## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

	ECONOMIC IMP	ACT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Air Resources Board	Anthony Oliver	anthony.oliver@arb.ca.gov	1 (279) 208-7213
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		,	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation			Z Z2022-0816-0
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	CTS Include calculations and	d assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:		
x a. Impacts business and/or employees	🔀 e. Imposes rep	porting requirements	
🔀 b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes pre	scriptive instead of performance	
	🔀 g. Impacts ind	ividua <b>l</b> s	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the	above (Explain below):	
If any box in Items 1 a	through g is checked, co	emplete this Economic Impact Statement.	
If box in Item 1.h. is	s checked, complete the F	iscal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
Air Resources Board			
2. The (Agency/Department)	estimates that the e	conomic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	s over \$50 million, aaencies are	required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	t Assessment
	nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	17,516		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	rofits): High priority fleet:	s and drayage truck businesses. (See At	tachment (SA)).
Enter the number or percentage of total			
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	88% (SA)		
4. Fakarahan andara Shariya ayan ahara 20 harana	ited: 0 (SA)		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ited: U(3A)	eliminated: 0 (SA)	
Explain: The overall jobs and output in	npacts are small relativ	e to California's economy, with changes	s of less than 0.1 % (SA).
_			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide		
	Local or regional (List areas):		
C. Fatautha avanhau afiaha avantadi. 14.087	and eliminated: 38	8.012	
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 14,982	and eliminated: 30	5,012	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	cted: Jobs gained in the	major sectors of transportation and pu	blic utilities,
construction, and manufacturing. Jo	bs foregone in govern	ment, retail, and services. (SA)	
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California			
other states by making it more costly to produce	e goods or services here?	YES NO	
If YES, explain briefly: Requirements wo	ould not be anticipated	to create a competitive advantage or c	lisadvantage. (SA)

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

B. ESTI	MATED COSTS Include cald	culations and assumptions in the	e rulemaking record.	
1. What	t are the tota <b>l</b> statewide dollar	· costs that businesses and individ	duals may incur to comply with this regula	tion over its lifetime? \$ 58.8 billion (SA)
	itial costs for a small business:		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 920	
b. <b>I</b> n	iitial costs for a typical busines	;s: \$ <mark>28,331,027</mark>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 67,256	
c. In	itial costs for an individual:	\$ <u>14,300</u>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 559	
d. D	escribe other economic costs	that may occur:		
2. <b>l</b> f mւ	ultiple industries are impacted	I, enter the share of total costs fo	r each industry: Transportation & Pub	olic Utilities: 40.1%, Government: 17%
			·	atural Resources: 4.1%, Others: 7.9%
3. <b>I</b> f the	e regulation imposes reporting de the dollar costs to do prograf	g requirements, enter the annual mming, record keeping, reporting,	costs a typical business may incur to comp and other paperwork, whether or not the pa	oly with these requirements.  perwork must be submitted. \$ 1,207 (SA)
4. Will tl	his regulation directly impact	housing costs? YES	NO	
		If YES, enter the	e annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
			Number of units:	
5. Are th	nere comparable Federal regu	lations? YES X	NO	
				to ZE technology for every on- and ction goals mandated by statute.
Enter	any additional costs to busine	esses and/or individuals that may	be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 5	8.8 billion
C. ESTI	MATED RENEFITS Estimation	on of the dollar value of henefits	is not specifically required by rulemaking I	law. hut encouraged
		he regulation, which may include		un, out encourageur
healt	th and welfare of California res	sidents, worker safety and the Sta	ate's environment: The proposal red	uces NOx, PM, and GHG emissions, usinesses will also realize operational
cos	t-savings through the	use of ZEVs. (SA)		
 2. Are th	ne benefits the result of:	specific statutory requirements, o	or 💢 goals developed by the agency ba	sed on broad statutory authority?
Evnla	in This proposal is design.	aned to meet 12 goals de	veloped by the agency based on	broad statutory authority.(SA)
·				
3. What	t are the tota <b>l</b> statewide benef	fits from this regu <b>l</b> ation over its <b>l</b> i	fetime? \$ 100.0 DIIIION	<del>_</del>
			ess within the State of California that would	
exp	pansions expected to p	rimarily occur in the Tran	nsportation & Public Utilities, and	l Constructions sectors (SA).
	ERNATIVES TO THE REGUL ifically required by rulemaking		d assumptions in the rulemaking record. E	stimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
				lternative 1 (Combustion): Less String- nase mandate. Alternative 2 (Accelera-
			•	7FV manufacture date by 4 years

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	beonomic infiner statement (continueb)	
	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:	
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 106.6 B Cost: \$ 68.7 B	
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 8.6 B Cost: \$ 5.9 B	
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 148.6 B Cost: \$ 107.1 B	
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison	
	of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	_
	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific	
	actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? X YES NO	
	Explain: The Regulation does not prescribe one specific technology or one specific avenue for compliance, instead it encourage	e
	innovation by allowing manufacturers and fleet owners to determine the most cost-effective means of compliance. (S.	<b>A</b>
	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	_
•	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to	_
	submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.	
١.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? X YES NO	
	If YES, complete E2. and E3	
)	If $NO$ , $skip\ to\ E4$ Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:	
-•	Alternative 1: Less stringent, combustion vehicles with low NOx emission controls to count as ZEV for purchase mandate.	
	Alternative 2: More stringent, lower applicability threshold to 10 vehicles & shorten 100% ZEV manufacture date by 4 years	
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)	
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:	
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ 68.7 B Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 1.6 (Benefit:Cost) (SA)  Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ 5.9 B Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 1.5 (Benefit:Cost) (SA)	
	107.10	
١.	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Californ exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months	
	after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?      YES	
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in	
	Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5.	Briefly describe the following:  The increase or decrease of investment in the State:  The proposed regulation is estimated to increase private investment in	
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State:  In the State by a cumulative \$16.6 billion from 2024-2050.	
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes:  The proposed regulation provides flexibilities for fleets to meet	
	their ZEV requirements ahead of schedule (SA).	
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:  Health Benefits,	
	reductions of NOx, PM, and GHG emissions. Operational cost-savings to end-users.	_

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1	<ol> <li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)</li> </ol>			ment Code).
	\$			
	a. Funding provided in			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Buc	dget Act of		
		Fiscal Year:		
] 2	<ol><li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California</li></ol>			
	\$	ovide the appropriate	information:	
,	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	ovide the appropriate	mormation.	
	_			
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.
	Case of:		vs	
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Stat	e expressed in their a	pproval of Proposition No.	
	Date of Election:			
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request from	m affected local entity	(s).	
	Local entity(s) affected:			
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc	. from: 		
	Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loca	l government which	will, at a minimum, offset any addi	itional costs to each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	new crime or infracti	on contained in	
] 3	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
	\$			
	4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes o	nly technical, non-sub	stantive or clarifying changes to cu	rrent law regulations.
] 5	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	any local entity or pro	gram.	
< €	5. Other. Explain No current year fiscal impact	ts. Lifetime (2024	I-2050) Impacts: Cost \$4.0	billion, Cost-savings \$7.2 billion
	Revenue increase: \$5.3 billion, Rev	venue decrease:	\$5.6 billion. (SA)	

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calc year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ulations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
	ost \$0.9 billion, Cost-savings \$1.7 billion
Revenue increase: \$0.4 billion, Revenue decrease: \$36.9 billion. (SA)	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ough 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
TISCAE OFFICER SIGNATURE	
	4/10/23
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions	
he  impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Aş iighest  ranking official in the organization.	gency Secretary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Eric Jarvis (Apr 25, 2023 15:42 PDT)	04/25/2023
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion	
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
\	