

Development of the First CARB Certified California Alternative Diesel Fuel

Manuch Nikanjam Chevron Research and Technology Company



International Congress & Exposition Detroit, Michigan March 1-5, 1993

400 COMMONWEALTH DRIVE, WARRENDALE, PA 15086-0001 U.S.A. Tel: (412) 778-4841 Fax: (412) 776-5760

90-0031973

930728

Development of the First CARB Certified California Alternative Diesel Fuel

Manuch Nikanjam

Chevron Research and Technology Company.

ABSTRACT

California regulations require a maximum of 10% aromatics content in vehicular diesel fuel starting on October 1, 1993. This is in addition to the Federal regulations requiring a maximum 500 ppm sulfur content. Compliance with the low aromatics rule will require major investments in California refineries. Refiners have the potentially lesscostly option of producing a higher aromatics diesel fuel if they can demonstrate equivalent emissions relative to a 10% aromatics reference fuel.

Chevron U.S.A. Products Company has received the first certification from the California Air Resources Board (CARB) for an alternative diesel fuel. In addition to passing the stringent CARB equivalency test for oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter, and soluble organic fraction, the certified fuel formulation performed better than the reference fuel in reducing total hydrocarbon and carbon monoxide emissions.

This paper summarizes the research and development carried out at Chevron Research and Technology Company (CRTC) leading to this fuel formulation and the CARB certification. Fuel properties for the certified fuel, as well as those for the reference fuel are also presented.

INTRODUCTION

Federal regulations require all highway diesel fuel to be limited to a maximum sulfur content of 0.05% starting October 1, 1993, Such fuels will also be limited to a minimum cetane index of 40, or a maximum aromatics content of 35%. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has mandated an additional requirement to lower the fuel aromatics content to a maximum of 10%, effective October 1, 1993 (1).* CARE's decision to lower the aromatics content of the fuel, as stated in their technical support document (2) was based on the best information available at the time. This included data generated in a cooperative study sponsored by the Coordinating Research Council (CRC) as part of their Vehicle Emissions Program. VE 1 (3, 4).

The CRC project used three engines to study the effects of fuel properties such as aromatics content, 90% boiling point, and sulfur level on exhaust emissions. The study concluded that all engines did not show the same effect, and that there was no simple answer to the effect of fuel properties on emissions. Although the emissions changes with respect to fuels were relatively small, the study showed that hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), and particulate matter (PM) emissions seemed to be reduced with fuel aromatics reduction. Lowering the fuel sulfur content heiped PM reduction in all engines. Since sulfur levels were being reduced by federal regulations, CARB adopted aromatics reduction to lower diesel engine emissions further, with primary emphasis on NO_x.

CARB's emphasis on aromatics at the time might have been altered to recognize the effect of fuel cetane number. had the results of the next phase of the CRC program been available (5, 6). This phase of the program attempted to separate the effects of cetane number and aromatics content of the fuel using only one engine, the 1991 prototype DDC Series 60. The study concluded that cetane number was the key to reducing HC and CO emissions. Both cetane number and aromatics affected NO_x and PM emissions.

Lowering the aromatics content of diesel fuel from the current levels of well over 30% to those below 10% requires major capital investment and operating costs for severe hydrotreating processes in most California refineries. This is a severe financial burden during a period in which very large capital funds are needed to make the many changes required for producing reformulated paso-

. A. . .

[&]quot;Numbers in parentheses designate references at the end of the paper.

inelland for complying with a range of other environmential regulations.

CARB has allowed fuel producers the option of producing a ess-costly alternative fuel with a higher aromatics content, if equivalent emissions can be demonstrated. Chevron took on the challenge of developing such an alternative fuel and spent well over \$3 million in research and development to come up with a certified fuel. This effort is described in this paper.

CARB REGULATIONS

Subsection g of Section 2282. Title 13. California Code of Regulations (1), provides a detailed description of the procedure for certifying diesel fuel formulations resulting in equivalent emissions reductions. A brief summary of this procedure is given here for reference.

A candidate fuel to be tested for emissions equivalency must meet the ASTM D975 diesel fuel specifications. In addition, the following five fuel properties must also be determined:

- 1. Sulfur content (not to exceed 500 ppm);
- Total aromatic hydrocarbon content;
- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon content;
- Nitrogen content;
- 5. Cetane number.

Once the fuel is certified "equivalent", a producer can market the equivalent fuel as long as the first four of the above properties are not exceeded. The above determined cetane number is the minimum allowable.

The candidate fuel must be tested against a highly specified reference fuel in a Detroit Diesel Corporation (DDC) Series-60 engine, or, if CARB determines that the Series-60 is no longer representative of the post-1990 model year heavy-duty diesel engine fleet, then another engine found by CARB to be representative of such engines. One such engine is a prototype DDC Series-60 engine at Southwest Research Institute (SwRI). This engine has been calibrated to satisfy 1991 emissions standards. The reference fuel to be used in these tests has a specific set of properties which CARB determined would represent the average California 10% aromatics fuel. These properties are included in Table 1. In addition, the reference fuel must be produced from straight-run California diesel fuel by a hydrodearomatization process.

The regulations provide four choices of exhaust emissions test sequences. The first one includes both coldstart and hot-start tests. The remaining options include hot-start emissions tests only. Reference 1 contains the details.

The average emissions of NO_x, PM, and soluble organic fraction (SOF) produced by the candidate and reference

fuels are compared, and must all satisfy the following to establish equivalency for fuel certification.

where:

C = Candidate fuel emissions.

- R = Reference fuer emissions.
- Delta = Tolerance level:
 - 2% of R for NO_x, 4% of R for PM, and
 - 12% of R for SOF.
 - Sp = Pooled standard deviation.
 - t = The one-sided upper percentage point of student's tidistribution with a = 0.15 and 2n-2 degrees of freedom.
 - Number of tests of candidate and reference (ue).

Table 1

Reference Fuel Specifications

Property	ASTM Test Method	Specifications	
Sulfur, Wt %	D 2622	500 ppm Max.	
Aromatics, Vol %	D 1319*	10% Max.	
Polycyclic Aromatics, Wt %	D 2425	1.4% Max.	
Nitrogen, Wt %	D 4629	10 ppm Max.	
Natural Cetane Number	D 613	48 Min.	
Gravity, API	D 287	33-39	0.83 0 80
Viscosity at 40°C, cSt	D 445	2.0-4.1	
Flash Point, °C	0.93	54 Min.	
Distillation, °C	D 86		
Initial Boiling Point	171-216	(340-420 °F)	
10% Recovered	204-254	(400-490 °F)	
50% Recovered	243-293	(470-560 °F)	
90% Recovered	288-321	(550-610 °F)	1
End Point	304-349	(580-660 °F)	

*SFC (D 5186) now approved by CARB as an alternative

INITIAL APPROACH

Using the best information available from the CRC VE 1 study and other existing data, a fuel formulation was prepared and tested formally for CARB certification. It had a relatively low aromatics level, 22.5%, and a relatively high cetane number, 53.4, compared to average industry standards, and was designed to pass the original CARB equivalency test. The remaining properties were sulfur. 363 ppm, nitrogen, 225 ppm, and polycyclic aromatics. 6.7%. This fuel passed the PM and SOF equivalency criteria but failed the NO_x equivalency.

Shortly after this fuel was fun. CARB changed the lowaromatics diesel rule to make the equivalency tests more stringent statistically. In an exploratory test, it was decided to test the effects of cetane level beyond the region explored by the CRC-VE-* program. Accordingly, a second fuel with a very high cetane number, 62.7, was formulated. It used what we estimated to be the maximum practical amount of cetane improver considering cost, and the possibility that too high a cetane number can actually increase particulate emissions. Other properties of this fuel, with the exception of hidrogen, 862 ppm, were similar to the first fuel. This fuel failed both the NO_x and the PM equivalency criteria while passing SOF.

Based on these two exploratory failed attempts to certify a diesel fuel for the California market, it was concluded that the existing technical information at the time was not sufficient to be used as a tool to formulate an acceptable fuel. A decision was made to carry out an intensive research program, tailored to the needs of the California regulations, to study and understand the effects of fuel properties on heavy-duty diesel exhaust emissions.

TEST FACILITIES

Data for this research program were mostly generated at Chevron Research and Technology Company (CRTC) using an engine in a heavy-duty vehicle. Some research and all attempted CARB certification tests were carried out at SwRI facilities using an engine on a fixed test stand.

The engine used at SwRI was a prototype DDC Series 60 engine. This engine was the one used in the second phase of the CRC VE 1 program and represents an engine approved by CARB for fuel certification testing. The engine had a nominal rated power of 246 kW (330 hp) at 1800 rpm and was calibrated to meet 1991 emissions standards. References 5 and 6 include detailed descriptions of the engine and the test facility. Engine characteristics are reproduced from the references and are included in Table 2.

Table 2

SwRI Prototype DDC Series 60 Engine

Displacement	6-Cylinder, 11.1-Liter, 130 mm Bore x 139 Stroke
Configuration	Turbocharged, Aftercooled (Air- to-Air), Direct Injection
Emission Controls	Electronic Management of Fuel Injection Timing (DDEC-II)
Rated Power	246 kW (330 hp) at 1800 rpm With 49 kg/hr (108 lb/hr) Fuel
Peak ⊺orque	1722 N·m (1270 Ft-lb) at 1200 rpm With 42 kg/hr (93 lb/hr) Fuel
Injection	Electronically Controlled Unit Injectors



Figure 1 - Truck Chassis Dynamometer



Figure 2 - Emissions Sampling System

The heavy-duty chassis dynamometer at CRTC, shown in Figure 1, is described in detail in Reference 7. This facility is capable of accommodating single and tandem axie vehicles, and can simulate a driving load up to 38.600 kg (85.000 lb) gross vehicle weight, at speeds up to 120 kpm (75 mph). The chassis dynamometer was used in an engine stand emulation mode for this study. In this mode the engine output is measured directly, by instailing a torque transducer on the driveshaft between the transmission and the differential, and the facility is capable of running the engine over the standard heavy-duty Federal Test Procedure (FTP) transient cycle.

Figure 2 is a diagram of the emissions sampling system Emission measurements and sampling techniques were consistent with the FTP for transient testing of heavy-duty dissellengines (8). A Horiba exhaust emissions sampling system and Horiba analyzers were used to determine CO NO_x , CO₂, and HC (9, 10). CO and CO₂ were detected by nondispersive infrared. NO_x detection was done using a chemiluminescent analyzer MC analyses employed a neared flame onization detector and heated sampling systems. Computer integration and averaging of the emissions detected during the 20-minute cycle were compared to bag samples and showed excellent agreement between the two methods. A sampler with 70 mm filters was used to collect particulate samples. These same fifters were analyzed in the laboratory to determine SOF emissions for each test cycle using a Soxhlet extraction process. Carbon balance calculations, as well as gravimetric measurements using a Micromotion flowmeter, determined the fuel consumption for each cycle.

The heavy-duty engine used in the vehicle in this facility was a 1991 production DDC Series 60. This engine was specified to be as close as possible to the one used at SwRI. Engine characteristics were the same as the ones stated in Table 2 with the exception of the rated power and torque. Rated power output was 261 kW (350 hp) at 1800 rpm and peak torque was 1695 N·m (1250 ft-lb) at 1200 rpm.

FUEL PROPERTY EFFECTS ON EMISSIONS

This section covers test results related to changes in individual fuel properties such as cetane number, distiliation end point, and viscosity. These properties would be in most cases, less costly to alter, or involve a lower capital expenditure, than the severe aromatics reduction. Results from a statistically designed test matrix to vary aromatics content and the cetane number simultaneously as well as those from a series of CARB certification attempts, are described in the following sections. Novreduction was emphasized throughout this study since it was the principal cause of the original failed attempts to certify fuels.

Properties and descriptions of all fuels discussed in this paper are summarized in Table 3. The five properties of an alternative fuel required for CARB certification are provided in each case. A brief fuel description is listed in the right-hand column for each fuel tested. Generally two

					<u> </u>		
Fuel Name	Aromanca.	Sulfur. Dom 0.2522	Nurrogen ppm 0.4629	Cetane Number, D 613	Catane Improver	PNA'1	Fuer Description
•	Z2.5	363	:44	49.7	a	69	Base Fuel
Ă.	22 5	-C34	230	53 4 52	Q.1	6 9	A With 0.1% Cetane Improver
*.	22.5	363	550	815	26	6.9	A With C 6% Calana Improver
e	Z1 7	246	102	49.4	0	54	B is A With EP = 600*F (85% Overhead)
₿*	21 7	246	578	6.0	36	54	B With 0.6% Cetane improver
C.	ונ	216	485	50.9	010.12	69	Reformulated Chevron Special Clasel Five
- <u>0</u> -	31	216	324	59.5	36	69	C'With 0.5% Catane improver
D	22	738	23.6	38.6	3	3.4	Jet Fuel
0.	22	738	499	49.9	36	14	D With 0.5% Catalog intercever
E	53 B	,96	407	50.3	.,,	3	E's C'With EP = 6001F (78% Overhead)
۴	25.7	146	456	517	0,1-0.12	<u>†</u> –	C' With 10% Ethylene Glycol Monoburyl Ether Acetate to Add 3% Op to the Fuel
-3 ⁻	24 9	230	527	516	3.0.5		Reformutated Chevron Special Dieser Fuer
1	10.8	278	102	53 2	2		Special Run With 3.7 cSt Viscosity
×	19.8	304	899.9	55.9	Ĵ		3 Without 7% of the Light Ends to increase Viscosity to 4 13 cSi
β	1.1	<0.6	9 21	62.5	<u></u>	-0	Special Run Low Aromatics Cresel Fuel
A2	18.0	54	441	54	3185	2 22	19-56 Aromatics/Celane
êz	12.6	37	281	50 5	5	2.11	13-50 Aromatica Cetane
C2	12.7	×	<u>3</u> 11	57.6	3 023	· 85	13-56 Arematics Cetane
D2	15 7	4	308	54.	0.015	2.58	16.54 Aromatics Cetane
E2	18.5	54	301	501	5	2 62	19/50 Aromatics/Culane
F2	18.0	* 96 *	466	58.9	3 155	4 39	19-59-200 Aromanca/Cerane/Sultur
୍ୟ	'5 '	202	341	54.8	3	357	15 55-200 Aromatics Celarie Sulfur
P2	96	+12	31	49.2	7,	3.95	Reference Fuel
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Fuel Properties

Table 3

"SFC 0 5186 used for A2 through G2. "Not measured

SwRI -	Phase	A
--------	-------	---

		A'				P		
		Меал	SDEV	CV %	Mean	SDEV	CV %	Comparison
NOx		4.1780	0.061	1 47	3.9180	0.055	1.41	P is 6.22% Lower Than A
PM	İ	0.1700	0.005	2.85	0.1360	0.003	1.90	P is 20% Lower Than A
SOF	grbhp-Hr	0.0502	0.012	23 90	0.0481	0.011	23 60	P is 4 2% Lower Than A
co		1.2880	0.033	2 56	1.1120	0.037	3.32	Phs 13 7% Lower Than A'
нс		0.1480	0.008	5.40	0.1230	0.009	6.33	Pils 16 9% Lower Than A'
BSFC	Lb/bhp-Hr	0.3970	0.004	0.97	0.3900	0.005	1.39	P is 1.28% Higher Than A
Work	bhp-Hr	22.193	0.04	0.19	21.97	0.105	0.48	P is 1.66% Lower Than A

tables are associated with each phase of this study. One includes detailed emissions data for each test in the sequence it was run. These tables are attached as Appendix I. The other provides a summary of test results for each phase and includes the mean and the standard deviation for the data presented in the first table.

INITIAL SwRI TESTS - One set of engine tests was carried out at SwRI prior to initiating the CRTC experiments. This set, Phase A, included Fuel A' and Fuel P. A' was the fuel used in the first exploratory certification attempt. It contained around 22% aromatics and had a cetane number of around 53, using 0.1 wt % cetane. improver additive. P was an experimental fuel produced in a distillate hydrotreater with almost no aromatics, sulfur, or nitrogen. It had a very high natural cetane number of around 62. The goal was to determine the maximum benefit one could achieve if aromatics were lowered to an extremely low level, well beyond the regulated 10%, while the cetane number was high. This phase would also provide data to relate Fuel P to A', and therefore, indirectly to the reference fuel, since very little of A' and reference fuel existed. Sufficient supply of Fuel P was on hand. Comparison between the two engines could also be made if the same fuels were tested at CRTC.

The test sequence and individual luel test data are presented in Table I-t of Appendix I. Each test consisted of two back-to-back hot-start transient emissions cycles. On the first day, Fuel A' was tested once and Fuel P was tested twice. On the second day the order was reversed.

Real Section

Table 4 includes the results. This testing demonstrated that:

- Emissions from Fuel P were significantly lower than those of A' in all cases;
- The maximum range for NO_x reduction based on the lowest aromatics and a very high cetane level was around 6%;
- Day-to-day data variation was significant. Therefore, conclusions should not be made based on data from a single day.

INITIAL CRTC TESTS - The first series of tests at CRTC used the same fuels used at SwRI (i.e., A' and P) to compliment the results obtained in Phase A and to compare the two engines. Two additional fuels were included in this series. Phase B, and were designated A and A''. A was the base fuel from which A' had been prepared. It contained no cetane improver and had a natural cetane number around 50. A'' was the same base fuel with a high level of cetane improver. 0.6%, to match the cetane number of Fuel P at around 62. The use of cetane improver additive affects the nitrogen content of the fuel. Other properties are unchanged. Phase B at CRTC had similar goals to the ches stated above for Phase A at SwRI.

Fuels were tested using a "Latin Square" sequence. The order was selected randomly and is shown in Table 5. Each fuel was tested four times on the scheduled day.

Test Sequence for CRTC Phase B

	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4
Day 1	A	A"	A'	9
Day 2	A	A	Ρ	A'
Day 3	A',	P	A	A"
Day 4	P	A '	Α"	A

The entire test lasted 4 weeks. Detailed emissions data for all four fuels are included in Tables I-2 through I-5 in Appendix I. Table 6 is a summary of the results for Phase 8. These results confirm that the two engines yield different results but the trends are similar. The NO_x difference between A' and P was around 10% on the CRTC engine. The engine at CRTC has higher NO_x emissions and lower PM and HC emissions when compared to the one at SwRI. Enough day-to-day variation and drift existed that future tests should include testing of more than one fuel per day.

END POINT EFFECT - The effect of lowering the end point of the boiling range was investigated in Phase C. Fuel A' was processed to remove 15% of the heavy end. This reduced the end point from 330°C ($625^{\circ}F$) to 316°C ($600^{\circ}F$). The cetane improver was also raised from 0.1% to 0.6%. The resulting fuel, B", which had a 61 cetane number, was tested along with A' in a 4-day test sequence which consisted of running both fuels on each day. Data are included in Table I-6 in Appendix I. Results are summarized in Table 7. NO_x emissions for B" were about 2% lower than A'. NO_x emissions for A" were 1.3% lower than A' in the previous phase. Comparison of the results from these two phases shows that the NO_x reduction for B" was mostly due to the use of the cetane improver and not the end point adjustment.

In order to confirm this conclusion, additional tests were conducted in Phase D using end point adjustment only. Two fuels were tested in the same pattern as above. One fuel, C', was the low sulfur, cetane-improved fuel Chevron markets in the Los Angeles area as reformulated Chevron Special Diesel Fuel. This fuel was redistilled to remove 22% of the heavy end. reducing the end point from 345°C (653°F) to 316°C (600°F). It was designated as Fuel E'. No other changes were made. No additional cetane improver was used.

Table I-7 in Appendix I includes the data generated in this phase. Table 8 contains the summary of the results. Once again it was confirmed that lowering of the end point did not improve the exhaust emissions, and that the improvements in the previous phase were mostly due to the use of cetane improver additive.

BEST CURRENT COMMERCIAL LOW SULFUR FUEL-Phase E, presented in Table I-8 in Appendix I and summarized in Table 9, was an attempt to evaluate whether the reformulated Chevron Special Diesel Fuel. C1, with the addition of a high level of cetane improver had the optential to be certified as an alternative fuel. Thus, C1 was created by adding 0.5% cetane improver additive to Fuel C1. The test sequence was the same as above using both fuels every day for 4 days.

The earlier tests, which related emissions from existing fuels and CRTC engine performance to emissions from the initial certification attempts and other tests at SwR1, were used as a tool to judge if a fuel had a chance to be certified. Although NO_x emissions for the additized fuel were improved by 1–4% compared to the commercial fuel, the reduction was not sufficient to consider fuel certification.

JET FUEL - It is common practice to blend jet fuel with No. 2 diesel fuel in winter months to reduce the cloud point of the fuel to comply with ASTM D 975. Our understanding of the CARB low aromatics diesel regulation is that winter blending can only be done if each component is a certified fuel. Therefore, typical commercial jet fuel. D. and the same fuel with 0.6% cetane improver additive were tested in Phase F. Table I-9 in Appendix 1 and Table 10 contain the emissions data and the results.

Although NO_x , PM, and SOF were all lowered by the use of the cetane improver additive, the reductions were not sufficient to make the cetane-improved jet fuel a possible candidate for certification. In this case the fuel had a relatively low aromatics content but the cetane number could not be raised high enough to lower the emissions far enough to match reference fuel performance.

OXYGENATES - Phase G included a limited study of the use of an oxygenate component in the fuel. Table 11 includes a list of several oxygenated solvents and their properties. We set the following requirements for selecting the one we tested:

- The oxygenate should be miscible with the diesel fuel.
- 2. Its flash point should not be lower than 140°F.
- Water solubility should be low to avoid haze problems.
- It should have a high oxygen content to be feasible.

It should be noted that the typical amounts of any oxygenate used in diesel fuel (several volume percent) make it a fuel blend component and not a fuel additive. The above four requirements limited the choice of components to two: ethylene glycol monobutyl ether acetate and 2-ethylhexyl acetate. The former was selected for testing

Table 6

CRTC - PI	185ê	9
-----------	------	---

		:	A			<u>م</u> .			Δ.		Ī	2	
		Mean	SDEV	CV %	Mean	SCEV	CV 34	Mean	SDEV	CV N	Mean	j stel	1.
NC		5 49	0.077	1.40	5.48	0.168	3.07	541	0 :29	2 39	4.95	2.13	
PM		0 293	000 0	5 57	0 094	0.007	- 94	0.087	36,	11 53	0.077	1 2 2 2 2	3.1.2
SOF	g bhp-Hr	0.04	0.007	16.58	0.03	0.004	1331	0.03	0.005	<u>'6 96</u>	0.03	G 203	3.58
05		167	0 053	3.*9	1.70	0.15	38.	1 56	0 096	579	: 54	0.279	313
₽C		0.06	0.017	27.65	0.04	C 306	19.26	0.04	0.013	31 -+	0.03	1.00a	28.87
SSFC	(Carbon) Laibhp-Hr	0.39	0 007	' 60	0.39	0.007	167	0 39	0.006	1 59	0.38	,	. 1 32
BSFC	Gravimetrici LbiohpiHr	0.41	0.016	3 89	0.41	0.025	6.10	0.40	0 017	4 21	2.47	213	4.12
Work	onp-Hr	21 75	0 094	0.43	21.76	0.135	0 62	21 75	0.096	044	21 - 13		
		I	1	·	L		L		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Table 7

.

CRTC - Phase C

			•		8°			
		uet.	SOEV	27.5		SOEV	0	
~ .;		5 55	3 0932	- 44	5 43	a 094	1.1	
24		3 795	9 306a		2 293	G 0007	9.79	
SQF	2.040-44	3 33	2 302+	. 29	בכ כ	0 002°	199	
		- 79	0.143	7.99	1.08	2 170	·0 06	
*		133	0.0049	23.01	2.04	0.005	12.34	
857C (Carace up and He	2.29	0 2000	1.75	2.39	20070	60	
∂S ≓C	Gravimence colonormi	: 40	1314	3 53	340	9013	123	
Ngrs	ang. Hr	2.55	5 282	1) 1	21 59	3.00	3 50	
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>. </u>			

Table 9

CRTC - Phase E

		· ·					
		Hear	SCEY	102.5	Mean	iCE.	10
×0,		5 85	3.35	. 75	5	1.1.16	- :0
P14		2104	22039	¦,			1.11
SOF	g the Hr	303	> 2036	1.5.1	:::	1.1.54	
C0		2.06	3 369		1.15	· · ·	·:
~		J 02	3 2074	3. 23	::=	:.:+	.# 1 1
BSFC	Carbon: Lib pro-ref	340	3 9075	1.94	1.45	. 2064	· .
BSFC	Granmatic Labita III	341	1.54	11	1.44	1.13	;
Non.	one-w	21 55	2 25	5.12	31.90	: :•	• • •
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Table 8

CRTC - Phase D

		c-			£			
		Meen	SDEV	CVN	Mean	SOEV	CA .*	
÷Ċ,		5.70	0 0747	1 31	570	3 340	· · ·	
- 14		0.04	0.007	1 97	0.099	0011	1 23	
SCF	3200.00	2 03	0 0012	190	003	0.0039	1.2 9	
		! 94	3 164	9.46	2.00	2 075	377	
-c		274	2 0 29	1 32 - 7	3.04	3016	41.24	
asec	Janages La bras-re	: 10	a 3076	1 49	340	3 0064	· 60	
BSFC	Gravimetric: US bno-m	1 2 47	3 005	1 2*	0 40	0 205	+ 32	
Non	11 810	3 56	2 263	3 29	21.55	30.1	2.23	
				·			<u> </u>	

101 Alfa -

Table 10

CRTC - Phase F

		2						
		Alean .	SOLA !		Sie P.	- 1		
•		3.82	1 490	÷ 56	5 <u>5 6</u> 1	1.1	- 1	
~		1.0.	1 7041	4 ZU	: 392	••••	- 11	
0	0.010-11	200	2:00:2	12				
:0		1.13	3.000	+ 63	. • •	. 4		
╤┥		2.07	20132	-9.66	: :6			
5FC	Carton: Lbipng-ret	340	3 2000	2:8	1.6	· · ·		
5FC	Gravements Lobro-er	34'	20.1	1:9	141			
non t	2014	2.25	3110	1.14			-	

1 4 M 2 1

Divgenate	Flash Point. E	Solubility % Water n Solvent	Oxygen Ma <u>sa P</u> a	Mass 15 Oxygenate 10 14: 0	Vol % Cirygenale s Qt		Cost Est.) S LD	Cos: ⊮Est⊮. \$ Ga:	Entra Cost Mai Si⊂a
Secondary Suby Alcohol	32	30	- 22	<u> </u>	53	5-2	2.67	3 3 C	3.24
sopropy Acetate	35	· · · ·	31	32_	, <u>,</u>	7 26	2 53	3 35	3.2
Ethylene Grycol Monaburyl Ether	143	100	27	· · ·	35	- 19	C 42	3.5	<u>.</u>
Propylene Glycot Methyl Ether	39	100	1 <u>6</u>	23	26	7 55	0.59	45.	<u>) · 2</u>
Bropylene Glyco, Butyl Ether		<u>`45</u>	24	42	<u></u>	- 30	3 60 7.	- 13 6 (L	<u></u>
Dispropyl Ether	52	·:	27	<u></u>	43	508	346	2 30	3 i <u>a</u>
Orm <u>erbyl</u> Carbonate	52		53	• 9	<u>'s</u>	9.3	1 40	:2.45	519
Ethylene Glycol Monoputyl Ether Acetate	:6 <u>5</u>	:6	30	33	32	<u>- 84</u>	083	ן יז 6	3.19
Orbasic Esters	212	31	40	25	z 0_	9.09			
Disoputyl Ketone	140	ca	17	91		6.76	0.62	J 19	
2-E(hyrhexano)	:56	2.5	12	83	<u>as</u>	<u>6 94</u>	<u>9.50</u>	3 47	
2-Elhyihexyi Acetate	-60	0 55	19		5.2	7 27	0.73	5 31	2.28
Ethyl Glyme	81	3.3	27	3.7	3.8	7.00	1 65	11 55	0 44
Butu Dialame	744	• 4	77	د	هد ا	7.36	2 70 - 21	19.87	0.95

Oxygenates as Potential Diesel Fuel Additives

"Costs were obtained from Chemical Marketing Reporter "Not Available

due to its higher flash point and higher oxygen content. Fuel F' was prepared by adding 10 wt % ethylene glycol monobutyl ether acetate to Fuel C'. Reformulated Chevron Special Diesel Fuel. The oxygen content of the resulting blend was 3% by weight.

Table I-10 in Appendix I includes the emissions data from Phase G. This information is summarized in Table 12. PM and CO were each reduced by about 18% but NO_x emissions increased by over 3%. No further testing was conducted using oxygenates due to the adverse effect on NO_x.

DDC ENGINE CONTROL - The 1991 DDC engine used at CRTC should have been a 5-g NO_x engine. Although most fuels tested on this engine were experimental, it was felt that NO_x emissions were higher than expected. A new engine electronic control module was obtained from DDC and installed. Phase H, as presented in Table I-11 in Appendix I and Table 13, includes a series of tests with one fuel. G1; another batch of reformulated Chevron Special Diesel Fuel. Tests were conducted before and after the new control was installed. On the average NO_x emissions were reduced by 7.6%, from 5.54 to 5.12 g/bhp-hr. The previous conclusions are not affected by this change since relative differences were observed. However, comparison of absolute data from this point on to the data prior to this change would have to take this difference into account.

VISCOSITY - The last fuel property considered was viscosity. A noncommercial 10% aromatics diesel fuel, J, with a viscosity of 3.7 cSt at 40°C, was used as one fuel. Fuel J was processed to remove 7% of the light ends of the boiling range and designated Fuel K. Fuel K's viscosity was 4.13 cSt. The goal was to make minimum changes to other properties such as aromatics content and the cetane number in order to test the effect of viscosity alone.

Results from this part of the study, Phase I, are presented in Table I-12 in Appendix I and Table 14. The differences were generally not large enough to be considered for fuel certification. NO_x emissions were raised by 0.5% with the higher viscosity fuel. PM was increased by about 4% and SOF remained constant.

8

Table 12

CRTC - Phase G

					•	۰. ۲			
	_	Wear	<u></u>	<u>- 1</u> 4 %	Mean	. <u>KOS</u> V			
ма <u>,</u>		5.69	: :659	સ	5.52	::e <u>-</u>	· ::		
		<u>.</u>		<u>+_</u> ++	: 97	1 :05	4 15		
<u></u>	\s•s =-	2.17	<u>:::-</u>	: 5'	1.12				
::		:::	1. 1.1.19	: :4	<u> ar</u>	2.12	:9		
	<u> </u>	1.16	:::/	-5.14	1.56	1.14	-1 ÷1		
558C	<u>Darson us ons mi</u>	:	: ::::	: <u>1a</u>	2.41	- :000	: 10		
<u>2561 _</u>	Stav method so ongreet	: 42	1 : : : : :	• 24	: +3	: :06	1.44		
A 21	<u></u>	12:05	1112	1.15	1.1.1	: : -9	: 23		

AROMATICS AND CETANE NUMBER **EFFECTS ON EMISSIONS**

Results presented in the previous section reveal that there. is no inexpensive and simple method to certify a clese. fuel to CARB's requirements. No existing commercial fuel could be modified by simple methods, such as cetane. improver additive addition, to satisfy the test requirements.

A major effort was initiated to study the effects of varying the fuel's aromatics content and detane number simultaneously within a practical range. Our previous experience. suggested aromatics would have to be at or below the 20% level. On the other hand, there was no need to test levels close to 10%, since fuel produced at this level required no certification. We, therefore, selected the fuel aromatics range to be from 13% to 19%. Similar reasoning resulted in selecting a cetane number range of 50 to 58.

Table 14

CRTC - Phase I

		Mean	SOEV .	SV 15	vear	<u>.</u>	•.	
<u>чс,</u>		110	(2.5	מי	<u>; ; ; ;;</u> e	::-	
PH		: 294	: ::::::	5 354	: :96	<u></u>	41.5	
SOF	3 bho Hr	: : :25	1 : 304	-58'-	1 125		4 7 7 7	
<u></u>		- *6	3.42	3 53			. • 1	
-c		<u></u>	1 2211	42 5		<u> : : </u>	··· .	
BSFC	Garoon Libong-Mi	<u>ak</u> t	: 204	: 93	: :d	· :+	•	
BSFC	Grawneme: Lotong-Hr	l <u>:+0</u>	1015	<u>140</u>	1.4	<u> </u>	. ·	
Non	310-41	11.21	: : :29	• • • •				

T	a	b	e	1	3	

CATC - Phase H

		31 Belore			1 		
		Maar	SDEV	200	Wear	iDEY	24.
~0,		5 54	1 7442	387	4.2	1:20	20
- - W	j	1.05	10041	4.45	3.14	1 00 17	- 3
SOF] 	1200	2 2006	2.4	: 13	3.3474	1.2
		- 14	T !::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	111		i	1 63
<u>c</u>		: 33	2 200	26 57	10	2 3039	1.2.89
BSFC	- Carooni Loipne) 40	3 3063	· 54	2.81	3.0051	j - 25
85FC	Gravimerne, up briginn	1 +0	1,	1.00	3.42	2 7052	1 . 34
Ngal	20.01	2: 39	2 3296	<u> </u>	2134	: 24	122

Table	15
-------	----

CRTC - Phase J

			82 (13-50)		C2 (13/58	1}		02 (16 54	կ		E2 - 19 50)i	1	42 13 3 8
		Mean	SOEV	CV %	Mean	SOEV.	CV %	Mean	SDEV		Mean	SDEV	CV N	Mean	<u>30</u> 61 (1.1.)
NO,	<u> </u>	4.50	0 107	5 50	4 72	0114	2 41	4 86	0 098	105	5 00	0.086	• ~2	49	t 191 ⁽ - 85 -
24		0.089	0 004	4 197	3 087	0.004	4 90 *	0.090	0 005	5 040	0.09	0 009	9.77	0.09	
SOF	a bhp-Hr	0.024	0.001	3 973	0 023	0 202	10 099	0.024	0 002	7 055	0.024	0 004	17 208	0.02	<u> 1 10a _ 11 491 </u>
0		1 71	0.046	2.71	1 58	0 101	6 03		0 094	5 45	1 75	3 103	5 87		
нc	1	2 035	0.007	19 260	0 032	0010	32.470	0 <u>02</u> 8	0.010	36 350	0 033	0 008	23 350	2,227_	<u> </u>
asrc	Carbon (LD/D	0 39	0.01	2 63	0 39	0 012	2 98	0 39	0.008	213	0.39	001	2.75	. : 4	tota girae
BSFC	Gravimetric)	0 40	2100	3 37	0.40	0.015	3 68	0 39	0.016	4.17	0 40	0.010	1 29	 2 4	3103 137
Work	are Hr	21 28	1036	2.7	21 29	0.04	2.9	2. 29	\$ 232	2.5	21 29	0.047	: 22	2. 1	



Figure 3 - Five Fuel Test Matrix

A five-fuel test matrix was designed statistically to map out the above ranges of aromatics and cetane number. Figure 3 relates the fuel names, A2, B2, C2, D2, and E2 to these two properties. Additional properties for these five fuels are given in Table 3. Fuels were tested in a 20day period which made it possible to test three fuels per day in a balanced, incomplete block design. One hot-start transient emissions test was conducted per day for each of three fuels. The test sequence was designed so that the last fuel tested on each day was the first fuel tested on the next day. Each fuel was tested the same number of times over the 20-day test period. The complete data set for this series of tests, Phase J, is included in Table II-1 in Appendix II. Table 15 contains the summary of the data.

A statistical analysis was applied to determine if fueleffects in each case were significant at the 95% confitence level. The results are summarized in Table 16, etane number and aromatics have a significant effect on O_x . The Tukey Multiple Comparison Procedure was nilized to determine that, with respect to NO_x emissions, uel C2 was clearly the lowest. Fuels D2, 82, and A2, ere about the same and higher, while E2 was the ghest.

Table 16

The Significance of Fuel Properties on Emissions

	Aromatics			
Yes	Yes			
No	Yes			
No	No			
	Yes No No			



Figure 4 - Distribution of NO_{π} as a Function of Cetane and Aromatics

A predictive model for NO_x as a function of cetane number and aromatics was developed from this data set and is given as follows:

NO_x = 5.296 - (0.0161) (Cetane) + (0.0281) (Aromatics)

An interactive term between cetane and aromatics was found to be insignificant and was, consequently, dropped from the model. Figure 4 is a graphic view of the mapped region of aromatics and cetane number and their effect on NO_x emissions.

REFERENCE FUEL RELATIONSHIP

The final phase of this study at CATC. Phase K. included testing a reference fuel. By this time a limited quantity of reference fuel had been produced in pilot plant facilities at CRTC. Reference fuel production was a very time consuming and expensive process. We estimated the production cost to be well over \$300 per gallon. It was, therefore, necessary to minimize the amount of fuel used in this phase of our study in order to conserve this fuel for certification tests at SwRI.

A 4-day test sequence was chosen to test the reference fuel with the best (C2) and the worst (E2) of the five fuels used in the previous test matrix. One hot-start emissions test was conducted on each of the three fuels per day. This allowed direct comparison of all fuels to the reference fuel. Table II-2 in Appendix II includes the complete data set. Table 17 contains the summary. Tukey's Multiple Comparison Procedure was used to determine which fuels were significantly different at the 95% confidence level. The results are summarized in Table 18. Based on these results we were optimistic that even the worst of the five fuels. E2, with the highest level of aromatics and the lowest cetane number would have a good chance to be certified. This fuel, if certified, would potentially be the least costly of all five to produce

CRTC -	Phase I	< (Reference)
--------	---------	---------------

		C2 - 13.58)				E2 (19/50)			R2 Reference		
	<u></u>	Mean	SDEV	CV %	Mean	SDEV	CV %	Mean	ŞDEV	Ξ <u>γ</u> ÷.	
NO.	g bho-Hr	4 74	0.096	2.03	1 88 £	0.063	1 30	139	0 108	216	
PM		0 088	0.002	2.075	0.096	0.005	5.446	0.1	0.005	1	
SOF		0.023	0 002	7 938	0.025	0.003	10.328	0.03	0.001	5 163	
<u></u>		• 75	0.094	5.36	1 86	0.135	7 24	1.98	0.103	521	
HC		0.02	0 005	22 22	0.03	0.005	15 38	<u>0 03</u>	0.005	· 9 · 9	
BSFC	(Carbon) Lb/bhp-Hr	0.38	0.006	1.50	0.38	0.005	1 31	0.38	0.01	2,60	
BSFC_	(Gravimetric) Lb.bhp-Hr	0.39	0.015	3.82	0.40	0.008	2.04	G.4	0.019	± 78	
Work	bhp-Hr	21.30	0.047	0.22	21.48	0 294	1 37	2.3	2 023		

FUEL CERTIFICATION ATTEMPTS

We attempted to certify five fuels at SwRI following the conclusion of the research effort at CRTC. These fuels are listed in Table 19 with additional properties provided in Table 3. The first three fuels were selected from the five-fuel test matrix discussed above. The final fuels, F2 and G2, were new formulations developed based on the results from the first three. CARB regulations and procedures described in an earlier section of this paper were followed throughout each qualification test. The hot-start emissions test option was selected. This option requires a minimum of 10 days of testing but has the most attractive statistical equivalency test. The test order on each day consisted of testing the reference fuel once, the candidate fuel twice, and the reference fuel once again.

Tables III-1 through III-5 in Appendix III contain the complete set of results for each fuel tested. Mean and standard deviation values for each case are shown on the bottom of each table. Testing for Fuel E2 was halted after 4 days when it became obvious that it had no chance to pass. This would have been the most economic of all fuels to produce since it had the highest aromatics level and the lowest cetane number.

Table 18

The Significance of Fuel Differences in Emissions

	⊟ 2 Vs. €2	R2 Vs. C2	E2 Vs. C2
NOx	No	Yes	Yes
Particulate	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOF	No	Yes	Yes

Table 19

Fuels Used in the Certification Process

Fuel Name	Aromatics. Wt % (SFC)	Cetane Number	Sulfur. Wt.ppm	Test Result
E2	18.5	50	54	Failed
A2	19	58	54	Passed
D2	16	55	11	Failed
F2	19	59	196	Passed
G2	15	55	202	Passed

Fuel A2 with the same level of aromatics but much higher cetane number passed and became the first fuel to be certified by CARB. Although NO_x emissions were 0.92% higher than that of the reference fuel, the equivalency criterion in the regulation, which includes a 2% tolerance level for NO_x, allowed the fuel to pass. Reference to Table III-2 will also show that HC emissions for this fuel were 37.5% better than the reference fuel. CO was 24% better, particulate was 2.5% lower, and SOF was 12% lower. In fact in all cases, including the failed attempts the HC and CO benefit is impressive, despite the fact they are not credited in the regulations.

Fuel D2 with a lower aromatics level along with lower cetane number was tested next. This fuel failed narrowly

The above three fuels, including A2 which bassed inadivery low sulfur levels to ensure a sufficiently low emissions level for particulate matter. Examination of the results indicated that there was a good chance to horease the sulfur level to a more practical level in future rests.

计开始 辨過 经收益 计通知通知 适应 化二乙二乙二乙二乙二乙二乙烯的 化无间接 化可能加强加 医硬色 化合合物 化法定量分子



Figure 5 - CARB Diesel Qualification Test, Fuel F2 (19:59/200)

Fuel F2 was designed to be very similar to the first certified fuel with the exception of the sulfur level being around 200 ppm. This fuel passed narrowly. In order to demonstrate how close test results from the reference and the candidate fuels are, we plotted the single-day NO_x results of this test in Figure 5. The goal was to design fuels which would pass the certification test yet be as economic as possible to produce. For NO_x, there was very little "give-away" in properties in all cases where we passed the test. There was substantial "give-away" for the other four emissions measured.

Although Fuel F2's aromatics and sulfur levels are of practical use to most refineries, its high cetane number, 59, was of some concern to refineries which process crude types that yield diesel fuel with a low natural cetane number. If the cetane number is too low it cannot be raised to 59 regardless of the amount of cetane improver used. Excessive amounts of cetane improver would also increase the production cost of the fuel significantly. Fuel 32 with lower cetane number and aromatics, was formunted as an alternative and tested. It too passed the NO_x equirements narrowly as demonstrated in Figure 6. Apying the equivalency criterion, this fuel passed the NO_x equirement by only 0.12%.

accessful certification of Fuels F2 and G2 will give efineries the flexibility of producing either a higher aromatics/higher cetane number fuel or a lower aromatics/ lower cetane number fuel.

COST-EFFECTIVENESS

Having succeeded in defining fuels equivalent to the CARB-defined 10% aromatics reference fuel, we are now in a position to do a rough estimate of the relative costeffectiveness of alternative emissions reduction strategies.

The orgoing National Petroleum Council (NPC) study (11) has estimated the added cost to produce CARB (10% aromatics) diesel fuel, above and beyond the cost of the .05 wt% sulfur diesel required by the EPA regulation.



Figure 6 - CARB Diesel Qualification Test, Fuel G2 (15/55/200)

at 10c/gal. As a starting point for this estimate, the NPC study assumes a cetane number of 45 and an aromatics content of 30 vol %, as representative of a typical U.S. dieselfuel. This cost estimate is in reasonable agreement with an earlier published estimate of 13c/gal. (12).

The cost to produce diesel fuel meeting the compositional constraints which this study has demonstrated provide equivalent NO_x emissions (and superior PM. HC, and CO emissions) to CARB's reference fuel, which will be somewhat refinery-specific. However, it is nonetheless possible to estimate that cost by assuming a fuel blending strategy which many refiners are likely to find attractive. This approach would involve producing a true 10% aromatics fuel, blending it with conventional low sulfur diesel to achieve the target aromatics level, and then treating that mixture with cetane improver to achieve the target cetane number.

Assuming that the conventional low sulfur diesel has an aromatics content equal to the NPC study baseline of 30%, hitting the target aromatics level of 19% would require a blend of 55% low aromatics stock and 45% low sulfur stock. Using the NPC estimate of 10c/gal, for the 10% aromatics stock, the incremental cost of this blend would be 5c/gal.

We estimate that the base cetane number of this blend would be about 48, and for this case the final cetane target is 58 to 59. Although this varies a great deal from fuel to fuel, commercial cetane improvers typically require a treat rate of 0.3 vol % to achieve a 10-number increase (13). At current market prices, this treat rate would cost about 1.5c/gal.

The total incremental cost of the alternative diesel is then 7c/gal. (5.5+1.5), using the assumed blending strategy. Since the NO_x emissions of the alternative are equivalent to those produced by the reference fuel, the relative NO_x cost-effectiveness is just the ratio of the two costs 7/10 = 0.7. Thus, the alternative is 30% more cost-effective than 10% aromatics diesel for NO_x alone. If

tredit is taken for the additional emissions reductions yielded by the alternative formula (>10%) ower SOF. >30% lower HC. >20% lower CO1 relative to the reference fuel, the cost-effectiveness of the alternative is even more attractive.

A final point worth re-emphasizing is that detane improvement yields substantial reductions in HC and CO emissions; benefits which are not realized in a NO₄ reduction strategy based on aromatics reduction.

SUMMARY

A research program was carried out by Chevron Research and Technology Company to evaluate the effects of diesel fuel properties on heavy-duty engine emissions in order to formulate alternative fuels which comply with the California low aromatics diesel regulations. Data were generated at the chassis dynamometer facility at CRTC using a heavy-duty vehicle equipped with a 1991 DDC Series 60 engine. Fuel certification tests were conducted at SwRI using a similar engine.

The effects of a number of fuel properties on emissions were investigated to identify less costly alternatives to aromatics reduction. These included:

- 1. Lowering the end point of the boiling range:
- Using a cetane-improved commercial fuel;
- Using an oxygenated component:
- 4. Adjusting the fuel viscosity:
- 5. Using a cetane-improved jet fuel.

Since none of the above measures resulted in formulating a CARB certifiable fuel, a statistically designed five-fuel test matrix was designed to study the effects of varying fuel aromatics content and cetane number simultaneously, within practical ranges. NO_x emissions from fuels with a range of aromatics, 13% to 19%, and cetane number, 50 to 58, were mapped. A model based on these two properties was developed. Additional tests were carried out to relate the emissions of these five fuels to those of a CARB reference fuel.

A total of five fuels were formulated based on the results of this research and tested at SwRI for CAR8 certification. Three fuels passed the tests enabling Chevron to be the first to receive CAR8 certification for an alternative diesel fuel.

It is important to note that data in this study were generated using one engine at CRTC and a similar engine at SwRI. This type of engine represents the type approved by CARB for fuel certification testing. Other types of heavy-duty engines may exhibit a different fuel/engine interaction but were not investigated in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

 In this study. NOx equivalency was the most difficult parameter in the CARB alternative rule to satisfy.

2. Adjusting fuel properties other than aromatics was not enough to reduce the exhaust emissions sufficiently to enable a fuel to become a potential candidate for CARE gualification.

 Lowering the end point of the fuel's boiling range from 330°C (625°F) to 316°C (600°F) did not affect its NO₄ emissions.

4. Currently produced Los Angeles reformulated Chevron Special Dieset Fuel, with up to 0.6 wt% cetane improver added, could not be improved sufficiently for CARB certification.

 Jet fuel additized with 0.6 wt% cetane improver lowered NO_x, PM, and SOF but the reductions were not sufficient to attempt the gualification test.

 The use of an oxygenate blend component increased NO_x by over 3%. PM and CO were each reduced by about 18%.

7. Increasing viscosity from 3.7 to 4.13 cSt by front-end distillation adjustment directionally increased NO_x . PM and CO, and directionally reduced HC. However, none of these effects was sufficient to attempt to quality the fuel for certification.

Both aromatics and cetane number affect NO₃ emissions significantly. Therefore, in addition to a nignicetane number, and in order to certify a fuel, the aromatics content of the fuel must be reduced substantially below the current commercial levels.

 HC and CO emissions benefits, relative to the CARB reference fuel, were significant in all cases, including fuels which failed the certification test.

10. Successful certification of a 19% aromatics. 59 cetane number fuel, and a 15% aromatics, 55 cetane number fuel, will give a refinery the much needed flexibility of producing either a higher aromatics/higher cetane number fuel or a lower aromatics/lower cetane fuel.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wisnes to acknowledge the contributions made by the members of the project group including Messrs. Mathew Ansarr, Cliff Peterson, Mike Long, Gabriel Flores, and Ron Schlieper, Mr. R.F. Sullivan provided invaluable contributions in formulating and providing the reference fuel used in this program. Mr. Jim Rutherford and Ms. Teri Crosby were responsible for the statistical design of the tests and additional analysis. Messrs, J. J. Lienau and Zoltan Saary compiled the list of oxygenates. Mr. Dan Montalvo and his team at SwRI provided an excellent and efficient process for fuel certification testing. Mr. Gilles Eberhard is also acknowledged for his valuable advice during the start of this research program.

REFERENCES

- California Air Resources Board (CARB), "Amendments to Title 13. California Code of Regulations. Section 2282: Final Regulation Order." December 26, 1991
- California Air Resources Board. "Technical Support Document for Proposed Adoption of Regulations Limiting the Aromatics Hydrocarbon Content of Motor Vehicle Diesel Fuel," October 1988.
- Ullman, T. L., "Investigation of the Effects of Fuel Composition and Injection and Combustion System Type on Heavy-Duty Diesel Exhaust Emissions." Southwest Research Institute Report Prepared for the Coordinating Research Council, Inc., March 1989.
- Ullman, T. L. "Investigation of the Effects of Fuel Composition on Heavy-Duty Diesel Engine Emissions." SAE Technical Paper 892072. September 25-28, 1989.
- Ullman, T. L., Mason R. L., Montaivo, D. A., "Study of Fuel Cetane Number and Aromatic Content Effects on

Regulated Emissions From a meavy-Duty Diese Engine, "Southwest Research Institute Report Prepared for the Coordinating Research Council, Inc., September 1990.

- Ullman, T. L., Mason, R. L., Montaivo, D. A., 'Effects of Fuel Aromatics, Cetane Number, and Cetane Improver on Emissions From a 1991 Prototype Heavy-Duty Diesel Engine," SAE Technical Paper 902171, October 22-25, 1990.
- Thompson, E. D., Ansari, M., Eberhard, G. A., 'A Truck and Bus Chassis Dynamometer Developed for Fuels and Lubricants Research," SAE Technical Paper 902112, October 22-25, 1990.
- Office of the Federal Register. National Archives and Records Administration, Code of Federal Regulations. Title 40. Chapter 1, Subchapter C, Part 86. Subpart N. 1989.
- Eberhard, G. A., Ansari, M., Hoekman, S. K., "Emissions and Fuel Economy Test Results for Methanoland Diesel-Fueled Buses," Air and Waste Management Association Paper 89-9.4, June 25-30, 1989.
- Eberhard, G. A., Ansari, M., Hoekman, S. K., "Emissions and Fuel Economy Tests of a Methanol Bus with a 1988 DDC Engine," SAE Technical Paper 900342, February 26-March 2, 1990.
- Private communication with R. B. Warden, Chevron Research and Technology Company.
- 12. "Status Report: Diesel Engine Emissions Reductions Through Modification of Motor Vehicle Diesel Fuel Specifications." California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division. Strategy Assessment Branch. October 1984.
- 13. "Ethyl Diesel Ignition Improvers," Ethyl Corporation.

SwRI - Phase A

	[Hot-St	art Emissio	- פרל ב אח	ir							
		e,	Particula	ale Matter	S	.OF		+Ç	0	:o	B3 Le t	SEC Moren	Actua	Nors or The
	7	2	A'	P	7.	P	A	P	د	ρ	4	2		7
DB 21 91	4.0	j 38	3:15	2:38	0.0507	0 0546	0146	2:11	: 26	1 ! 1	0 392	0 397	1 1. 24	21.37
	1:6	3 97	0.177	0 '36	0.0505	0 0551	0.157	0.35	: 34	1:2	0 199	0.387	22.24	21.86
		3 94		0.37		0.0580	 	0.2	İ	1 15		0.291	i	21.34
	<u> </u>	3 93		a 131		0.0538	1	0.24	-	1 1 1	<u> </u>	3 394	<u> </u>	21.95
03 22 91	695 د	3 868	0.168	0.134	0.0687	0 0328	0.141	0.120	1 254	1.047	0 401	0 382	32.21	22 **
	4 158	3919	0.166	0.137	0.0568	0 0344	0.161	0.125	1 311	. 126	Q.399	0.387	22.15	22.18
	\$ 141		0.168		0.0370	†	0.14	† —	· 27		0 3 98	1	22.15	<u> </u>
	4 229	-	Q 167		0.0374		0 151		1 293	†	0 392	†	22.15	

Table I-2

CRTC - Phase B (Week 1)

				Hot-Siar	t Emissi	ons, gibhr	o-Hr	BSFC	. Lb/bhp-Hr
Fuet L	D.	Work, php-Hr	со	NO,	нс	PM	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
D 4342	A	21.89	1.66	5 56	0.09	0 097	0.041	0.40	0.42
D 4342	A .	21.66	1 68	5.54	0.06	0 098	0.047	0.39	0.41
O 4342	•	21.64	1.67	5 59	0.05	0.099	0.041	0.39	0.39
O 4342	•	21.81	1.65	5.62	0.05	0.099	0.040	0.38	0.42
D 4631	A*	21.87	1.73	5.38	0.06	0.092	0.035	0.40	0.41
D 4631	A	21.83	1,75	5.42	0.05	0.097	0.037	0.40	0.40
D 4631	۸.	21.82	1,71	5.44	0.05	0.093	0.038	0.39	0.38
D 4631	۸*	21.85	1 65	5 37	0.04	0 092	0.036	0.39	0.41
D 4630	*	22.00	1.50	5 38	0.03	0.087	0.034	0 39	0.40
D 4830	*	21.90	1.55	5.37	0.05	0.088	0.034	0.39	0.40
D 4630	A'	21.93	1.54	521	0.06	0.091	0.037	0.38	0 37
0 4530	A'	21.87	1.53	5.21	0.04	0.089	0.036	0 38	0.37
0 4632	P	21.57	1.43	4.67	0.03	0.076	0.033	0.38	0.38
D 4632	P	21.54	1.37	4 70	0.05	0 075	0.034	0.37	0.38
D 4632	Р	21.33	1 51	4.78	0.05	0.078	0.030	0.38	0 39
D 4632	P	21.45	1,47	4.70	0.05	0.078	0 033	0.37	0.41

15

and the second state of th

								<u></u>	
				Hot-Star	t Emissi	ons, gibri	<u></u>	BSFC	LD Bho-Hr
Fijert	D.	Work, php-Hr	co	i NO,	нс	РМ	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
C 4531	A-	21.76	1 67	5.25	0.05	0.090	¢.028	0.38	0.39
C ±631	A.	2* 94	1 50	5.19	3.07	0.086	0.031	0.38	0.38
0 4631	A	2, 33	1 48	5.20	0.04	0.084	0.030	0.38	0.40
D 4631	A.	21 37	: 47	5.20	0.04	0.085	0.029	0.38	037
0 4342	A	21 83	1.60	5.45	0.08	0 063	0.033	0 38	0.39
D 4342	Ā	21 82	: 62	5 45	0.07	J 086	0.030	0.38	0.39
D 4342	-	21.77	1.65	5.42	0.06	0 086	0.029	0.36	0.39
D 4342	A	21 77	1 65	5.42	0.05	0.090	0.031	0.38	043
D 4632	P	21.94	1.54	4 88	0.04	0.073	0.029	0.38	0 40
D 4632	Р	21.47	1,56	4 89	0.04	0.074	0.031	0.38	0.42
O 4632	P	21 43	1.53	4.96	0.03	0.074	0.036	0.38	0.41
0 4632	P	21.43	1.54	4.95	0.03	0.075	0.028	0.38	0.41
D 4630	A'	21.65	1.89	5.58	0.05	0.099	0.030	0.39	0.46
0 4630	A '	21.61	1.90	5.63	0.05	0.100	0.031	0.39	0.42
D 4630	A'	21.78	1.70	5.54	0.04	0.093	0 032	0.39	0.41
D 4630	A'	21.76	1.73	5.48	0.04	0.095	0.033	0.39	0.41

Table 1-4

CRTC - Phase B (Week 3)

				- Hot-Slav	t Emissi	ons. g/bhj	p-Hr	BSFC	Lorbho-Hr
Fuel U	0.	Work, bhp-Hr	co	NO _x	HC	PM	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
D 4630	A	21.83	1.59	5.36	0.04	0.083	0.026	0.39	0.40
D 4630	*	21.81	1.56	5.32	0.03	0.083	0.028	0.36	0.40
D 4342		21.73	1.69	5.49	0.04	0.093	0.033	0.39	0.40
D 4342		21.63	1.73	5.51	0.04	0.097	0.034	0.39	0.41
0 4631	*	21.75	1.62	5.49	0.02	0.067	0.024	0.39	0.40
D 4631	A-	21.69	1.64	5.51	0.03	0.068	0.025	0 39	0.40
D 4631	A*	21.66	1 68	5.50	0.03	0.093	0.035	0.39	0 40
Q 4631	A-	21.67	1.69	5.50	0.03	0.097	0.038	0.39	0.41
D 4632	P	21.49	1.52	4.87	0.03	0.076	0.032	0.37	0 42
0 4632	P	21 38	1 57	4.91	0.03	0.078	0.032	0.37	0.41

<u>. Bernder</u> der

10 Julie 14

.

,

.

CRTC -	Phase B	(Week 4)
--------	---------	----------

			[Hot-Star	• Emissi	ons gibn	p.Hr	BSFC	
Fuell	о 	Wark Dho-Hr	i co	i i NO _N	⊢rc	PM	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
0 4632	2	21.39	163	5 22	0.03	0.076	9 037	0.39	9.43
D 4632	P	21.34	1 62	514	0.03	3 079	0.034	0.19	<u>0</u> 43
D 4632	T P	21 33	1 64	5 23	0.03	0.080	0.037	039	-) 43
5 4632	1	21 35	163	5.26	0.02	0.081	0.034	0.38	7.43
D 4630	A	21 69	1 82	5 60	0.05	0.099	0.034	0.40	0.41
C 4630	A'	21.62	: 60	5.67	0.04	0.101	0.036	0.40	0.44
D 4630	Â	21 60	1.84	5 68	0.04	0.102	0.038	0.40	3.40
D 4630	A	21.60	1 86	5.66	0.04	0.106	0 040	0.40) 44
D 4631	A ⁻	21 69	1.72	5 55	0.03	•		0 39	J. 42
D 4631	A ⁻	21.68	1.75	5.39	0.04			0.39	0,41
D 4631	Α-	21.63	1.72	5.48	3.04		····	0.39	0.43
0 4631	٨.	21.58	1 76	5 56	0.03		1	0.39	0.43
0 4342	A	21.73	1.75	5.56	0.05			0 39	0 42
0 4342	*	21 66	1 79	5.40	0.04		†	0.39	044
D 4342		21 62	1.61	5.38	<u>0.07</u>			0.39	Q 41
D 4342	A	21.61	1 67	5.47	0.08			J. 40	0.41

Table I-6

				Hot-Sia	n Emissio	ns, ganp-n	·	BSEC. Libbro-Hr		
Fuel	.D.	Work bhp-Hr	co	NO	, ⊬c	PM	sor	Caroon	Grayimetric	
O 4630	A '	21.75	160	5.40	0.04	0.087	0.035	0.38	0.39	
0 4630	*	21 75	1.63	5.56	0.04	0.090	0.036	0 39	0 39	
D 4670	6.	21.71	1.59	5.56	0.04	0 085	0 033	0 40	0 36	
D 4670	9°	21.70	163	5.55	0.04	0.091	3.036	0.39	ec o	
0 4870	ê"	21.60	1 72	5 48	3.04	ତ ୦୨୨୦	0 031	340	0.4:	
0 4670	8.	21 53	1 75	5 39	0.04	0.096	0.000	0.40	041	
0 4630	*	21 81	1.85	5 51	0.03	0.094	0 032	0.39	040	
0 4630	*	21 56	188	5.54	0.00	0 101	2 032	0.39	0 3 7	
0 4670	6.	21 62	1 60	5 33	0.04	0.085	0.059	0 39	0.41	
D 4670	8.	21 65	1 53	5.31	0.04	0.054	0 030	0.38	0 40	
C 4630	*	21 58	196	5 67	6 63	0.103	0 030	0.40	0 41	
J 4630	X	21 58	1 619	5 65	\$0.C	0.101	0.030	0.40	0.41	
D 4630	*	21.71	1 69	549	0.04	0 0 88	0 032	0.39	040	
0 46 70	8.	21 46		5 44	0.03	0.105	0 034	0.39	0+2	
C 4670	9-	21 42	2.04	5 39	0.02	3176	2 232	9 29	2 41	

CRTC - Phase C

<u> </u>	and the second	- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	<u> </u>	to at a plant	1997 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 -

				ot-5ia	<u>in Emissions</u>	3 600-44		35FC	La brailHr
e _{be}	· D	Work, php4r	co	NO.	−c	₽₩	SOF	Darbort	Gravimersc
2.4915	<u> </u>	21.78	- 2	5 5 3	2.04	2.091	2 029	0.39	0.40
0.4672	i	21 73		5 5Đ	2.05	0.030	0.029	2.39	3 40
Ç +684	Ē	21 54	36	5 63	3.02	0 098	0.029	D 40	2.43
C 4664	É	27.50	14	5 59	<u>a</u> 33	0.097	0.029	2 39	0.40
0 46 84	E	21 59	- 78	575	2 38	0.074	2.021	0 40	241
D 4684	Ξ	21 52	: 37	ság	2 05	0 100	0.029	2.41	2.41
D 4672	i c	21 64	2 14	571	0.02	0 107	0.027	D 40	3.41
C 4672	Ç.	21 59	2.14	575	0.03	0.138	0.029	0.4C	3 41
C -1684	Ē	21 61	1.96	5 76	3.64	0 097	0.027	D 40	-2.40
۵ ع68 4	E'	21 54	: 98	5.75	3 64	C 154	1 0 031	0 40) 4G
0 4672	Ċ	21 65	2.05	5~8	0.05	0 106	0 029	2.40	0 40
C +672	C.	21 63	5.02	5 77	0 04	0:25	0.030	J 40	3.41
0 4672	C.	21 66	1 99	5.70	0.03	0.101	0 030	041	041
D 4672	С.	2161	2.01	5.72	0.04	0.104	0.031	Q 61	3 41
3 4684	E.	21 49	2.11	5 69	0.04	3.107	3.032	0 41	341
9 4684	£'	21 43	2 14	5 69	0.04	0111	0 033	0.40	0.41

CRTC - Phase D

Table I-8

CRTC - Phase E

				Mai Sia	n Emissions	- <u>3 000-01</u>		BSEC	
Fugi	0	Work bho-Hr	co	NO,	HC	PŅ	SOF	Carbon	Grav metric
D 4672	<u> </u>	2' 63	2 / 5	5 93	0 03	00	0 005	041	3 43
9 4572	<u> </u>	21 63	216	5.65	0.04	0110	0 041	042] d1
0 45.78	с.	21 54	2.23	5 55	0 00	2112	0 037	J 40	3 40
C 4678	- <u>ċ</u> -	21 53	2.25	561	- 444	0 ' 12	0 031	0.40	740
<u>0 4678</u>	- <u>c-</u>	21 65	1.90	5.75	0 03	0 0 96	0 0 29	040	341
0 4678	_ c.	21.61	1 89	5.77	0.00	0.097	0 032	3 4 0	343
C 4672	Ç.	21 64	198	5 82	0 02	0.099	0.030	3 40	3-0
C 4672	ċ	21 62	2 00	5.66	0.02	0°C	0 031	0 40	2.40
2 4678		21 72	† 64	5.96	0 OZ	ა ი96	0 032	0 41	0.45
E 4678	2.	21 67	+ 90	5.85	202	0.097	0 032	0.40	3 40
0 4672	<u>с</u>	21 66	207	\$ 78	0.02	3 103	3 03 1	0 40	36 ن
0 4672	=	2' 63	2 04	\$ 75	0.01	0 1 05	ə 0 02	0.40	2.41
D 4672	<u>ر</u>	21 69	5 06	5.96	0.03	1.04	3 529	0.41	(J.41
O 4572	c ·	21 68	2.02	5 80	3 03	0.00	2 030	3 40	0.41
0 4678	t- <u>c</u>	2. 56	2 : *	581	0 03	190.0	0.022	0+0	04 C
D 4678	<u> </u>	2' 5'	5.8	583	1 O C	C 109	3 029	0 19	Ç 40

.

.

.

.

and a state of the

				-cr-Sra	<u>~ 6ss as</u>	- <u>7 000-</u>		6SFC	.0 010 -4
5.er	0	Non pro	:0	NO.	C	3M	50F	Caroon	l Gravener d
2 468.	1 :	1.22	. 31	3 * 3	: :e	3.135	: 235	3.40	341
0 468 '	†⊸-	." 20	- 22	3 ⁻ 6	2.15	1-24	::3*	1 ÷C	345
0 4682	• ••	21.27	- 4	506	2.24	3 297	: 331	: 40	2.43
0 +682				3.64	2 ÇA	1072	: :22	3.40	2.42
0 4662	<u> </u>	21 25	: ÷9	5-50	306	• • • • • •		; 40	141
D 4682	<u>†</u>	2. 2.	· 58	1 52	; 37	∋ī;ģa	: :32	743	1 42
D 458 !	ݱ╶╍╴	2. 28		3 55	0.06	CEC :	: : 36	: 39	
0 +681	Þ	21 28	- +3	3 65	3 08	0 297	1 035	0) 9	; 12
D 4682	<u>.</u> .	21 27	: 58	5.72	2.05	0.094	: 345	140	2.41
D 4682	3-	21 26	• 73	5 68) OS	2 395	0 037	1 +0	2.42
0 4681	5	21 26	3.2	5 86	25	2 : 32	0 034	0.40	···· : 4'
0 4661	2	21 29	2 21	5 84	0.07	0.008	0 03 6	3 4 1	
0		27 24	. 38	4.07	2.09	2.05	0 337	0.47	7.42
0 4681	0	21 22	2.00	5 99	0 O B	3 102	0 336	J 41	2.41
0 4682	~ ~	21 27	: 75	573	107	0 296	0 000	J 40	2.41
0 4682	- D-	21 22	1.76	5 82	0 06	J 097	0.034] 41	2.45

CRTC - Phase F

Table I-10

CRTC - Phase G

		Γ		unt Eta	d 5	-		0555	
<u> </u>		r		401-3ra	C Emişaiona.	g one-m	1	- 50rc	
Fuerl	0	Work, and Hr	co	NO	нc	PM	SOF	Cartoon	Gravimetric
D 4696	F	21 23	208	5 79				D 4 1	344
D 4696	F.	21 33	7 88	5 65	0.07	0.098	0.045	0.41	042
0 4572	C.	21 25	2.25	5.71	0.04	0117	0 032	0.41	J 42
D 4672	C.	21.36	2 27	5.65	0.05	0.12:	0.031	0.40	3.41
D 4672	Ċ.	21 01	215	5.83	0.04	0112	0.029	0.41	0 42
0 4672	Ċ.	21 32	2 17	5 80	0.05	0.116	0 031	0.41	J 42
C +899	F	21 29	1 65	5 96	0.04	0.087	0 029	341	743
D 4696	F	21 28	: 65	591	0.04	0 089 0	0 0 30	Q.41	243
D 4872	Ċ.	21.3Z	2 02	5 66	0'07	a 107	10 031	0.41	0.41
D 4672	Ċ.	21.29	2 (17	5.69	308	0.1 09	0.035	G.41	Q41
D 4696	f	21.21	1.74	5 93	0.06	0 085	0.032	0.41	0.43
C 4696	F'	21 21	1.74	586	004	0.087	0.034	0.41	343
C 4696	F.	21 19	1 68	5 81	3.06	3 081	0 031	0.41	344
D 4696	F	2* 20	' 69	5 92	224	3.080	0 0 30	Q.4L	343
0 4672	С.	2. 3.	2 37	5 68	0 05	3 • 24	0 0 30	0.41	0.42
0 4672	C.	21 30	2 42	5 54	0 05	0 129	0.031	341) 42

· 【】谢· 是一个事件的事件。

CRIC * F11430 N	CRI	'C -	Phase	н
-----------------	-----	------	-------	---

			Het St	art Emissions	g pro-Hr		BSEC	LD DOD-HY
D	Work one-Hr	co	NO.	-c	PM	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
5:	21 35	- 34	5 5ū	C 04	0.099	0.028	3 40	0 4 C
<u>.</u>	21.35	. 39	5 55	0 60	3 °01	0.029	0+0	0.40
G:	2: 19	2.00	5 82	0.02	0 : 0 4	0.029	0.39	7.40
Ĵ.	21.40	2.67	5.58	0 03	0.10 9	0 030	<u>5</u> 40 -	0.40
G.	21 4:	2 07	5.52	ა ი2	0110	0 0 30	-0 ±0	040
G:	21 42	2 04	\$ 50	0.03	0 109	0.030) +0	3 40
G1	21 32	2.5	\$ 16	0.03	0,114	0.024	-3 4 2	÷ 42
G!	21 27	- 99	5.09	304	0.109	0 026	0.41	C 4:
Gī	21 34	2.20	5 15	0.03	0.116	0.025	J 41	J 12
G١	21.40	2.06	\$ 06	0.03	0110	0.025	<u>C.</u> 41	04'
G1	21.36	2.08	5 11	0.03	Q.110	0.027	0.41	0.42
Ģ١	21.36	2.33	\$ •5	0.03	0 * 24	0.027	0.42	0 42
	5 5 6 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7	Work one-Hr 51 21 35 31 21 35 G1 21 35 G1 21 40 G1 21 42 G1 21 32 G1 21 34 G1 21 40 G1 21 40 G1 21 40 G1 21 34 G1 21 36	J Work one-Hr CO 51 21:35 1:34 51 21:35 1:34 51 21:35 1:38 G1 21:35 1:39 G1 21:19 2:00 G1 21:40 2:07 G1 21:42 2:04 G1 21:32 2:2 G1 2:1:32 2:2 G1 2:1:32 2:2 G1 2:1:32 2:2 G1 2:1:34 2:20 G1 2:1:40 2:06 G1 2:1:36 2:33	Het St J Work one-Hr CO $4O_x$ J1 21 35 1 34 3 50 J1 21 35 1 38 5 33 G1 21 35 1 38 5 33 G1 21 35 1 38 5 35 G1 21 35 1 38 5 52 G1 21 40 2 07 5 58 G1 21 42 2 04 \$ 50 G1 21 32 2 12 \$ 16 G1 21 34 2 20 \$ 15 G1 21 40 2 06 \$ 56 G1 21 40 2 06 \$ 56 G1 21 40 2 08 \$ 11 G1 21 36 2 33 \$ 15	Het Start Emissions J Work one-Hr CO 4O2 HC J1 21/35 1/34 5/50 0/04 J1 21/35 1/36 5/55 0/02 G1 21/35 1/36 5/56 0/02 G1 21/35 1/36 5/56 0/02 G1 21/35 1/36 5/56 0/03 G1 21/32 2/07 5/58 0/03 G1 21/42 2/04 5/50 0/03 G1 21/32 2/2 5/16 0/03 G1 21/32 2/2 5/16 0/03 G1 21/32 2/2 5/16 0/03 G1 21/34 2/20 5/15 0/03 G1 21/34 2/20 5/15 0/03 G1 21/36 2/08 5/11 0/03 G1 21/36 2/08 5/11 0/03	Het Statt Emissions gjoro.ни J Work ono-ни CO 4Q2 HC PM 51 21.35 1.34 5.50 0.04 0.099 31 21.35 1.36 5.55 0.03 0.101 G1 21.35 1.36 5.62 0.02 0.104 G1 21.40 2.07 5.58 0.03 0.109 G1 21.42 2.07 5.52 0.02 0.110 G1 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.109 G1 21.32 2.12 5.16 0.03 0.114 G1 21.32 2.12 5.16 0.03 0.114 G1 21.32 2.12 5.16 0.03 0.114 G1 21.34 2.20 5.15 0.03 0.114 G1 21.40 2.06 5.56 0.03 0.116 G1 21.40 2.06 5.56 0.03 0.110 <	Het Start Emissions gloro.HM J Work ono-HM CO NO2 HC PM SOF 51 21.35 1.84 5.50 0.04 0.099 0.028 31 21.35 1.98 5.55 0.03 0.101 5.029 G1 21.19 2.00 5.62 0.02 0.104 0.029 G1 21.40 2.07 5.58 0.03 0.109 0.030 G1 21.42 2.07 5.52 0.02 0.110 0.029 G1 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.109 0.030 G1 21.32 2.12 5.16 0.03 0.114 0.024 G1 21.32 2.12 5.16 0.03 0.114 0.025 G1 21.32 2.12 5.16 0.03 0.110 0.025 G1 21.34 2.20 5.15 0.03 0.110 0.025 G1 21.36 2.08 </td <td>Hot Star Emissions g.bro.Hv BSFC 10 Work bno.Hv CO NOx HC PM SOF Carbon 51 21.35 1.34 3.50 0.03 3.101 5.029 0.328 0.40 31 21.35 1.34 5.55 0.03 3.101 5.029 0.40 31 21.35 1.34 5.55 0.03 3.101 5.029 0.40 31 21.35 1.34 5.55 0.03 0.104 0.029 0.39 31 21.39 2.00 5.62 0.02 0.104 0.029 0.39 31 21.42 2.07 5.52 0.02 0.110 0.030 0.40 31 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.110 0.024 0.40 31 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.110 0.026 0.41 31 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.109 0.026 <</td>	Hot Star Emissions g.bro.Hv BSFC 10 Work bno.Hv CO NOx HC PM SOF Carbon 51 21.35 1.34 3.50 0.03 3.101 5.029 0.328 0.40 31 21.35 1.34 5.55 0.03 3.101 5.029 0.40 31 21.35 1.34 5.55 0.03 3.101 5.029 0.40 31 21.35 1.34 5.55 0.03 0.104 0.029 0.39 31 21.39 2.00 5.62 0.02 0.104 0.029 0.39 31 21.42 2.07 5.52 0.02 0.110 0.030 0.40 31 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.110 0.024 0.40 31 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.110 0.026 0.41 31 21.42 2.04 5.50 0.03 0.109 0.026 <

Tests 1-6. Before New Control Tests 7-12. Alter New Control

Table I-12

CRTC - Phase I

		-							
				Hol-Sta	rt Emissions	g bho-Hr		BSFC.	Lb.bho-Hr
Fuell	0.0	Work, bhp-Hr	co	NO,	нс	PM	SOF	Carbon	Gravimatric
0 4-05	ſ	21 22	1.51	481	0.02	0 086	0.028	0.38	0 38
0 +405	J	21 24	1.53	4 85	0.00	0.087	0.030	0.38	0.37
D 4819	ĸ	21 26	1.70	4,94	0.03	0.091	0.029	0 38	0.42
D 4819	ĸ	21.28	1. 69	4.90	0.01	0 0 9 3	0 029	0.37	0.41
D 4819	ĸ	2: 22	1.66	4 92	0.02	0:10	0.023	0.37	0 37
0 4819	ĸ	21 24	1.61	90. ه	0.02	0 095	J.0 23	0.38	0 37
0 4405	<u> </u>	21.24	1.72	4 89	701	0 097	0 022	0.38	0.4.
D 4405	ر ا	21.27	1.74	4 77	0.03	0 098	0.024	0.38	0 40
0 4819	к	21.26	1 63	4.91	0.02	0 Ö99	0 020	0.38	0.42
0 4819	ĸ	21 32	1.66	4.91	0.03	0 102	0.021	0.38	0.41
0 3405	- J	21 29	1 85	5.04	0.03	001 C	0.021	0.38	0,41
0 4405	- L	21 29	1 82	5.07	0.03	0 1 00	0.019	0.30	0.41
0 4405	د ا	21 23	1 54	- 4 89 	001	0.091	003	85.0	040
Ó 4405	L	2. 24	* 54	4 92	0 64	0 093	0.027	0.39	0.39
0 4819	ĸ	21 33	1 72	4 95	0 03	0 097	0 029	0.37	0.41
0 4819	к	2.34	: 74	+ 98	J 02	0.097	0.03	0.38	0.4*
i	L	<u> </u>							<u> </u>

.

Table II-1

CRTC - Phase J

<u> </u>			 	Hot-Sta	rt Emissions	gibho-Hr		ESFC	L0:010-H1
= e .	10	Work, oho-Hr	<u>co</u>	NOX	⊢c	<u>PM</u>	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
0 4778	C2	2.30	1 89	4 63	0.04	0 0 9 7	0 024	0 40	0.40
D 4779	02	2, 30	198	4,79	0.05	0.097	0 025	Q. 4G	j 760
0.4781	A2	21.33	588	4 88	0.04	0 097	9.048	040	2.38
C 4781	A2	21.25	1.67	4 73	0.02	0.0 88	0.025	0.39	0 42
C 4777	62	21.25	1 70	4.68	0.04	0.084	0 023	0.39	0.40
D 4780	E2	21.34	1.82	5.11	0.04	0.092	0.033	0.39	0+0
D 4780	E2	21 26	1.71	4 93	0.03	0.092	0.027	0.40	0.47
D 4777	82	21 26	1.71	4.75	0.04	880.0	0.025	0 40	() \$1
D 4779	02	21 30	1.83	5.03	0.03	0.093	0.026	0.40	0.38
D 4779	D2	21.30	1.80	4.56	0.04	0.095	0 025	0.40	0 41
D 4781	A2	21.30	1.84	4,91	0.03	0 097	0.024	0 41	0.41
D 4780	E2	21.32	1 93	4.95	0.04	0.103	0.027	0.40	0 37
D 4780	E2	21.25	1.69	4.96	0.03	0.090	0.022	0.40	0.40
D 4779	D2	21.29	1 65	4.60	0.03	0 086	0.023	0 39	0.40
D 4778	C2	21.35	1.74	4 69	0.03	0.068	0.022	0.39	0+0
D 4778	C2	21.29	1.76	4.86	0.05	0.092	0.024	0.41	J 41
D 4781	A2	21.30	1.76	4.86	0.03	0 092	0.024	0.40	0 37
D 4780	E2	21.32	1.93	5.02	0.02	0.099	0.025	0.40	0.40
D 4780	Ę2	21.30	1.61	4.91	0.03	0.066	0.018	0.40	D 40
0 4778	C2	21.26	1.54	4.73	0.03	0.082	0.025	0 39	0.40
D 4777	82	21.33	1.72	4.93	0.04	0.087	0.024	0.39	0±0
D 4777	82	21.24	1.63	4.86	0.03	0.086	0 024	0.41	0.40
D 4779	02	21,32	1.62	4,99	0.02	0.085	0.022	0.39	0.37
0 4778	C2	21.35	1.69	4.75	0.03	0.086	0.021	0 40	0.40
D 4778	C2	21.26	1,64	4,60	0.03	0.088	0 023	0.40	0.41
D 4781	A2	21 29	1.73	4.86	0.02	0.094	0.024	0.40	0.41
D 4777	B2	21.30	1.80	4.93	0.03	0 095	0.024	0 40	<u>, 0 10</u>
D 4777	82	21.24	1,72	4.91	0.02	0.095	0.024	0.40	2 41
D 4779	02	21.32	178	4.84	0.03	0.094	0 023	0.40	3.37
í.	1	L _					<u> </u>		

and the second second second second

. .

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

.

.

- 7.1

CRTC - Phase J (continued)

		<u></u>		Hot-Sta	art Emissions	s. g bno-Hr		BSFC.	
Fue	10	Work, 5hp-Hr	co	NO,	нс	РМ	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
D 4781	A2	21 36	1 94	5 10	0.02	0.099	0.023	0.40	0.40
D 4778	C2	2: 24	1 63	4 18	J 04	0.086	0.025	0.39	0.40
D 4780	E2	2' 28	175	4 95	0.05	0.091	ි 025	0.40	0 42
D 4777	82	21 33	1 77	5.03	0.04	0.092	0.025	0.39	0 37
0 4777	82	21 27	1,73	4 81	0.04	0.090	0.025	0.38	0.41
D 4779	02	2*. 29	172	4 88	a o3	0.091	0.026	0.39	0.37
D 4781	A2	21 31	1 73	4.90	0.03	0.091	0.025	C.39	3 40
D 4781	A2	21.23	1.66	4.92	0.03	0.066	0.024	0 38	0,41
D 4778	C2	21.25	1.65	4 75	0 03	0.084	0.022	0.40	0.40
D 4780	E2	21.32	1. 80	5.04	0.03	0.094	0.022	0.39	0.41
0 4780	E2	21.20	t.69	5.07	0.04	0.090	0.027	0.38	0.40
D 4779	02	21 28	1.65	4.94	0.03	0 085	0.023	0.39	0.39
D 4777	82	21.30	1 71	4.83	0.04	0.086	0.023	0 38	0.40
D 4777	82	21 22	1 67	4.72	0.03	0.096	0.025	0.38	0.42
D 4781	A2	21.27	1,74	4.96	0.03	0.091	0.026	0.40	0.40
O 4778	C2	21.32	1 77	4.88	0. 04	0.087	0.022	0.38	0.38
0 4778	C2	21.24	1 66	4.69	0.01	D.087	0.022	0.38	Q.41
D 4781	A2	21.28	1.77	4.68	0.02	0.092	0.025	0.38	0.40
D 4779	D2	21.33	1.83	4.81	0.02	0.092	0.023	0.38	0.40
D 4779	D2	21.23	1.69	4.86	0.03	0.088	0.021	0.38	0.41
D 4780	E2	21 31	1.67	5.16	0.03	0.087	0.021	0.37	0.40
O 4778	Ç2	2* 33	1 70	4.81	0.02	0.086	0.018	0.38	0.36
O 4778	C2	21 26	1.52	4.79	0.04	0.081	0.027	0.37	0.41
⊃ 4779	D2	21 25	1.61	4.87	0.01	0.084	0.025	0.40	0.40
D 4777	82	21. 29	1.71	4.98	0.03	0.068	0.024	0.40	0.38
0 4777	82	21.30	1.85	4.91	0.04	0.094	0.022	0.38	0.40
0 4781	A2	21.36	1.73	5.04	0.03	0.069	0.017	0.41	0.40
D 4780	EZ	21.36	1 79	5.05	0.03	0.092	0.019	0.40	0.40
0 4780	E2	21 23	1.66	4.96	0.03	0.091	0.023	0.41	0.38
0 4779	D2	21.25	1.64	4.84	0.02	0.086	0.022	0.38	0.40
D 4781	A2	21 34	1.81	4 90	0.02	0 092	0.018	0.40	0.40
4					1	4	· _		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

		ſ		-+oi-Sta	n Emissions	g.bhp.err			La bro-Hr
	—. —. (D).	Work showy	со	NOr	нĊ	- PM	SOF	Carbon	Gravimetric
D 4795	R2	21 31	1 99	491	0.00	0104	0 024	0.37	2.37
0.4773	C2	21 27	1 81	4.73	0 02	0 090	0.022	0.3 9	0.40
0.4780	E2	21 37	2.01	4 92	0.03	101.0	0.022	0.38	0 4C
D 4780	≣2	21 31	1.93	4.91	0.04	0.100	0.024	J 38)4:
C 4795	F12	21.36	2 09	5.07	Q.03	0 109	0.025	039	2.43
0 4778	C2	21.34	1.85	4.68	0.02	0 J89	0.021	0.38	2.37
D 4795	R2	21.31	: 84	4.69	0.02	760.0	0 026	0.39	040
D 4780	E2	21.32	1.76	4 92	0.03	0.092	0.026	0.39	0.40
0 4778	C2	21.35	1,72	4.70	0.02	0 087	0.024	0.38	0.40
D 4778	Cz	21.26	1.64	4.66	0.03	0.086	0.025	0.39	0.40
D 4780	E 2	21.92	1.73	4,79	0.03	0.091	0.028	0.38	0.39
D 4795	Ħ2	21.34	1.99	5.09	0.03	0.104	0.027	0.39	0.41

CRTC - Phase K (Reference)

4

APPENDIX III

.

Table III-1

SwRI - Fuel E2 (19/50)

			.	HOI	Start_Emi	<u>55ions, 31</u>	ohp.Hr		-		1				
	<u> </u>	ю,	210	Matter	3	i <u>O</u> F		-iC		:0	B5	iFC. hordy	Actua	I Work,	
	9	E2	 	55	9	€2		E2	R	E2	a	Ez		E2 1	Ret Work
51.91	+ 026	4 159	0 153	2.45	0.050	3 354	0.200	C. 193	1 196	1 506	G 387	0 382	22 60	22 56	53.98
	3 909	- :60	0:64	0 152	0 050	0.061	0 193	0 185	1 499	1 515	0 393	0 383	22 71	22 65	23.99
22 9.	3 936	4 056	0 162	0.158	0.058	0 056	D. 90	0 197	1 536	: 358	0.390	0 390	22 64	22.43	22.39
	3 961	J 340	0:63	0.153	0.052	0.051	0 :97	0.184	1543	1 430	0.395	0 389	22 54	22 59	23 79
5-91	- 01S	41!6	C.158	0.137	0.045	0.046	0.192	0.175	1 342	1 335	0 389	0 379	22 46	22.33	23 99
	3 982	4 163	0 152	0.139	0 050	0.041	0 196	0.203	1 502	1 345	0.392	0 379	22.59	22.55	23 99
5-91	3 871	4 190	0 157	0.149	0.046	0.047	0 199	0.170	1 532	1 387	0.39	0.39	22 7	22 6	23 99
	3 974	4 101	D 166	0.145	0 046	0.048	0 + 72	0 170	1 486	1 391	0.39	0.39	25	22.5	23 39
						•	•			1		.	_		
n	3.95 9	4 1 26	0 159	9,148	0.050	0.0\$1	0.19 2	Q.185	' 505	1 408	0.391	0.384	22.586	22.544	
v Í	0.052	0.057	0.005	0.007	0 004	0.006	0 009	0 012	0.003	0 070	0.003	0.004	0.092	0 1 10	
ا	1.32	1 37	3 20	4 61	8 46	12.48	4 63	6.72	218	4 95	0.84	1.09	0 41	0.49	
ا ر	4 27%	Higher	6 92*	Lower	2.0%	lunber	1.65%		L	1]	L	L	

Table III-2

ļ

.

-

-

SwRI - Fuel A2 (19/58)

					-ot-Starr E	mi <u>ss ons</u> :	g bng-Hr						Ī		
	!	NO,	Part	Matter		SOF		÷c	с 1 с	:0] as 	iFC no-er	Actua 1 <u> </u>	N More Ev≓r	
	9	A2	-7	42	R	A2		A2	A	: A2		42	9	4.2	=
-2039-	2.396	4 044	0154	3:46	2 041	3 032	2 '91	0.127	· +45	1:00	0 393	0 392	22:9	22 3 a	· 21.53
	5 963	- 0C2	0:54	່ ئ∙ 48 ເ	2 045	0.634) ' 95	0124	1 503	1:03	0 39C	0 397 7 0 1	22 48	22.35	1 11 13
2 04 91	1 387	1 369	0.150	0.45	0.047	2.041	2 194	0:30	1 : 460	1.163	3 393	386	22.36	1 22 3	21.43
	4 004	4 045	0 .55	0,144	3 344	0.038	0.187	0 12'	5.6	12.9	0 39C	0.382	22 45	22.34	25.43
	·				1	1						<u> </u>			
12 05.91	3 965	3 868] 3 :63	Q 156	0.047	0.033	0 206	0 1:4	1 517	1 092	0 390	2 384	22.60	22.45	12.42
	3 950	4 071	0:63	0 (e)	0.0254	0 050	0 186	0.124	• 533	177	0 385	: 185	22.26	i 12 3ê	1 22 83
12 06-91	3 381	4018	0.153	0.151	C 036	0.041	0.175	0,124	· 502	1 097	1 387	0 376	22.04	32.27	1 23 43
	3.922	3.963	0 165	0 163	0.043	0.044	0.173	0.118	1 516	1 1 78	D 394	0 191	22 39	1 2 45	23 43
		L	_			<u> </u>								<u>}</u>	
12:09:91	3 891	3.919	0.158	0.156	0.043	0.041	0,176	0 098	1 478	: 048	0 382	0 372	22 43	22 25	41 43
	3 984	3 905	0.160	D.157	0.045	0.031	0 173	0.103	• 502	1 169	0 390	0 391	22.49	22 23	22.83
12/10/91	3.977	3.985	0 151	0.152	0 0 36	0.043	0 173	0,126	• 451	1 169	0 383	0 187	22.28	22 26	
	3,936	4 031	0.158	0 162	0.058	0.047	0 182	0.107	1 431	1.175	0.380	0.379	22.74	22	1 27 31
										L		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
12/11/91	3.931	4.062	0.160	0.156	0.047	0.049	0 199	0 076	1 503	† 077	0 384	0.371	22 34	22 46	10.90
	3.910	3.996	0.171	0.159	0.061	0.045	0158	0 096	1 465	1 137	0 394	0.394	22 53	23.54	1111
12:12:01	1 993	4.058	1 0 166	10157	0.056	0.052	0 141	0.095	1 494	1143	0.162	0 184	22.73	-	
	3.010	4.028	0.160	0.159	0.045	0.049	0.163	0.089	1 541	1 145	0 187	0.188	17.45	72.13	
			0.10	0.100	0.0+0	0.043					0.004	0.000		1 10	
12 13,91	3.974	3.993	0.161	0.150	0 036	0.032	0 170	0112	1 485	1 152	0 386	0 387	22 45	22 40	13 83
	3.894	3.953	0.169	0 158	0 044	0 038	0.194	0118	1 453	1 100	0 378	0 375	22 32	32 37	23.92
11/16.01	2 990	1 4 021	Laise	1 0 157	0.034	Lann	t Iates	L 0 127	1 787	1 1 0 10	10.173	1 278	22.30	1 22 1	
12/10/91	3.960	1021	0.33	0.137	0.034	0.037	0.00		1.307	1.030	0 313	0 3/0	22.33	1 10 10	
	1961	1 3 396		0.155	0.031	0.047	0.173	0.124	1 1 3 3 3		0.365		22.30	22	-
			In come					1	1		l a note		I		7
Mean	3.9601	3.9964	0.1591	0.1552	0 0445	0 0399	0 1802	0,1727	1 4815	1 12/3	0.3853	0 1842	22 44]	22.334	
SDEV	0 0350	0.0540	0.0058	0 0056	0.0079	0.0075	0 0155	0 0151	0 0507	0.0498	0 0069	0.0075	0:460	0.0990	ר
	L				L		L								_
CV 😘	0 684	1.351	3 645	3.606	17 753	18.797	8 602	13.404	3.422	4 4 18	1 791	1 952	0.651	[: 4-3]]
				Lower		× 1 av		() and	37 6.4	L AWER		-	-		-
A2 15	0.92%	ngner	2.43%		10.34				⁷ ۱۴ ده						

Table III-3

٠

l

SwRI - Fuel D2 (16/54)

					∺o: St <u>art B</u>	miss:0/15	4.50p.Hr						Į		1
		NC,	Part	Maner		SOF		нс		:0	95 	FC he-Hr	Actua 5/1	ar Work C Hr	['
	<u> </u>	. <u>p</u> 2	-	02	, R	D2		02	R	C2	A	i 32	' A	DZ	Ref Work . Shp-Hr
01 07 92	3 857	3 924	0.175	0 152	0 056	0.060	0.180	0.126	1 5 01	1 298	0 396	0 397	22.39	22.08	23.56
] 341	4-385	3.190	G 54	-7 034	ote 5	0 203	0710	- 627	1 260	G 101	0 407	21 39	21.98	23 56
11 C 8 92	1.1469	1 357	1:1=4	1.1.140	1.148		0.65	Te 130	1 586	1.250		1 3 193		22.0	
	1 0 2 4	1 2 405	12157	1 3 - 67	1.000				1.670						+
		1 3 3 9 3	3.13,	1 10	1 3 069	331	0:00	J. 1. 31	. 520	1210	0.792	2,393	22 34	-2 -8	-3.20
C† 09 92	3847	3 995	C 156	0 142	0 036	0.036	0.193	60.0	1 576	1 190	166.0	3 392	22 · 5	22 29	23.56
	3 950	4 G 98	0.153	0.46	0.004	J 026	0.173	3,119	' 535	1.189	0 393	0 394	22.04	22 • 4	20.54
31 10 92	1 981	4018	0 53	0 151	5.036	0.041	0.175	0.124	1 502	097	0 387	0 376	22.34	22.5.	23 96
	3.922	3 963	0 165	2 163	1 043	3 044	3.173	\$110	1 516	178	0 394	0.391	22.39	22 45	33 56
44 + 4 0 3		1 010	T n 162	Lairea		La auz	10.175	0.146							
01.3.95	3 3 9 9	3.910	0.103	0.30	0.043	0.047	0.178	0.143	1.337	297	0.392	0.364	21.61	22.33	
	3.917	1924	U.174	U.159	0.000	0.031		0.141	1 5/6	1 213	0.389	0388	22 20	22 09	23 56
01114-92	3 962	3 961	0 168	0 154	0.038	0.034	0 219	0 140	1 522	1 218	0.388	0 389	22.15	22.02	23 56
	+ 031	3.954	0 164	0.151	0.035	0.045	0.182	0 135	1 532	1 247	0.392	0 385	22.17	22 05	23.56
01/1 5/92	3.928	4 030	0 162	0 146	0.051	0.043	0.197	0 136	1.542	1 219	0.398	0.387	22.14	22 29	23 56
	3.9†2	3.992	0 154	0.143	0.031	0.027	0.173	0.139	1.485	1 246	0 391	0.389	22.24	22.38	23 56
				• • • • • • •	·	• • • •		· ··	· · -	1	1			<u>.</u>	
01-15-92	0.905	4 021	0.149	a 139	0.047	3 044	0 167	0.176	1 500	1 248	0 392	0. 389	22.15	2* 92	23 56
	3.760	3.844	0 160	0.141	0.038	0.044	0.187	0.167	0.536	1 300	0 384	0.389	22 6	22.09	23 56
01 17 92	3 835	3.878	0.156	0 145	0.050	0.048	0 194	0 143	1.615	1 266	0.392	0 384	22.32	22 13	23 56
	3 894	3.904	0.158	0 148	0.053	0.053	0.163	0138	1 528	1 321	0.385	0.394	22.20	22 15	23 56
	-]			L	1			I]		L	L	
0° 20.92	3.898	3 899	0 152	0.153	0.048	0 054	0.163	0.117	1484	1,159	0.388	0.368	22.14	22.14	23 56
	3 648	4 048	0.152	0 152	0.042	0 055	0 176	0115	1 504	1 208	0 389	0 413	22.26	22 01	23 56
															_
Mean	3 9008	3 9710	01587	0 1477	0.0458	0.0418	0.1827	0 1345	1 5385	1 2272	0.3925	0 3910	22.173	121 22	
SDEV	a a še ž	2.0821	0.0071	0.0054	10.0101	0.0106	0.0145	Tobies	0.0597	0.0536	0.0047	0.0090	9 1210	101.20	٦
															1
CV %	• 630	2 010	4 600	3.927	22.052	25.359	7 937	12 565	0 860	4 368	1 197	2 302	0 546	0 506]
02 s	· a•.,	Higner	6.93%	Lower	6.73%	Higher	25.38%	Lawer	23 23*	Lower	Than R				

26

Table III-4

F.

.

SwRI - Fuel F2 (19/59/200)

	· · · ·														
				Hot	<u>'Sia</u> m≣m: 	55ICES 2	<u>arg</u>	-	<u> </u>		95	FC I	Actua	work	ł
		<u>"0,</u>	Part	Marter	<u> </u>	50F	<u>↓ ·</u>	•C	0	:o 	La br	<u>.</u> 0.∺44	200	1.1~1	
	R	F2	4	F2		F2		=2	7	FZ	٦	۴Z	<u> </u>	= <u>2</u>	ang
11:12:05	1.9659	40.2	J 770	נהינ	2 338	1.331	0.52	0.00	· 43,		3 193	C 396	22 46	22 53	23.75
	4 955	1 4 361	3772	27.5	; 331	0.027	0 168	3.0\$	1 385	163	0 397	3 100	22 J†	22 53	23 16
37 •5 92	3.961	4 001	6.64	0 165	910 C	c 530	0 173	0 f04	i 1 515	1:48	J 396	0 386	22 19	22 58	23 '÷
	4 008	+ 103	0 1 65	0:6-	3 341	0 029	C 151	р. 99	1 523	1 43	3 397	C 391	95.30	32 30	23.74
07 17 92	3 89Q	4 055	C :65	0.146	3 341	0 C26	0 154	3 090	1 491	136	0 383	0 392	22 34	32 56	23.16
	4 006	3 968	0:72	3 76:	0.041	0.027	0.65	3:00	- 38 :	: 50	2.394	0 193	22 33	32 75	23 76
or 20,92	3977	4 693	3 : 70	0.175	0 049	0.042	0 169	0 134	° 487	1 1 00	0 392	C 393	22 03	22 41	
	3 305	4 050	0 177	3 '60	3 042	J 036	0.145	C.098	1 440	1154	G 3 96	J J96	22 44	22 47	22.74
07/21.92	3.569	3 932	0 167	0 :56	0.048	0 038	0 168	0 103	1 543	1 . 33	0 395	0.392	22 41	22 44	23.19
	3 961	4 057	0 169	0.170	3 041	0 039	2 144	0 102	1 548	1 154	0 396	0 393	22 61	22 +3	10 %
17 22:02	4.020	4.014	10.74	1 0 262	Loou	[0 033	Laisa			1 + + + +	0.204	a 104	19.40	20.62	· • • • • •
07.66.76	3 566	4 027	0.162	0:02	0.037	0.035	0.165	0.142	1 497	1 125	0 395	0.390	22 44	22 53	23 -5
07:23/92	3.813	3.934	0,157	0 1\$7	0 039	0 030	0,148	0.107	' 492	1 094	0 388	0 386	22 42	22.60	53 26
	3 969	4 052	0 164	g. 148	0 033	0 033	0 151	0113	1 410	2.148	0 383	0 392	22 55	22 50	23 "6
07:24/92	3.966	3.985	0.167	0 162	0.042	a a se	0.163	0 107	1 477	1 114	0 388	0.397	22.58	22.39	23.76
	3.933	4 053	0 154	0.156	0 032	0 032	0.127	0 073	1 429	1 104	0 392	0.391	22.48	22 47	23.16
37:27.92	3 831	4 039	0160	0 167	0 040	0.059	0 172	0.101	1.515	1 125	0.390	0 398	22 53	22.48	23 16
	3 951	3.970	0 156	0.164	0 036	0 631	0 140	0.089	1 479	1 125	0 399	0 393	22.52	22 50	23.76
			· <u> </u>			<u>.</u>	·	•				_			
07 28/92	3 834	3 895	a t a 7	0 165	a 94 1	0.031	0 162	0.095	1.487	1.193	0 389	0 395	22 42	ZZ 28	23.75
	3 913	3.97	0.16	a 18	0.04	0 037	0.13	0 104	1 427		0 369	0 396	22.49	22 31	23.75
07° 29/92	3 973	4.01	0.16	Ó.16	0.04	0 032	0.13	0 114	1 508	1 128	0 400	0 399	22 39	22 41	23 *5
	3 864	3 99 .	0.17	Ö. 18	0.04	0 0+6	0.13	0.105	1 457	1 128	0.388	10.391	22 71	22.43	23.75
07 ⁻ 30-92	1.974	3.93	0.10	0.14	0.04	0.038	0 14	0.094	1 499	1.111	0.394	0 195	22.36	22 48	23 16
-	3.052	4 06	0 17	0.78	0.04	0 035	0 14	0 101	1 483	1 106	0 386	0 391	22 41	85 25	23 "5
			<u> </u>		I	L	<u> </u>	1	!	<u> </u>		L		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
38/04/92	3.943	3.92	0.17	0.17	0.05	à às	0.6	0 096	1 538	1 105	0 <u>393</u>	0 392	22 50	22 53	23 5
	4.085	3.96	0.17	0.17	0.05	0 0 26	0.14	3 105	1 44 3	1083	0 385	0 366	22 55	22 48	23 5
						_									
Mean	3 9470	4 0061	0 1675	0 1645	0.0400	0 0 358	0 1521	0 1037	1 4813	1 1297	0 3920	0.3831	22 455	22 477]
					1	1				1	-			1	-
SDEV	0 0629	0 0571	0 0070	0 0093	0 0047	0 0088	0 0141	00131	0 0351	0 0261	0 0047	0 0036	0 0980	3 0890]
CV %	1 594	1 425	a +79	5.647	11 750	24 578	9.272	12.629	2.370	2 310	1199	0 916	0 436	3 396]
F2-3	1.5%	Higher	1.8%	Lower	10.5%	LOWER	31 82-	LOWER	23 74*	Lower	Than R				
				-		. –									
								27							

		 	·	

and the second of the second of the second

ς.

-

ŕ

ī

SwRI - Fuel G2 (15/55/200)

		Hot-Start Emissions g bng-Hr													
		NO		Part Matter		SOF		⊣C		cc		BSFC.		Actual Werk Sho-Hr	
		32	I A	GZ		G2	R	G2		G2	;	G2		G2	Pet work İ ohpi Hr
12 02 92	4.131	4 046	0.57	0 140	2 049	10041 1	0 152	0.113	1 425	• 2•6	0.380	0.378	22 55	22 53	23 59
	+ 239	4 377	0 (63 	C. 138	C 042	0.038	0 131	0.132	- 486	1 257	0.377	0 373	22.72	22.56	23 59
12 03 92	4 0 2	3 946	162	3.47	0.050	0.043	3 1 4 4	0 121	1.495	1 243	C 389	2 368	22 36	22.41	23 59
	3 349	4 006	Q,771	2148	0.038	0 033	0,130	0.114	486	1 208	ວ 385	0 375	22.57	22 38	23 59
12 [.] 04 92	3 933	4 335	0 161	0'44	0 039	0.031	0.109	0.090	1.500	1 257	D 359	0.360	22.67	2 2 30	23 59
	3 947	G-5 4	0 159	0 146	0.045	0 042	0 143	0.101	1 421	1 228	0 359	0 374	22.65	22.45	23 59
12 07 92	3 992	1 4 '60	0.177	0.*42	0.044	0.038	0.126	0 103	1 444	1.215	0.364	C 367	22.36	22 44	23.59
	3 934	4 001	0 154	0.141	0.043	0.041	0.136	0.114	1 458	1.196	C.360	0 363	22.73	22 53	23 59
12.08-92	<u>3.95</u> 1	4.1*3	0.153	0 1346	0.047	0.044	0.096	0.123	1.449	1.156	0.342	0.367	22 62	22 49	23 59
	4 0 1 0	4 036	0 157	0.141	0.056	0.048	0.126	0.084	1 475	1 191	0.345	0 350	22.60	22 64	23 59
12:09/92	3 939	4 130	0.156	0.135	L	0.039	T 0.090	10.06	1.454	1 228	1 0.370	0.374	22 63	22 31	23 59
	4 051	4.222	0.168	0.137	0.035	0.029	0.121	0.104	1.559	1 345	0 373	0.385	22.76	22 21	23.59
		<u> </u>	1 1 1 5 5		L					1					
12:10:92	4.061	1 114	0.150	0.145	0.045	0.043	0.096	0.086	1.425	1.171	0.358	0.365	22,49	22.35	23 59
						0.000	0.144				0.577			22 23	23.53
12:11 92	4 152	4,105	0.157	0.137	0.043	0.052	0.106	0.080	1 460	1 265	0 347	0 356	22.46	22.43	23 59
	4 062	4 ()88	0.154	0.142	0 038	0.042	0.133	0.085	1 527	1 290	0.362	0.362	22.62	22.45	23 59
12-14-92	4 096	4 109	0.154	0.148	0 051	0.039	0.114	0.087	1.447	1 219	0.377	0 343	22.59	22.35	23 59
	4 100	4,110	0.160	0.147	0.040	0.034	0.140	0.096	1 489	0.199	0.357	0 363	22.53	22.35	23 59
2 15.92	4.053	4 160	0.171	0.151	0.049	0.030	0.117	0.096	1.562	1 357	0.157	0 351	22.67	22 39	23 59
	4 093	4 15	0.17	0.15	0.04	0.035	0.11	0.094	7 639	1 375	0.355	0 357	22.57	22.37	23 59
	L	<u> </u>	!	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	1	I	1		I		
Mean	4 0360	4 (7660	0.1608	0.1423	0.0453	0.0389	0.1229	0.1002	1 4808	1 2378	0 3647	0.3653	22 578	22,409	
5DEV	0 0754	0 0677	0 0066	0.0052	0.0071	0.0059	0.0175	0.0153	0.0561	0 0638	0.0133	0.0105	0 1 1 60	0 1060	
CV %	1 866	1 656	4 106	3 654	15 673	15.167	14.239	15.269	3 789	5 154	3.647	2.875	0.514	0 482	
G2 is	: 3%	: 3% Higrier		11 5∾₀ Lower		14 1º4 Lower		18.5% Lower		15.4% Lower		Than Pl			
											1				

28