European Parliament

2024-2029



Committee on Fisheries

2024/0176(BUD)

30.7.2024


AMENDMENTS 1 - 77

Draft opinion Carmen Crespo Díaz(PE761.147v01-00)

General budget of the European Union for the financial year 2025 - all sections (2024/0176(BUD))

AM\1305295EN.docx PE762.948v01-00

 $AM_Com_NonLegBudDec$



Amendment 1 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment

1. Recalls the economic, social, environmental *and animal welfare impacts* of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the *necessary* role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring resilience of marine ecosystems but also in *biodiversity and animal welfare goals*;

Or. en

Amendment 2 Isabella Lövin, Mélissa Camara, Rasmus Nordqvist

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy *that develops within ecological limits* and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment 3 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 4 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the *vital* role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the *strategic* role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment 5 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Amendment

1. Recalls the economic, social and environmental relevance of fisheries, aquaculture and maritime affairs; stresses the vital role of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) not only in ensuring food security and resilience of marine ecosystems and food systems but also in boosting the development of a sustainable *and equitable* blue economy and upholding the competitiveness of EU fisheries and aquaculture sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 6 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls the effects on the food supply chain, fuel costs and price volatility caused by Russia's military aggression against Ukraine, as well as its impacts on EU fishing activities and the livelihoods of fishers, especially in the Black Sea;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 7 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

AM\1305295EN.docx 5/43 PE762.948v01-00

Draft opinion

2. Recalls the effects on the food supply chain, fuel costs and price volatility caused by Russia's military aggression against Ukraine, as well as its impacts on EU fishing activities and the livelihoods of fishers, especially in the Black Sea;

Amendment

2. Recalls the effects on the food supply chain, fuel costs and price volatility caused by Russia's military aggression against Ukraine, as well as its impacts on climate, environment, health and lives of people and animals, on EU fishing activities and the livelihoods of fishers, especially in the Black Sea; recalls the necessity of further ambitions for climate, biodiversity and animal welfare measures;

Or. en

Amendment 8 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls the effects on the food supply chain, fuel costs and price volatility caused by Russia's military aggression against Ukraine, as well as its impacts on EU fishing activities and the livelihoods of fishers, *especially in the Black Sea*;

Amendment

2. Recalls the effects on the food supply chain, fuel costs and price volatility caused by Russia's military aggression against Ukraine, as well as its impacts on EU fishing activities and the livelihoods of fishers;

Or fr

Amendment 9 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase in energy prices, which has hit small-scale

Amendment

deleted

PE762.948v01-00 6/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

fisheries particularly hard, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 10 Michal Wiezik, Emma Wiesner

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase in energy prices, which has hit small-scale fisheries particularly hard, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

Amendment

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase in energy prices, which has hit small-scale fisheries particularly hard, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers; highlights that Black Sea fishers, their fishing activities and livelihoods are additionally impacted by drifting sea mines as a result of the Russian aggression in Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 11 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant

Amendment

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant

disruptions in trade flows and an increase in energy prices, which has *hit* small-scale fisheries *particularly hard*, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

disruptions in trade flows, increased price volatility and an increase in energy prices, which has impacted EU fishing activities and the livelihoods of fishers, especially small-scale fisheries, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 12 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase in energy prices, which has hit small-scale fisheries particularly hard, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

Amendment

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase in energy prices, which has hit small-scale fisheries particularly hard, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to *help fishers' transits to sustainable jobs*;

Or. en

Amendment 13 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase

Amendment

3. Stresses that Russia's military aggression has led to significant disruptions in trade flows and an increase

PE762.948v01-00 8/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

in energy prices, which has hit *small-scale* fisheries particularly *hard*, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

in energy prices, which has hit *hard* fisheries, particularly *small-scale*, requiring the use of European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF) crisis measures to mitigate the effects of inflation for fishers, producers and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 14 Ton Diepeveen

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Deplores the European Commission proposal (2024/0060(COD)) aimed at cutting the budget of the EMFAF by 105.000.000 EUR, which would severely impact the funding of scientific advice, data collection, fisheries control and the contributions to Advisory Councils and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations;

Amendment

Deplores the European Commission 4. proposal (2024/0060(COD)) aimed at cutting the budget of the EMFAF by 105.000.000 EUR, which would severely impact the funding of scientific advice, data collection, fisheries control, and the contributions to Advisory Councils and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations; particularly, notes that 70% of this budget cut will affect the BlueInvest Plan, a critical initiative for fostering technology, innovation, and start-ups within the fisheries sector. Emphasizes that innovation and technological advancement are essential for the future sustainability and competitiveness of the EU fisheries sector. Calls on the Commission to reconsider this budget cut and to ensure adequate funding for BlueInvest to support the growth of innovative start-ups and the development of new technologies in the sector;

Amendment 15 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen, Michal Wiezik

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Deplores the European Commission proposal (2024/0060(COD)) aimed at cutting the budget of the EMFAF by 105.000.000 EUR, which would severely impact the funding of scientific advice, data collection, fisheries control and the contributions to Advisory Councils and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations;

Amendment

4. Deplores the Russian military aggression towards Ukraine and expresses our full support to Ukraine; espresses concern over the lack of clarity over the consequences of the European Commission proposal (2024/0060(COD)) to cut the budget of the EMFAF by 105.000.000 EUR, cuts which could impact the funding of scientific advice, data collection, fisheries control and the contributions to Advisory Councils and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations and therefore ecourages the Commission to present an analysis of the clear consequences of this cut and potential other options to ensure proper funding for EU fisheries related actions;

Or. en

Amendment 16 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. **Deplores** the European Commission proposal (2024/0060(COD)) aimed at cutting the budget of the EMFAF by 105.000.000 EUR, which would severely impact the funding of scientific advice, data collection, fisheries control and the contributions to Advisory Councils and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations;

Amendment

4. *Objects to* the European Commission proposal (2024/0060(COD)) aimed at cutting the budget of the EMFAF by 105.000.000 EUR, which would severely impact the funding of scientific advice, data collection, fisheries control and the contributions to Advisory Councils and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations;

PE762.948v01-00 10/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

Amendment 17 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Recalls that, sustainable management of fishing resources have to be based on the most updated scientific advice, that are directly dependent on accurate fishing data collection, including recreational fisheries, and fisheries control, and without them the sustainability of fisheries management are put in cause;

Or. en

Amendment 18 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; recalls Sustainable Development Goal 14.6 to prohibit fisheries subsidies which contribute to overcapacity and overfishing and demands that any support must not be misused for fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Amendment 19 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and *environmental* sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; *reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;*

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and *economic* sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet;

Or. en

Amendment 20 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet, particularly in relation to equitable access to quota and the elimination of barriers for Producer Organisations representing small scale fisheries; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased

PE762.948v01-00 12/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

Or. en

Amendment 21 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet *on the continent and in the outermost regions*; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Or. fr

Amendment 22 Isabella Lövin, Mélissa Camara, Rasmus Nordqvist

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, *including renewal of the fleet*, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability *through the modernisation of vessels* with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations

and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to eatch fish; and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Or. en

Amendment 23 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, *on-board living* conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, *with special attention to the* small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to *making* the *fishery* sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, *working* conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, *in particular of* small scale fishing fleet *segment*; reiterates that this can contribute to *make* the *fishing* sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Or. en

Amendment 24 Bert-Jan Ruissen

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet, while not excluding vessels above 24 metres in length from

PE762.948v01-00 14/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish; funding; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or increased fishing capacity or ability to catch fish;

Or. en

Amendment 25 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or *increased fishing capacity or* ability to catch fish;

Amendment

5. Insists that special attention must be devoted to the fishing fleets in order to improve safety, on-board living conditions, energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, including renewal of the fleet, with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet; reiterates that this can contribute to making the fishery sector more attractive for the younger generations and must not be confused with fleet expansion or *an increase in the fleets* ability to catch fish;

Or. en

Amendment 26 Bert-Jan Ruissen

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic

Amendment

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic

protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2% by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030; recalls that fish is rich in protein and therefore has strategic value and is essential for food security; points out capture fisheries are among the lowest impact systems for the production of animal protein;

Or. en

Amendment 27 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable *EU aquaculture* production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost *the growth of* this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Amendment

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable *algae* production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost this sector;

Or. en

Amendment 28 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen

Draft opinion

PE762.948v01-00 16/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Amendment

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this *sector*;

Or. en

Amendment 29 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the *global* share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of *global* aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Amendment

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Or. fr

Amendment 30 Isabella Lövin, Mélissa Camara, Rasmus Nordqvist

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Amendment

Urges the Commission to propose 6. actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production that does not impact the food security in third countries, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030:

Or. en

Amendment 31 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, *acceptable* and

Amendment

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of sustainable EU aquaculture production, which, together with fisheries, contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality and with a low carbon footprint; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific and sustainable

PE762.948v01-00 18/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

Or en

Amendment 32 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of *sustainable* EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality *and with a low carbon footprint*; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Amendment

6. Urges the Commission to propose actions to increase the global share of EU aquaculture production, which contributes to the supply of aquatic protein of high quality; calls on the Member States and the Commission to make full use of the financial resources available for the EMFAF in order to sustainably boost the growth of this sector's share of global aquaculture production above its current 2 % by means of specific, acceptable and shared targets for 2030;

Or. en

Amendment 33 Isabella Lövin, Mélissa Camara, Rasmus Nordqvist

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to *secure* commensurate compensation to offset the

Amendment

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector *and especially the small-scale fleet* is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to *propose*

damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

additional measures to support the sector and coastal communities; insists that Member States shall ensure that such measures are implemented swiftly; encourages Member States to monitor the implementation of the Brexit Adjustment Reserve (BAR);

Or. en

Amendment 34 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

Amendment

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to respond appropriately to the unilateral action of the UK;

Or. en

Amendment 35 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral

Amendment

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral

PE762.948v01-00 20/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities *and provide exit and transition subsidies*;

Or. en

Amendment 36 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

Amendment

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities; with special attention to the small scale fishing fleet;

Or. en

Amendment 37 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK

Amendment

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK

AM\\\1305295EN.docx 21/43 PE762.948v01-00

waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

waters, as well as with Norway; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

Or. en

Amendment 38 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities;

Amendment

7. Recalls that, as a result of Brexit, the EU fishing sector is still experiencing considerable economic disruptions especially with regard to the unilateral institution of fishing restricted areas in UK waters; calls on the Commission, therefore, as a matter of priority, to secure commensurate compensation to offset the damage suffered by the sector and fishing communities, in particular after the end of transitional period in June 2026;

Or. en

Amendment 39 Bert-Jan Ruissen

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so

Amendment

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so

PE762.948v01-00 22/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness; that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness; calls on the Commission and the Member States to continue to invest in innovative gear and techniques for selective fishing that contribute to substantially reducing CO2 emissions and fuel use; calls for the authorisation of proven techniques to be made easier:

Or en

Amendment 40 Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness;

Amendment

Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness; reiterate the need for an ecosystem based approach which covers actions tackling all pressures on marine resources, including proper management of seals and cormorants;

Or. en

Amendment 41 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange *fisheries sector* data and invest in research on innovative, *and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness*;

Amendment

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange data to protect and restore biodiversity and invest in research on innovative algae and other plant based alternatives:

Or en

Amendment 42 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness;

Amendment

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge, *including marine social science*, in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness;

Or. en

Amendment 43 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Insists on the need to improve scientific and technical knowledge in order to ensure the development and use of the most reliable research-based solutions; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness;

Amendment

8. Insists on the need to improve *fishing gears selectivity, that are dependent on* scientific technical knowledge *and* development; reiterates the importance of guaranteeing sufficient financial resources for Member States' and European scientific entities so that they can collect, manage, analyse, use and exchange fisheries sector data and invest in research on innovative, and more selective fishing techniques with technology-openness;

Or. en

Amendment 44 Michal Wiezik

Draft opinion Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Notes that the Black Sea, which has been for decades subject to pressures from manmade activities, has been significantly affected by military activities stemming from the Russian aggression; Notes that the primary impacts of the war on coastal and marine ecosystems, including chemical pollution, loud noise, physical damage to habitats from shelling and fortifications, and curtailment of conservation activities directly affect also the territorial waters of the EU Member States, including the area of Danube Delta, an unparalleled biodiversity hotspot; calls for funding for data collection on the affected ecosystems and populations of species, and for mitigation and corrective and restoration measures

Or. en

Amendment 45 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen, Karin Karlsbro, Michal Wiezik

Draft opinion Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Highlights the alarming situation 8a. of the Baltic Sea where historical overfishing together with long term pollution has created the collapse and near collapse of several stocks; confirms the pressing need for further action to fully implement an ecosystem based approach, including actions aiming at securing a biodiverse and healthy marine ecosystems; points out the need for further research and data gathering as well as proper implementation of fisheries rules such as the Control Regulation and the need for proper funding in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 46 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen, Michal Wiezik

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Draws attention to the international dimension of the CFP and its economic, social and environmental benefits; calls on the Commission to devote adequate resources to ocean governance; stresses the importance of international cooperation,

Amendment

9. Draws attention to the international dimension of the CFP and its economic, social and environmental benefits; calls on the Commission to devote adequate resources to ocean governance; stresses the importance of international cooperation,

PE762.948v01-00 26/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

transparency, traceability and a level playing field for delivering on the EU's commitments; secure participation of stakeholders as well as facilitate local cooperation with actors in third countries, transparency, traceability and a level playing field for delivering on the EU's commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 47 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Draws attention to the international dimension of the CFP and its economic, social and environmental benefits; calls on the Commission to devote adequate resources to ocean governance; stresses the importance of international cooperation, transparency, traceability for the small-scale fleet and a level playing field for delivering on the EU's commitments:

Amendment

9. Draws attention to the international dimension of the CFP and its economic, social and environmental benefits; calls on the Commission to devote adequate resources to ocean governance; stresses the importance of international cooperation, transparency, traceability, *equitable access to resources* for the small-scale fleet and a level playing field for delivering on the EU's commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 48 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Draws attention to the *international* dimension of the CFP and its economic, social and environmental benefits; calls on the Commission to devote adequate resources to ocean governance; stresses the importance of international cooperation, transparency, traceability and a level playing field for

Amendment

9. Draws attention to the *European* dimension of the CFP and its economic, social and environmental benefits; calls on the Commission to devote adequate resources to ocean governance; stresses the importance of international cooperation, transparency, traceability and a level playing field for delivering on the EU's

AM\\\1305295EN.docx \qquad 27/43 \qquad PE762.948v01-00

commitments;

Or fr

Amendment 49 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Pledges its support to *the* combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies combating IUU fishing worldwide, including by strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, targeted development aid and by fostering cooperation with national navies;

Amendment

10. Pledges its support to combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies combating IUU fishing worldwide, including by strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, targeted development aid and by fostering cooperation with national navies;

Or. en

Amendment 50 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Pledges *its support* to *the* combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies combating IUU fishing worldwide, *including by* strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, targeted development aid and *by* fostering cooperation with national navies;

Amendment

10. Pledges to combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies combating IUU fishing worldwide, and for the introduction of countermeasures against red-carded states, such as strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, possible restrictions on targeted development aid and fostering cooperation with national navies;

Or. fr

Amendment 51 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Pledges its support to the combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies *combating* IUU fishing worldwide, including by strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, targeted development aid and by fostering cooperation *with national navies*;

Amendment

10. Pledges its support to the *fight* against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies *fighting* IUU fishing worldwide, including by strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, targeted development aid and by fostering cooperation *with third-countries but also among Member-States*;

Or. en

Amendment 52 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Pledges its support to the combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies combating IUU fishing *worldwide*, including by strengthening checks on goods at EU borders, *targeted development aid* and by fostering cooperation with national navies;

Amendment

10. Pledges its support to the combat against illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; calls therefore for adequate and increased funding for EU policies combating IUU fishing, including by strengthening *EU border controls and* checks on goods at EU borders and by fostering cooperation with national navies;

Amendment 53 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as efuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 54 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet *more fuel efficient and* less dependent on fossil fuels; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems *for fishing vessels*, such as e-fuels, wind *and electric* propulsion, *having in account* different gear types *to be used*;

Or. en

Amendment 55 Isabella Lövin, Mélissa Camara, Rasmus Nordqvist

PE762.948v01-00 30/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on *adapting* zero-emission propulsion systems, *such as* e-fuels, wind propulsion *and* batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on zero-emission propulsion systems *marine* e-fuels, wind propulsion, batteries *and slow steaming*, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Or en

Amendment 56 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more *fuel* efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more *energy* efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Or. en

Amendment 57 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

AM\\\1305295EN.docx \quad 31\/43 \quad PE762.948v01-00

Draft opinion

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to *effectively* make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming, where possible, to make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Or fr

Amendment 58 Bert-Jan Ruissen

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Commission to adequately fund measures aiming to effectively make the EU fleet less dependent on fossil fuel and more fuel efficient; further calls on the Commission to fund research on adapting zero-emission propulsion systems, such as e-fuels, wind propulsion and batteries, for use on fishing vessels and with different gear types, with a realistic time schedule:

Or. en

Amendment 59 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11a. Encourages Member States to

PE762.948v01-00 32/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

allocate funds from the Recovery and Resilience Facility to support innovation for the decarbonisation of the fishing industry and to finance training programmes contributing to a more skilled workforce while boosting generation renewal;

Or. en

Amendment 60 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Underlines the role of the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) in ensuring a level playing field for the EU fleet, and especially in discouraging and curbing IUU fishing in European waters and also at a global level; considers that the adoption of the new Control Regulation (EU 2023/2842) implies an important increase in EFCA's tasks and responsibilities; recognises that the progressive implementation of the new control measures will need some activities in the form of pilot projects; calls on the Commission to provide adequate funds to EFCA to tackle the new tasks and activities, including participation to the tripartite working arrangement between the EFCA, the European Maritime Security Agency (EMSA) and the European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex) considering EFCA's contribution to the new EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS);

Amendment

12. Underlines the role of the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) in ensuring a level playing field for the EU fleet, and especially in discouraging and curbing IUU fishing; considers that the adoption of the new Control Regulation (EU 2023/2842) implies an important increase in EFCA's tasks and responsibilities; recognises that the progressive implementation of the new control measures will need some activities in the form of pilot projects; calls on the Commission to provide adequate funds to EFCA to tackle the new tasks and activities, including its coastal guard function and contribution to the new EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS);

Amendment 61 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Underlines the role of the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) in ensuring a level playing field for the EU fleet, and especially in discouraging and curbing IUU fishing in European waters and also at a global level; considers that the adoption of the new Control Regulation (EU 2023/2842) implies an important increase in EFCA's tasks and responsibilities; recognises that the progressive implementation of the new control measures will need some activities in the form of pilot projects; calls on the Commission to provide adequate funds to EFCA to tackle the new tasks and activities, including participation to the tripartite working arrangement between the EFCA, the European Maritime Security Agency (EMSA) and the European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex) considering EFCA's contribution to the new EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS);

Amendment

Underlines the role of the European 12. Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) in ensuring a level playing field for the EU fleet, and especially in discouraging and curbing IUU fishing in European waters and also at a global level; considers that the adoption of the new Control Regulation (EU 2023/2842) implies an important increase in EFCA's tasks and responsibilities; recognises that the progressive implementation of the new control measures will need some activities in the form of pilot projects and additional budgetary support; calls on the Commission to provide adequate funds to EFCA to tackle the new tasks and activities, including participation to the tripartite working arrangement between the EFCA, the European Maritime Security Agency (EMSA) and the European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex) considering EFCA's contribution to the new EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS);

Or. en

Amendment 62 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Underlines the role of the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) in ensuring a level playing field for the EU fleet, and especially in discouraging and

Amendment

12. Underlines the role of the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) in ensuring a level playing field for the EU fleet, and especially in discouraging and

PE762.948v01-00 34/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

curbing IUU fishing in European waters and also at a global level; considers that the adoption of the new Control Regulation (EU 2023/2842) implies an important increase in EFCA's tasks and responsibilities: recognises that the progressive implementation of the new control measures will need some activities in the form of pilot projects; calls on the Commission to provide adequate funds to EFCA to tackle the new tasks and activities, including participation to the tripartite working arrangement between the EFCA, the European Maritime Security Agency (EMSA) and the European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex) considering EFCA's contribution to the new EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS);

curbing IUU fishing in European and adjacent waters; considers that the adoption of the new Control Regulation (EU 2023/2842) implies an important increase in EFCA's tasks and responsibilities: recognises that the progressive implementation of the new control measures will need some activities in the form of pilot projects; calls on the Commission to provide adequate funds to EFCA to tackle the new tasks and activities, including participation to the tripartite working arrangement between the EFCA, the European Maritime Security Agency (EMSA) and the European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex) considering EFCA's contribution to the new EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS);

Or. en

Amendment 63 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to help young people to take up careers in fisheries and the wider sustainable blue economy sector, to make the sector more diverse and to encourage people from underrepresented groups, particularly women, to join it; further invites the Commission to support the creation of an association of young

Amendment

deleted

European fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 64 Sebastian Everding, Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to help young people to take up careers in fisheries and the wider sustainable blue economy sector, to make the sector more diverse and to encourage people from underrepresented groups, particularly women, to join it; further invites the Commission to support the creation of an association of young European fishers;

Amendment

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to boost the transits to alternative sustainable jobs; further invites the Commission to support fishers who plan to transit, who are in the process of transition or have already finished the transition to a sustainable job;

Or. en

Amendment 65 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to help young people to take up careers in fisheries and the wider sustainable blue economy sector, to make

Amendment

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to help young people to take up careers in fisheries and the wider sustainable blue economy sector, to make

PE762.948v01-00 36/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

the sector more diverse and to encourage *people from underrepresented groups*, *particularly* women, to join it; further invites the Commission to support the creation of an association of young European fishers;

the sector more diverse and to encourage women to join it; further invites the Commission to support the creation of an association of young European fishers;

Or. fr

Amendment 66 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to help young people to take up careers in fisheries and the wider sustainable blue economy sector, to make the sector more diverse and to encourage people from underrepresented groups, particularly women, to join it; further invites the Commission to support the creation of an association of young European fishers;

Amendment

13. Stresses that generational renewal, including diversifying the profession and gender mainstreaming, is one of the European fishing sector's priorities; calls, in this regard, on the Commission to support the mobilisation of budgetary resources to help young people to take up careers in fisheries and the wider sustainable blue economy sector, to make the sector more diverse and to encourage people from underrepresented groups. particularly women, to join it; further invites the Commission to support the creation of an association of young European fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 67 Isabella Lövin, Mélissa Camara, Rasmus Nordqvist

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged

AM\\\1305295EN.docx \quad 37/43 \quad PE762.948v01-00

coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

coastal areas and European outermost regions.

Or. en

Amendment 68 André Rodrigues

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for *Outermost Regions* (OR) and other disadvantaged coastal areas. Calls for the European Commission to evaluate the possibility, under the analysis of the balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities for small-scale fleet of OR according to Article 22 of the CFP, propose solutions including financial support for fleet renewal in these Regions that will not put in cause sustainable exploitation of resources, but increase working and safety conditions on the fleet.

Or. en

Amendment 69 Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

PE762.948v01-00 38/43 AM\1305295EN.docx

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment

14 Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements, maintaining the level playing field between Member States. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region, and this should be corrected, as the renewal of the fleets of the outermost regions should be done with funds from EMFAF.

Or. en

Amendment 70 Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to *facilitate that* financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions *will be mobilised*.

Amendment 71 Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions *by ending the ban on* State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions *of the EMFAF*, this objective has not received any *European* funding in any region.

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission and Council to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions through EU funding and State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions on State aid in the outermost regions, this objective has not received any funding in any region.

Or. en

Amendment 72 Bert-Jan Ruissen

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal *in the European outermost regions* by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment 73 Siegbert Frank Droese

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide *substantial* support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal *in the European outermost regions* by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide *appropriate* support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Or. en

Amendment 74 France Jamet

Draft opinion Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any region.

Amendment

14. Reiterates the need to provide substantial support for disadvantaged coastal areas and European outermost regions. Calls for the European Commission to allow financial support for fleet renewal in the European outermost regions by ending the ban on State aid for vessel replacements. Recalls that, contrary to the provisions of the EMFAF, this objective has not received any European funding in any *outermost* region.

Or. fr

Amendment 75 Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Draft opinion Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14a. Stresses the need to provide financial support for the definition of the Marine Protected Areas (MPA) in the outermost regions, in line with the goal of the UN to preserve 30% of our oceans by 2030, specially for the compensation for potencial losses of the affected fishermans, and monitoring and management programmes of the regional authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 76 Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14a. Reiterates the need to initiate more effective measures to eliminate barriers for Producer Organisations to fully deliver on their missions by addressing the difficulties for small-scale fisheries Producer Organisations regarding differential treatment by national administrations, be it in terms of Producer Organisation recognition, day-to-day financing, administrative support or eligibility of measures.

Amendment 77 Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Draft opinion Paragraph 14 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14b. Reiterates the need to re-establish the POSEI-Fisheries in the Outermost Regions, lost in 2014 with its integration in EMFF, in line with Amaro report on the "Assessment of the new communication of the European Commission on Outermost Regions 2022/2147(INI)".