



2024/0089(BUD)

19.9.2024

AMENDMENTS

1 - 12

Draft report
Siegfried Mureşan
(PE763.033v01-00)

Draft amending budget no 2 to the general budget 2024 entering the surplus of the financial year 2023
(2024/0089(BUD))

Amendment 1
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas, with Draft amending budget 2/2024, the annual GNI lump-sum reductions enjoyed by Germany, The Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden and Austria amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; whereas margins and flexibility in the Union budget remain very tight despite the revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) and the introduction of the new EURI Instrument to underwrite increased borrowing costs for the European Union Recovery Instrument;

Amendment

E. whereas, with Draft amending budget 2/2024, the annual GNI lump-sum reductions enjoyed by Germany, The Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden and Austria amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; whereas margins and flexibility in the Union budget remain very tight despite the revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) and the introduction of the new EURI Instrument to underwrite increased borrowing costs for the European Union Recovery Instrument; ***whereas it is deeply concerned about the impact of the inherent uncertainty for the European Union Recovery Instrument interest line; whereas the annual GNI lump-sum reductions coincide with NGEU borrowing costs that are much higher than forecast;***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

E a. whereas the Guidelines for the 2025 Budget - Section III reiterate that EURI borrowing costs should have been placed fully in a EURI special instrument over and above the MFF ceilings with a view to restoring some margin within Heading 2b and protecting budgetary space in the Flexibility and Single Margin Instruments;

Amendment

Amendment 3
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E b. whereas the budgetary needs are increasing but annual budgets and programmes are significantly reduced, and margins limited or equal to zero;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet, Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that the 2023 surplus is considerably lower than the 2022 surplus, pointing to improved budgetary forecasting and management by the Commission;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 5
Angéline Furet, Julien Sanchez, Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that the 2023 surplus is considerably lower than the 2022 surplus, pointing to improved budgetary forecasting and management by

2. Notes the existence of a surplus which reflects poor budgetary planning on the part of the Commission;

the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Underlines that the surplus reduces the total contribution of Member States to the financing of the 2024 budget at a time when financing needs remain high and space within the Union budget extremely limited;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the surplus reduces the total contribution of Member States to the financing of the 2024 budget at a time when financing needs remain high and space within the Union budget extremely limited; ***underlines that the surplus should stay within the EU annual budget in order to deal with the current challenges faced by the Union and cover the new priorities set at Union level;***

Or. en

Amendment 7
Angéline Furet, Julien Sanchez, Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator; stresses that this anomaly increases the burden on the other Member States;***

Amendment

5. ***Calls for a review of flat-rate reductions to ensure a fairer distribution of the burden between all Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 8
Dick Erixon, Kristoffer Storm

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator; stresses that this anomaly increases the burden on the other Member States;

Amendment

5. Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator; stresses that this anomaly increases the burden on the other Member States; ***recalls that the rebates serve foremost as a corrective mechanism, aiming to compensate for high per capita contributions of the net-paying Member States into the EU budget and must absolutely be maintained;***

Or. en

Amendment 9
Nikolas Farantouris

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator; ***stresses*** that this anomaly increases the burden on the other Member States;

Amendment

5. Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator; ***regrets*** that this anomaly increases the burden on the other Member States; ***calls for the rebates***

to be adjusted on the basis of the 2 % deflator as an immediate measure; recalls, furthermore, its long-standing position that rebates and other correction mechanisms should be abolished;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Joachim Streit, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator; ***stresses that this anomaly increases the burden on the other Member States;***

Amendment

5. Takes note of the calculation of the adjusted annual GNI lump-sum reductions for the five beneficiary Member States, which amount to around EUR 5,4 billion net; highlights the fact that these rebates are inflation-linked and have therefore increased at a higher rate than the MFF ceilings, which are adjusted annually on the basis of the 2 % deflator;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

5 a. Underlines that the Union needs budgetary discipline in the following years to be able to cope with unforeseen events and major provocations; highlights that, over recent years, the Union has only added new political priorities, while keeping the same budgetary resources;

Amendment 12
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 b. Recalls its position on the amended Commission proposals, which endorse the introduction of new own resources; considers that the introduction of fresh genuine revenue sources, in line with the roadmap for the introduction of new own resources set out in Annex II of the IIA, would serve to cover the additional budgetary burden arising from NextGenerationEU borrowing and would thereby shield the margins and flexibility mechanisms, which in turn would facilitate budgetary decision-making on unforeseen needs as well as new strategic foresight initiatives; urges, furthermore, the Commission to continue its efforts to identify fresh, new and preferably genuine own resources and other revenue sources for the EU budget beyond the IIA;

Or. en