhatus/Προεδρείο/Bureau/Predsjedništvo/Ufficio di presidenza/Prezidijs/ Biuras/Elnökség/Prezidium/Birou/Predsednictvo/Predsedstvo/Puheenjohtajisto/Presidiet (*)
Johan Van Overtveldt (P), Monika Hohlmeier (1 <sup>st</sup> VP), Giuseppe Lupo (2 <sup>nd</sup> VP), Lucia Yar (4 <sup>th</sup> VP)
Членове/Diputados/Poslanci/Medlemmer/Mitglieder/Parlamendiliikmed/Βουλευτές/Members/Députés/Zastupnici/Deputati/Deputāti/ Nariai/Képviselek/Membri/Leden/Posłowie/Deputados/Deputați/Jäsenet/Ledamöter
Georgios Aftias, Rasmus Andresen, Olivier Chastel, Tamás Deutsch, Angéline Furet, Jens Geier, Thomas Geisel, Jean-Marc Germain, Alexander Jungbluth, Ondřej Kovařík, Siegfried Mureșan, Victor Negrescu, Danuše Nerudová, João Oliveira, Younous Omarjee, Bogdan Rzońca, Julien Sanchez, Lukas Sieper, Carla Tavares, Auke Zijlstra
Заместници/Suplentes/Náhradníci/Stedfortrædere/Stellvertreter/Asendusliikmed/Αναπληρωτές/Substitutes/Suppléants/Zamjenici/ Supplenti/Aizstājēji/Pavaduojantysnariai/Pötagok/Sostituti/Plaatsvervangers/Zastępcy/Membros suplentes/Supleanți/Náhradníci/ Namestniki/Varajäsenet/Suppleanter
Dick Erixon, Niclas Herbst, Moritz Körner, Rasmus Nordqvist, Kai Tegethoff, Anouk Van Brug

216 (7)
Lina Gálvez, Geadis Geadí, Hannes Heide, Ana Miguel Pedro, Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski
222 (3)
57 (8) (Точка от дневния ред/Punto del orden del día/Bod pořadu jednání (OJ)/Punkt på dagsordenen/Tagesordnungspunkt/ Päevakorra punkt/Σημείο της ημερήσιας διάταξης/Agenda item/Point OJ/Τοčka dnevnoĝ reda/Punto all'ordine del giorno/Darba kārtības punkts/Darbotvarkēs punktas/Napirendi pont/Punt Agenda/Agendapunt/Punkt porządku dziennego/Ponto OD/Punct de pe ordinea de zi/Bod programu schůdze/Τοčka UL/Esityslistan kohta/Punkt på föredragningslistan)

По покана на председателя/Por invitación del presidente/Na pozvání předsedy/Efter indbydelse fra formanden/Auf Einladung des Vorsitzenden/Esimehe kutsel/Με πρόσκληση του Προέδρου/At the invitation of the Chair/Sur l'invitation du président/ Na poziv predsjednika/Su invito del presidente/Pēc priekšsēdētāja uzaicinājuma/Pirmininkui pakvietus/Az elnök meghívására/ Fuq stedina tač-'Chairman'/Op uitnodiging van de voorzitter/Na zaproszenie Przewodniczącego/A convite do Presidente/La invitația președintelui/ Na pozvanie predsedu/Na povabilo predsednika/Puheenjohtajan kutsusta/På ordförandens inbjudan

Съвет/Consejo/Rada/Rådet/Rat/Nðukogu/Συμβούλιο/Council/Conseil/Vijeće/Consiglio/Padome/Taryba/Tanács/Kunsill/Raad/ Conselho/Consiliu/Svet/Neuvosto/Rådet (\*)

Комисия/Comisión/Komise/Kommissionen/Kommission/Komisjon/Επιτροπή/Commission/Komisija/Commissione/Bizottság/ Kummissjoni/Commissie/Komisja/Comissão/Comisie/Komisia/Komissio/Kommissionen (\*)

Marc Becquet (OIB), Lenka Filipkova (DG BUDG)

Други институции и органи/Otras instituciones y organismos/Ostatní orgány a instituce/Andre institutioner og organer/Andere Organe und Einrichtungen/Muud institutsioonid ja organid/Λοιπά θεσμικά όργανα και οργανισμοί/Other institutions and bodies/Autres institutions et organes/Druge institucije i tijela/Altre istituzioni e altri organi/Citas iestādes un struktūras/Kitos institucijos ir įstaigos/ Más intézmények és szervek/Istituzjonijiet u korpi ohra/Andere instellingen en organen/Inne instytucje i organy/Outras instituições e outros órgãos/Alte instituții și organe/Iné inštitúcie a orgány/Muut toimielimet ja elimet/Andra institutioner och organ

EESC

G. Guillard

CoR

R. Gnan

Секретариат на политическите групи/Secretaría de los Grupos políticos/Sekretariát politických skupin/Gruppenes sekretariat/Sekretariat der Fraktionen/Fraktsioonide sekretariaat/Γραμματεία των Πολιτικών Ομάδων/Secretariats of political groups/Secrétariat des groupes politiques/Tajništva klubova zastupnika/Segreteria gruppi politici/Politisko grupu sekretariāts/Frakciju sekretoriai/Képviseletcsoportok titkársága/Sekretarjat gruppi politiçi/Fractiesecretariaten/Sekretariat Grup Politycznych/Secretariado dos grupos políticos/Secretariate grupuri politice/Sekretariát politických skupin/Sekretariat političnih skupin/Poliittisten ryhmien sihteeristö/De politiska grupernas sekretariat	
PPE	B. Descamps, K. Devavry, E. Kiiski
S&D	C. Avani, N. Turčinov
PfE	J. De Veron De La Combe
ECR	E. Frolova, J. Van Brussel, K. Wrzesinska
Renew	K. Burlafinger, E. De Troij, E. Kropaite
Verts/ALE	Z. Herman, F. Nejedly
The Left	A. Bazantay
ESN	K. Strus
NI	C. Salagnac

Кабинет на председателя/Gabinete del Presidente/Kancelář předsedy/Formandens Kabinet/Kabinet des Präsidenten/Presidendi kantseleri/Γραφείο του Προέδρου/President's Office/Cabinet du Président/Ured predsednika/Gabinetto del Presidente/Priekšsēdētāja kabinets/Pirmininko kabinetas/Elnöki hivatal/Kabinett tal-President/Kabinet van de Voorzitter/Gabinet Przewodniczącego/Gabinete do Presidente/Cabinet Președinte/Kancelária predsedu/Urad predsednika/Puhemiehen kabinetti/Talmannens kansli	
A. Gieseemann	
Кабинет на генералния секретар/Gabinete del Secretario General/Kancelář generálního tajemníka/Generalsekretærens Kabinet/Kabinett des Generalsekretärs/Peasekretäri büroo/Γραφείο του Γενικού Γραμματέα/Secretary-General's Office/Cabinet du secrétaire général/Ured glavnog tajnika/Gabinetto del Segretario generale/Generalsekretära kabinets/Generalinio sekretoriaus kabinetas/Főtitkári hivatal/Kabinett tas-Segretarju Ġenerali/Kabinet van de secretaris-generaal/Gabinet Sekretarza Generalnego/Gabinete do Secretário-Geral/Cabinet Secretar General/Kancelária generálneho tajomníka/Urad generalnega sekretarja/Pääsihteerin kabinetti/Generalsekreterarens kansli	
Генерална дирекция/Dirección General/Generální ředitelství/Generaldirektorat/Generaldirektion/Peadirektoraat/Γενική Διεύθυνση/Directorate-General/Direction générale/Glavna uprava/Direzione generale/Generāldirektorāts/Generalinis direktoratas/Főigazgatóság/Direktorat Ġenerali/Direktoraten-generaal/Dyrekcja Generalna/Direcção-Geral/Direcții Generale/Generálne riaditeľstvo/Generalni direktorat/Pääosasto/Generaldirektorat	
DG PRES	
DG IPOL	M. Strasser, E. Daffarra
DG EXPO	
DG EPRS	
DG COMM	
DG PART	
DG PERS	E. Robson
DG INLO	L. Linnus
DG TRAD	
DG LINC	
DG FINS	
DG ITEC	M. Abecasis
DG SAFE	
Legal Service	R. Crowe

- \* (P) = Председател/Presidente/Předseda/Formand/Vorsitzender/Esimees/Πρόεδρος/Chair/Président/Predsjednik/Priekšsēdētājs/  
Pirmininkas/Elnök/Chairman/Voorzitter/Przewodniczący/Preşedinte/Predseda/Predsednik/Puheenjohtaja/Ordförande
- (VP) = Заместник-председател/Vicepresidente/Místopředseda/Næstformand/Stellvertretender Vorsitzender/Aseesimees/Αντιπρόεδρος/  
Vice-Chair/Potpredsjednik/Vice-Président/Potpredsjednik/Priekšsēdētāja vietnieks/Pirmininko pavaduotojas/Alelnök/ Viči  
'Chairman'/Ondervoorzitter/Wiceprzewodniczący/Vice-Presidente/Vicepreşedinte/Podpredseda/Podpredsednik/  
Varapuheenjohtaja/Vice ordförande
- (M) = Член/Miembro/Člen/Medlem/Mitglied/Parlamendiliige/Βουλευτής/Member/Membre/Član/Membro/Deputāts/Narys/Képviselő/  
Membri/Lid/Członek/Membro/Membri/Člen/Poslanec/Jäsen/Ledamot
- (F) = Длъжностно лице/Funcionario/Úředník/Tjenestemand/Beamter/Ametnik/Υπάλληλος/Official/Fonctionnaire/Dužnosnik/  
Funzionario/Ierēdnis/Pareigūnas/Tisztviselő/Ufficial/Ambtenaar/UrządNIK/Funcionário/Funcionar/Úradník/Úradnik/Virkamies/  
Tjänsteman

## BUDG COORDINATORS' DECISIONS

---

Thursday, 5 September 2024

---

09:00 - 11:30

SPAAK 4B1 (Brussels)

### Present

*Chair:* Mr Johan VAN OVERTVELDT (Chair)  
Mr Giuseppe LUPO (2nd Vice-Chair)

*Coordinators:* Mr Karlo RESSLER (EPP)  
Mr Jean-Marc GERMAIN (S&D)  
Mr Bogdan RZOŃCA (ECR)  
Ms Lucia YAR (Renew)  
Mr Rasmus ANDRESEN (Greens/EFA)

*Vice-coordinator:* Ms Isabel BENJUMEA BENJUMEA (EPP)

## 1. CHAIR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

### Ukraine Facility scrutiny

The Chair informed the coordinators about the organisation of several meetings on the scrutiny of the Ukraine Facility.

### Gender equality week 2024

The European Parliament will organise a gender equality week on week 45 (4-8 November) during which committees are invited to organise events relating to gender equality in their respective fields of responsibility. An exchange of views will be organised in BUDG on gender mainstreaming in the EU budget.



## 2. POINTS FOR DECISION

### 2.1. General working methods of the coordinators' meetings

Coordinators endorsed the general working methods of the coordinators' meetings as follows:

- the Chair, in cooperation with the secretariat, establishes a draft agenda for the coordinators' meetings, which is sent to political groups as soon as possible in advance of the meeting;
- political groups may suggest additions to the draft agenda to the Chair via the secretariat; where appropriate, a preparatory meeting with political group advisors may be convened ahead of the meeting;
- coordinators' meetings are held *in camera*; participation shall be limited to the following:
  - Chair;
  - Vice-Chairs (consultative role);
  - Coordinators or another member exceptionally replacing them should the coordinator not participate in the meeting<sup>1</sup>;
  - Members or representatives from Parliament or other institutions if invited by the Chair for specific points within their responsibility (e.g. the budget rapporteurs for discussions on the budgetary procedure);
  - political group advisors that follow the activities of the committee, plus a representative of the secretariat of the non-attached Members;
  - relevant Parliament officials (e.g. committee secretariat staff, representatives of the Legal Service and of the relevant policy department, press officer, and representatives of the Private Office of the President);
  - one assistant as observer for each of the members invited.
- the secretariat sends the draft decisions to the coordinators, on behalf of the Chair, as soon as possible after the meeting, setting a deadline for endorsement. During the following committee meeting, the coordinators' draft decisions are made public and announced by the Chair.
- coordinators' decisions on the committee working methods are compiled in a single document accessible electronically to members and political group advisors.

In addition, as regards coordinators' and committee meetings:

- in line with the EP "paperless" strategy, committee and coordinators' meetings will be held without printed documents. Members are invited to consult the relevant meeting documentation electronically (distribution and consultation of confidential documents may represent an exception to the general rule);

---

<sup>1</sup> Any replacement is to be notified to the Secretariat ahead of the meeting. Advisors and assistants are not allowed to speak on behalf of the Members.

- where a committee meeting is held *in camera*, the above rules on participation shall apply with the participation extended to all BUDG full members and permanent substitutes; if confidential information is treated at the meeting, the corresponding rules shall apply.

## 2.2. Appointments

<b>Budgetary Assessment (Rule 58)</b>			
2024/0038(NLE) COM(2024)0067	Participation of Canada in Union programmes (Council's request for consent)	<b>No budgetary assessment</b> <i>Limited budgetary impact</i>	Lead: AFET/ITRE
<b>Opinions</b>			
2024/2027(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - European Ombudsman	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2026(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - Committee of the Regions	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2025(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - European Economic and Social Committee	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2023(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - Court of Auditors	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2022(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - Court of Justice of the European Union	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2021(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - European Council and Council	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2020(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - European Parliament	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
2024/2019(DEC)	2023 discharge: General budget of the EU - Commission	<b>No opinion</b> <i>No budgetary impact, and in line with past practice</i>	Lead: CONT
<b>Information</b>			
2024/0185(BUD)	Draft amending budget no 4 to the general budget 2024 -	<b>Report</b> Siegfried Mureşan	Lead: BUDG

	update of revenue (own resources) and adjustments to some decentralised agencies	(EPP) (0 points)	
2024/0212 (BUD) COM(2024)325	Mobilisation of the European Union Solidarity Fund to provide assistance to Italy, Slovenia, Austria, Greece and France relating to six natural disasters occurred in 2023	<b>Report</b> Georgios Aftias (EPP) Rotation system (0 points)	Lead: BUDG
2024/0133(NLE) COM(2024)0236	Conclusion, on behalf of the Union, of the Protocol (2024-2029) implementing the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Cabo Verde	<b>Budgetary assessment (rule 58)</b> (EPP) Rotation system (0 points)	Lead: PECH

### 2.3. Hearings of the Commissioners-designate

Coordinators mandated the Secretariat to prepare a first draft of the questions for the Commissioner-designate for budget, and for those other confirmation hearings where BUDG may be invited to participate, on the basis of the key issues identified in the coordinators' discussion.

### 2.4. Own-initiative report on the MFF post-2027

As set out under Article 21 of the Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021 to 2027, 'before 1 July 2025, the Commission shall present a proposal for a new multiannual financial framework'.

In line with past practice and in order for Parliament to be able to shape the debate, coordinators decided to request authorisation to draw up an own-initiative report on the MFF post-2027. On 23 July Coordinators decided to appoint standing co-rapporteurs for this file. Siegfried Mureşan will be rapporteur for the EPP, while S&D will communicate the name of their rapporteur in due time.

### 2.5. Networks

#### Discharge network

Coordinators decided that the general rapporteur for the annual budget of the relevant discharge year shall be appointed as BUDG representative to the Discharge network (i.e. Fabienne Keller for the 2023 discharge procedure). Should matters pertaining to Other Sections be on the agenda of the Discharge network, the BUDG representative would consult with the Other Sections rapporteur for the year in question.

#### Gender mainstreaming network

Lucia Yar (Renew) was appointed as BUDG representative in the gender mainstreaming network, along with a Greens/EFA member (name still to be communicated). The repartition of work between Renew and Greens/EFA representatives will be communicated later.  
The inter-committee network on the implementation of the United Nations Convention on the

## Rights of Persons with Disabilities

The Chair informed the coordinators that they should nominate a representative to the CRPD network at the next coordinators' meeting.

### **2.6. Decisions on procedures to be applied in BUDG**

Coordinators endorsed the decisions on procedures to be applied in BUDG as follows:

#### **A. Under new Rules of Procedures (RoP)**

##### ***- Budgetary assessments (Rule 58) - working methods in BUDG***

##### Budgetary assessment versus opinions

Under the previous Rules, where BUDG wished to contribute its position on the financing implications of a proposal, it did so in the form of a regular opinion. BUDG may still decide to draw up opinions under Rule 57 but may only do so to articulate a position on those aspects not covered in a budgetary assessment and where it has substantial competence over the proposal. In other words, budgetary assessments are expected, to a large extent, to replace opinions in BUDG.

In the event that BUDG decides to draw up a budgetary assessment and an opinion on the same proposal, the rapporteur shall be the same for both and pay points only for the budgetary assessment part.

##### Budgetary assessment versus reports

As Rule 58 makes clear, BUDG can continue to act as a legislative committee, being either solely or jointly responsible for a report. It is not yet clear whether there would be a separate referral to BUDG as lead (or joint lead) committee for the legislation and to BUDG for the budgetary assessment. In the event that there are two separate referrals, the rapporteur shall be the same for both and pay points only for the report part (the higher cost of the two).

##### Procedure for handling budgetary assessments

As with reports and opinions, decisions on whether or not to produce a budgetary assessment will be taken by coordinators on the basis of a proposal from the secretariat within two weeks of the referral. If necessary, this will be done via written procedure.

If BUDG coordinators decide not to draw up an assessment, the Chair will inform the lead committee, providing a brief explanation of the rationale behind the decision. The letter will also inform the lead committee that a) it may still request an assessment and b) it may still request assistance later in the process.

If coordinators decide that a budgetary assessment should be drawn up, they will also have to decide on the scope of that assessment based on the secretariat's proposal within the remit of rule 58(3)<sup>2</sup>. On the basis of that decision, the rapporteur in BUDG will convene a meeting with the rapporteur in the lead committee to confirm the scope of the assessment, after which

---

<sup>2</sup> Rule 58(3): *In the budgetary assessment, the BUDG committee shall examine whether the proposal for a legally binding act provides for sufficient financial and human resources, and evaluate the potential impact of the financing proposed on other Union programmes or policies. It shall also determine whether the proposal is compatible with the multiannual financial framework, the system of own resources and the corresponding interinstitutional agreement, as well as the budgetary principles laid down in Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council (the "Financial Regulation"). Where applicable, the BUDG committee shall also determine whether the proposal is compatible with Parliament's position on any proposal to amend or replace that framework, that system, that agreement or those principles.*

coordinators will finalise the decision and mandate the BUDG Chair to formally inform the lead committee.

The BUDG Chair will then inform the Chair of the lead committee which parts of the proposal will be covered by the assessment and, therefore, which parts are to be bracketed by the lead committee (i.e. may not be amended). The agreed scope of the assessment will provide a framework for both the lead committee and BUDG. Therefore, the assessment and any amendments to it are constrained by this framework. The BUDG Chair will declare inadmissible any amendments to other parts of the text.

#### *Appointment and role of rapporteur*

As detailed above, the rapporteur will be involved and ensure close cooperation with the lead committee throughout the legislative process. Appointment as rapporteur therefore entails a commitment to represent BUDG at meetings prior to the adoption of the final report in the lead committee and to represent the Parliament with respect to the content of the budgetary assessment at interinstitutional meetings. The rapporteur may be asked or may propose to report back on his/her file at committee or coordinators' meetings.

#### – *Procedure for handling Rule 47 opinions in BUDG*

The secretariat will monitor decisions by other committees to draw up legislative initiative reports under Rule 47 and inform the coordinators whether a proposal has potential financial implications. Where a proposal has potential financial implications, the coordinators will decide, based on a proposal of the secretariat, whether to draw up an opinion under Rule 47. The decision will be communicated to the lead committee by the Chair. The lead committee is nevertheless entitled to request such an opinion and coordinators will be duly informed of any such request.

The arrangements for allocating opinions under Rule 47 were agreed in the coordinators' meeting of 23 July.

#### – *Budgetary scrutiny procedure under Article 122 TFEU (Rule 138)*

For a proposal under Article 122, the secretariat will assess whether it has potential appreciable implications for the budget. Where it does, the Coordinators will be invited to decide on whether to request application of the budgetary scrutiny procedure, which is to be done through Parliament's President. Should the budgetary scrutiny procedure be initiated, groups will be invited to appoint one member each to Parliament's delegation.

### **B. Other working methods**

#### – *Opinions in the form of a letter*

When the committee gives an opinion in the form of a letter:

- the Chair shall be in principle responsible for the opinion (but may delegate the responsibility, e.g. if there is a standing rapporteur on the topic, or appoint a Vice-chair or another member to be responsible for the opinion, in particular where follow-up over time is required);
- a first draft of the letter shall be circulated to the coordinators with a deadline for comments;
- the political groups, with the assistance of the secretariat, shall make every effort to integrate the comments received into a final version of the letter that has the potential support of a clear majority in BUDG;
- should one political group insist on requesting a change to the letter that is not supported by a majority, after all options for compromise have been explored, that change shall be considered an amendment to the letter and shall be put to the vote;

The opinion in the form of a letter shall be put to the vote in BUDG; the final vote on the

adoption of the letter will be preceded by any votes on amendments tabled as described above.

– ***Delegated and implementing acts and RPS measures***

*Scrutiny during the preparatory phase (all types of acts)*

- The secretariat is in contact with relevant Commission services to have an overview of the planning of expert meetings for delegated acts and comitology committee meetings for implementing acts and RPS measures;
- Secretariat staff may, when feasible and as observers, attend expert meetings for delegated acts, in which case they will provide a summary of the discussions to members concerned (e.g. the relevant negotiating team) and the political groups. Participation in these expert meetings should in no way be interpreted as a consultation of Parliament. Discussions during expert meetings should not in any way prejudice Parliament's position on the draft delegated act;
- Where necessary, the Commission can be invited to Parliament during the preparatory phase of a delegated or implementing act or an RPS measure. That could take place in the appropriate form (at a meeting of the committee or the coordinators or at technical level).

*Scrutiny in committee*

For delegated acts and RPS measures, the procedure for objections shall be as follows:

- An internal deadline for objections will be notified to BUDG members per email, usually two weeks but it could be less in case of urgency;
- Any objections raised by BUDG Members should be notified to the coordinators;
- A meeting with the Commission could be organised before any further procedural steps;
- Where the coordinators so decide, an objection can be the subject of a motion for a resolution;
- In the event of a motion for resolution, the Member who objects usually drafts the resolution. The draft motion for resolution shall be drawn up in English only to the time constraints for objections. No amendments may be submitted to the motion for resolution, with the exception of (compromise) amendments authorised by the Chair in exceptional cases. Split/separate votes are permitted and have to be requested at the latest the day before the vote.
- The Chair can notify the Council and the Commission of an extension of the deadline for raising objections to a delegated act at the behest of the coordinators.

For draft implementing acts, an internal deadline for objections will be notified to committee Members per email, usually two weeks but it could be less in case of urgency, as from when the draft implementing act is sent to Parliament for the first time. Coordinators could also consider setting a new deadline where the Commission has changed the draft act following an objection in committee or Parliament or where the Commission has substantially changed a draft act following discussions in the comitology committee. The procedure for the motion for a resolution could be based on the objection procedure for delegated acts above.

Moreover, Parliament can revoke the delegation of power provided for in the basic act. In

that case, coordinators could apply the procedure set out above.

### Early non-objection

A committee can issue a recommendation to Parliament not to object to a delegated act or an RPS measure prior to the deadline laid down in the basic act. This can be based upon a duly substantiated request from the Commission. Coordinators may decide to recommend an early non-objection (in case of urgency by written procedure).

#### – *Oral questions (with motion of resolutions)*

Oral questions are to be put on the committee agenda and approved via a vote in committee. By default, the Chair will present the oral question in plenary, except if coordinators decide otherwise. For motions for resolutions, BUDG will open a deadline for amendments, except when the urgency of the file does not allow it. The Chair would be appointed rapporteur at no cost in points, except if coordinators decide otherwise.

#### – *Petitions*

In case the Committee on Petitions (PETI) forwards a petition to BUDG, the point is put to the next coordinators' meeting for decision or for information depending on the case. In case PETI asks for an opinion from BUDG, a draft answer to be sent by the Chair to PETI is proposed to the coordinators for approval.

#### – *Amendments tabled to plenary*

Should amendments not be adopted in committee and where the committee has the option of re-tabling the amendments to plenary, it is proposed that:

- the rapporteur makes an initial selection, supported by the secretariat and where necessary in consultation of the political groups;
- coordinators can decide to add (or subtract) amendments.

## **2.7. Appointment of a BUDG representative to the Board of the Voluntary Pension Fund for MEPs**

Coordinators decided not to designate a BUDG Member to the Board of the Voluntary Pension Fund for MEPs. The Chair will inform the EP President accordingly.

## **2.8. Public hearings**

Coordinators decided that, as a general rule, BUDG hearings are to be organised during the first part of each year. Coordinators supported a more flexible approach when it comes to the planning of hearings: as a general rule, two hearings shall be decided by the end of the preceding year and another hearing shall be decided later to take into account any new developments. Coordinators endorsed the following arrangements to facilitate the running of the hearings and the quality of the follow-up:

- coordinators decide on the title and subject matter. Hearings could be linked to studies or briefing papers by the Policy Department;
- coordinators decide on the structure, a limited number of speakers and a possible date. Speakers can be suggested by the secretariat or political groups, seeking gender balance amongst the speakers.
- coordinators may nominate a Member responsible for the event, where the hearing is not linked to present or future legislative work.

- in principle, hearings are structured as follows:
  - upon introduction by the Chair, the guest is invited to make an opening oral statement;
  - committee members then ask questions in accordance with the ‘ping-pong’ principle, with the answer immediately following each question, and with the possibility for the questioning Member to ask follow-up questions, keeping within the overall time allotted;
  - a first round of questions is asked by the relevant rapporteur or Member responsible for the hearing, and by one representative for each (other) political group (coordinator, shadow rapporteur or another designated Member) and for non-attached Members;
  - additional questions may be asked by Members following a “catch-the-eye” procedure, taking into account the size of the groups. Speaking time shall be foreseen for non-attached Members also.
- Follow-up questions may be sent by the secretariat to the guest, as appropriate.

Political groups are invited to ensure adequate attendance of members to the hearings.

## **2.9. Scrutiny**

### Cooperation with the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs (ECON)

#### – RRF Working Group

Coordinators decided to continue the RRF WG in the new term and to organise a joint BUDG/ECON Coordinators’ meeting to discuss the modalities of the RRF WG in the 10th term. In view of this meeting, coordinators mandated the secretariat - in cooperation with ECON - to prepare a document with proposals/options for a new mandate by the Conference of Presidents, to be discussed in September, ahead of the formal request for renewal by letter to the President.

### Enhancing BUDG scrutiny of adopted files

#### – Appointment of members responsible for scrutiny for implementation of specific files

Coordinators agreed on 23 July that, in addition to the standing rapporteurship (S&D and RE) on the scrutiny of the rule of law conditionality, members shall be appointed for the scrutiny of TSI, InvestEU, RRF, Ukraine Facility and Western Balkans Facility. Names shall be communicated shortly to the secretariat.

#### – Reporting back to committee

Coordinators discussed on how to make scrutiny more visible and decided on the approach on the reporting back of in-camera scrutiny activities, including via dedicated summaries and agenda point in committee meetings as well as press releases in the media.



### **3. POINTS FOR INFORMATION**

#### **3.1. Special Advisers**

#### **3.2. Documents for information**

#### **3.3. Delegated and implementing acts**

#### **3.4. State of play of appointments on BUDG files**

### **4. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

### **5. NEXT MEETING**

The next Coordinators' meetings are scheduled for 23 September and/or 14 October (tbc) in Brussels.