

Efficacy and Safety of Izokibep, a Novel IL-17A Inhibitor, in Moderate-to-Severe Hidradenitis Suppurativa: Week 12 Results from a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter, Phase 3 Study

Kim A. Papp¹, Falk G. Bechara², Martina L. Porter³, Seth B. Forman⁴, Howard Sofen⁵, Jacek C. Szepietowski⁶, Benjamin D. Ehst⁷, Antonio Martorell⁸, Anne M. Stevens^{9,10}, Brian Wiens^{9*}, Katya Cherny⁹, Shephard Mpofu⁹, Alexa B. Kimball³

 ¹Probity Medical Research, Waterloo and Division of Dermatology, Temerty School of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada;
 ²Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, International Centre for Hidradenitis Suppurativa/Acne Inversa (ICH), Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany; ³Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴CenExel-FCR, Tampa, FL, USA;
 ⁵David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ⁶Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland; ⁷Oregon Medical Research Center, Broadway Medical Clinic and Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA; ⁸Hospital de Manises, Valencia, Spain; ⁹ACELYRIN, INC., Agoura Hills, CA, USA; ¹⁰University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA



Disclosures



- This study is sponsored by ACELYRIN, INC. Izokibep is an investigational therapy not approved by any regulatory authority
- All authors met the ICMJE authorship criteria and had full access to relevant data
- Kim A. Papp has received honoraria and/or grants from and/or consulting fees from and/or served as an investigator for AbbVie, ACELYRIN, INC., Akros, Alumis, Amgen, Arcutis Biotherapeutics, Bausch Health/Valeant, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Can-Fite Biopharma, Celltrion, Concert Pharmaceuticals, Dermavant Sciences, Dermira, DICE Pharmaceuticals, DICE Therapeutics, Evelo Biosciences, Forbion, Galderma, Horizon Therapeutics, Incyte, Janssen, Kymab, Kyowa Hakko Kirin, LEO Pharma, Lilly, Meiji Seika Pharma, Mitsubishi Pharma, Nimbus Therapeutics, Novartis, Pfizer, Reistone, Sanofi-Aventis/Genzyme, Sandoz, Sun Pharma, Takeda, Tarsus Pharmaceuticals, UCB, and Zai Lab
- Falk G. Bechara has participated in advisory boards, clinical trials, and/or as a speaker for ACELYRIN, INC. Martina L. Porter has received institutional grants from ACELYRIN, INC. Seth B. Forman has received publication support and research support from ACELYRIN, INC. Howard Sofen has received support for this study and a clinical research grant from ACELYRIN, INC. Jacek C. Szepietowski has participated as an investigator for ACELYRIN, INC. Benjamin D. Ehst has received institutional funds from ACELYRIN, INC. Antonio Martorell has received support for this study and funds (institutional contracts) from ACELYRIN INC. Anne M. Stevens, Katya Cherny, and Shephard Mpofu are employed by ACELYRIN, INC., and have stock/stock options from ACELYRIN, INC. Brian Wiens was employed by ACELYRIN, INC., at the time of the study and has stock/stock options from ACELYRIN, INC.

Acknowledgements



- We thank the patients and their families for their participation in the study, as well as site staff and principal investigators for their critical contributions
- Writing and editorial support were provided by Sylvia Stankov, PhD, of Red Nucleus, and were funded by ACELYRIN, INC.

Background and Objective



Hidradenitis Suppurativa

- Chronic, painful, systemic inflammatory disease characterized by deep-layer inflammatory nodules, skin abscesses, and draining tunnels, in which **dysregulated IL-17A** plays a key role^{1,2}
- Unmet need for highly effective and safe treatments that deliver more complete and faster resolution of disease manifestations and improve quality of life³⁻⁵

Izokibep

• Small protein therapeutic (18.6 kDa) designed to **selectively inhibit IL-17A** with high potency through tight binding affinity; contains an albumin-binding domain that prolongs its half-life⁶

Primary Objective: to evaluate the efficacy and safety of izokibep through week 12 in a phase 3 study in patients with moderate-to-severe hidradenitis suppurativa (NCT05905783)

IL-17A, interleukin-17A.

1. Sabat R, et al. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2020;6:18. 2. Kimball A, et al. Exp Dermatol. 2022;31:1522-32. 3. Kimball A, et al. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:422-34. 4. Frew J, et al. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2021;46:306-13. 5. Snyder CL, et al. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol. 2023;16:1833-41. 6. Klint S, et al. MAbs. 2023;15:2209920.

Izokibep: A Unique, Small-Protein Therapeutic

Potency

Blocks IL-17A target with high affinity of 0.3 pM¹

Size

~1/10th the size of a monoclonal antibody (18.6 kDa) potentially enabling izokibep to reach tissues difficult to access by monoclonal antibodies¹

Albumin-Binding Domain

Extends plasma half-life and may enhance targeting to sites of inflammation¹



Album in -Binding Domain

Study Design



		Eligibility		
• Moderate-to- severe HS	• Diagnosis of HS for ≥6 months	 HS lesions in ≥2 distinct anatomic areas (1 Hurley stage II or III) 	• Total AN count ≥5	 Inadequate response, intolerance, or contraindication to oral antibiotics
	Com	plete Trial Design		Endpoints (Week 12)
Randomization	cibep 160 mg QW Placebo QW	Izokibep 160 mg QW (Continued) Izokibep 160 mg QW (Crossover)	8-Week Follow-Up	 Efficacy Primary: HiSCR75 Secondary: HiSCR90/100/50 DLQI AN count of 0, 1, or 2^a ≥3-point reduction in skin pain NRS^b ≥1 HS flare
Baseline	Week Wee 12 16 (Primary Endpoint)	k.	Week Week 52 59	 Safety TEAEs, AESIs, SAEs, laboratory assessments, vital signs

Randomization was stratified by any prior TNFi use for HS (yes/no) and Hurley stage (II or III).

^aIn patients with baseline Hurley stage II. ^bIn patients with baseline skin pain NRS ≥4.

AE, adverse event; AESI, AE of special interest; AN, abscess and inflammatory nodule; DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; HiSCR50/75/90/100, ≥50%/275%/290%/100% improvement in HS Clinical Response (≥50%/≥75%/≥90%/100% reduction from baseline in the total AN count, with no increase from baseline in abscess or draining fistula count); HS, hidradenitis suppurativa; NRS, numeric rating scale; QW, once weekly; SAE, serious AE; TEAE, treatment-emergent AE; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor inhibitor.

Patient Disposition



^aPrior to the primary efficacy assessment and prior to study day 98 (last day of the week 12 analysis visit window). QW, once weekly.

Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics EV CONGRESS

	Placebo n=129	Izokibep 160 mg QW n=129	Overall N=258
Age, mean (SD), years	37.4 (12.9)	37.1 (11.9)	37.3 (12.4)
Race , n (%)			
White	90 (70)	91 (71)	181 (70)
Black or African American	28 (22)	21 (16)	49 (19)
Asian	10 (8)	8 (6)	18 (7)
Other ^a	1 (1)	9 (7)	10 (4)
Female, n (%)	89 (69)	89 (69)	178 (69)
BMI , mean (SD), kg/m²	34.1 (9.6)	34.0 (7.6)	34.0 (8.7)
Smoking status, current, n (%)	58 (45)	53 (41)	111 (43)
Disease duration, mean (SD), years	10.2 (8.4)	10.2 (8.9)	10.2 (8.7)
AN count , mean (SD)	13.2 (11.5)	13.5 (13.3)	13.4 (12.4)
Abscess count, mean (SD)	2.7 (3.2)	2.4 (4.0)	2.5 (3.6)
Inflammatory nodule count, mean (SD)	10.5 (11.1)	11.1 (12.2)	10.8 (11.6)
Draining tunnels , mean (SD)	2.2 (3.3)	2.2 (3.5)	2.2 (3.4)
Hurley stage, n (%)			
Stage II	82 (64)	78 (60)	160 (62)
Stage III	47 (36)	51 (40)	98 (38)
DLQI score , mean (SD)	11.4 (7.2)	12.3 (7.3)	11.9 (7.2)
Prior TNFi, n (%)	20 (16)	18 (14)	38 (15)

^aIncludes n=3 American Indian or Alaska Native in the izokibep arm, n=1 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander in the izokibep arm, and n=1 and n=5 Other in the placebo and izokibep arms, respectively. AN, abscess and inflammatory nodule; BMI, body mass index; DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; QW, once weekly; SD, standard deviation; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor inhibitor.

Primary Endpoint of HiSCR75 at Week 12 Was Achieved

Izokibep treatment showed early separation from placebo, and one-third of patients receiving izokibep achieved HiSCR75 at week 12



Response rates were determined using NRI for patients who received antibiotic therapy that could affect HS and for patients with missing data who discontinued treatment for reason of adverse event or lack of efficacy, with multiple imputation for all other patients with missing data. Statistical significance per the prespecified testing hierarchy: [†]*P*<0.05 vs placebo. Nominal *P*-value: ^{*}*P*<0.05 vs placebo. HS, hidradenitis suppurativa; HiSCR, HS Clinical Response; NRI, nonresponse imputation; OW, every week.

EA CONGRESS

Significant HiSCR90 and HiSCR100 Responses with Izokibep at Week 12

Approximately 1 in 4 patients achieved HiSCR90/100 by week 12 with izokibep



Response rates were determined using NRI for patients who received antibiotic therapy that could affect HS and for patients with missing data who discontinued treatment for reason of adverse event or lack of efficacy, with multiple imputation for all other patients with missing data. Statistical significance per the prespecified testing hierarchy: ⁺*P*<0.05, ⁺⁺*P*<0.01, ⁺⁺⁺*P*<0.001 vs placebo. HS, hidradenitis suppurativa; HiSCR, HS Clinical Response; NRI, nonresponse imputation; ns, not significant; QW, every week.

Improved Quality of Life and Skin Pain Reduction with Izokibep at Week 12

Patients receiving izokibep showed greater improvement in DLQI^a at week 12 vs placebo A higher percentage of patients receiving izokibep achieved a ≥3-point reduction in skin pain NRS^b at week 12 vs placebo



Nominal *P*-value: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01 vs placebo.

^aLSM using MMRM; the model included treatment, baseline DLQI, stratification factors, visit week, and treatment by visit week interaction as covariates. The number of evaluable patients at week 12 was n=112 for placebo and n=102 for izokibep.

^bIn patients with baseline skin pain NRS ≥4. Response rates were determined using NRI for patients with missing data who discontinued treatment for reason of adverse event or lack of efficacy and patients who received prohibited analgesic therapy for hidradenitis suppurativa within 28 days of the visit, with multiple imputation for all other patients with missing data.

CFB, change from baseline; DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; LSM, least squares mean; MMRM, mixed model repeated measures; NRI, nonresponse imputation; NRS, numeric rating scale; QW, every week.

EΛ CONGRESS

AN Count at Week 12

Half of patients with baseline Hurley stage II disease receiving izokibep achieved an AN count of 0, 1, or 2 by week 12



In patients with baseline Hurley stage II. Response rates were determined using NRI for patients who received antibiotic therapy that could affect HS and for patients with missing data who discontinued treatment for reason of adverse event or lack of efficacy, with multiple imputation for all other patients with missing data. Nominal *P*-value: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01 vs placebo. AN, abscess and inflammatory nodule; HS, hidradenitis suppurativa; NRI, nonresponse imputation; QW, every week.

HS Flare at Week 12



The percentages of patients with ≥1 flare^a at any time through week 12 were similar between treatment arms



Response rates were determined using NRI for patients who received antibiotic therapy that could affect HS and for patients with missing data who discontinued treatment for reason of adverse event or lack of efficacy, with multiple imputation for all other patients with missing data.

^aDisease flare defined as ≥1 flare (≥25% increase in AN count with a minimum increase of 2 AN relative to baseline) at any time through week 12. AN, abscess and inflammatory nodule; HS, hidradenitis suppurativa; NRI, nonresponse imputation; ns, not significant; QW, every week.

Summary of Safety Through Week 12

n, %	Placebo QW n=129	Izokibep 160 mg QW n=129
Any TEAE	68 (52.7)	102 (79.1)
Serious TEAE	4 (3.1)	1 (0.8)
TEAE leading to discontinuation of study treatment	4 (3.1)	10 (7.8)
Injection-site reactions	0	6 (4.7)
Death	0	0
Infections and infestations	31 (24.0)	27 (20.9)
TEAE preferred term (≥5% in either treatment arm)		
Injection-site reactions	10 (7.8)	84 (65.1)
Headache	12 (9.3)	13 (10.1)
Nasopharyngitis	9 (7.0)	9 (7.0)
Fatigue	3 (2.3)	7 (5.4)
Diarrhea	2 (1.6)	7 (5.4)
AE of special interest	3 (2.3)	1 (0.8)
Candidiasis ^a	3 (2.3)	0
Hypersensitivity	0	1 (0.8)
Inflammatory bowel disease	0	0
Suicidal ideation	0	0



• Serious TEAEs

- <u>Placebo</u>: abdominal pain lower, pelvic fracture, hepatic enzyme increased, urinary retention, hidradenitis
- <u>Izokibep</u>: vasculitis
- Injection-site reactions
 - Grade 1 or 2 in all but 1 patient

^aTwo vulvovaginal, 1 undefined.

AE, adverse event; QW, once weekly; TEAE, treatment-emergent AE.

Conclusions



- The primary endpoint of this phase 3 study was met, with one-third of patients receiving izokibep 160 mg QW achieving HiSCR75 at week 12, a significant improvement over placebo
- Approximately 1 in 4 izokibep-treated patients achieved HiSCR90/100
- Izokibep-treated patients demonstrated notable improvements over placebo in patient-reported quality of life and pain
- Izokibep treatment was well tolerated, with no new safety signals and a safety profile generally consistent with that of other IL-17A–selective inhibitors