PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

Data Requirement:	PMRA Data Code	{}
-	EPA DP Barcode	447937
	OECD Data Point	{}
	EPA MRID	50610204
	EPA Guideline	850.1300

Test material: CPU (Novaluron degradate) Purity (%): 86.9% Common name: Not reported Chemical name: IUPAC: Not reported CAS name: Not reported CAS No.: Not reported Synonyms: 1-[-3-chloro-4-(1,1,2-trifluoro-2-trifluoromethoxyethoxy)phenyl]urea

Primary Reviewer: Elizabeth Krupka Environmental Scientist, CDM/CSS-Dynamac JV

Secondary Reviewer: Moncie V. Wright, Ph.D. Environmental Scientist, CDM/CSS-Dynamac JV

Secondary Reviewer(s): N.E. Federoff Wildlife Biologist/USEPA/OPP/EFED/ERB2

Signature: Eliyaltin King Date: 12/28/2018 Monoce V Wright Signature: Date: 2/8/2019 Signature: Date: 8/9/2019

**EPA PC Code** 124002

CITATION: Shaw, A.C. 2018. CPU - Full Life-Cycle Toxicity Test with Water Fleas, Daphnia magna, Under Static-Renewal Conditions. Unpublished study performed by Smithers Viscient, Wareham, Massachusetts. Laboratory Study No. 14125.6118; Sponsor Protocol/Project No. R-38343. Study sponsored by ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd., Beer-Sheva, Israel. Study completed April 5, 2018.

This Data Evaluation Record may have been altered by the Environmental Fate and Effects Division subsequent to signing by CDM/CSS-Dynamac JV personnel. The CDM/CSS-Dynamac Joint Venture role does not include establishing Agency policies.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The 21-day-chronic toxicity of CPU (Novaluron degradate) to *Daphnia magna* was studied under static-renewal conditions. Daphnids were exposed to nominal concentrations of 0 (negative control), 0.038, 0.075, 0.15, 0.30, and 0.60 mg ai/L. The mean-measured concentrations were <0.0072 (<MDL, control), 0.045, 0.092, 0.17, 0.34, and 0.69 mg ai/L.

Reproduction (number of live offspring and successful birth rate) and growth (length and dry weight) were significantly affected in the experiment. Reproduction and length was the most sensitive endpoints, resulting in an overall NOAEC and LOAEC of 0.17 and 0.34 mg ai/L, respectively.

This study is scientifically sound and is classified as acceptable.

#### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism Age (eg. 1<sup>st</sup> instar): <24 hours Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static Renewal

NOAEC: 0.17 mg ai/L LOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L

<u>Endpoints affected</u>: Reproduction (number of live offspring and successful birth rate) and growth (length and dry weight) Most sensitive endpoints: Reproduction (number of live offspring and successful birth rate) and Length

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study protocol was based upon procedures outlined in OECD Guideline
No. 211, Daphnia magna Reproduction Test (2008); U.S. EPA OCSPP
Guideline No. 850.1300, Daphnid Chronic Toxicity Test; and U.S. EPA
OCSPP No. 850.1000, Special Considerations for Conducting Aquatic
Laboratory Studies (1996). The following deviations from OCSPP
850.1300 were noted:

- 1. The physicochemical properties of the test substance were not reported.
- 2. Dilution water parameters including particulate matter, total organic carbon, ammonia, chlorine, and pesticide concentrations were not reported.
- 3. The dissolved oxygen concentration range of 90 130 percent saturation fell outside of the recommended range of 60 105 percent saturation.
- 4. The pH in the spent solutions ranged from 7.9 9.0. OCSPP guidance recommends a maximum pH of 8.5.

These deviations do not impact the acceptability of the study.

**COMPLIANCE:** Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality claims statements were provided. This study was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA GLP Standards as published in 40 CFR, Part 160 with the following exception: routine water and food contaminant screening analyses. It was reported that since the analyses were conducted following

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

standard validated methods (U.S. EPA), this exception had no impact on the study results. A. MATERIALS: 1. Test Material CPU (Novaluron degradate) **Description:** Not reported Lot No./Batch No. : 554-187-04 (Lot No.) **Purity:** 86.9% **Stability of compound** under test conditions: The test substance was stable under test conditions. The mean measured concentrations were 110 to 120% of nominal concentrations. The coefficients of variation ranged from 8 to 10%. (OECD recommends stability in water and light)

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20°C	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
рКа	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

Physicochemical properties of CPU (Novaluron of CPU)
--

Species:	Daphnia magna EPA and OECD recommend <u>Daphnia magna.</u> . EPA also allows the use of D. pulex.
Age at test initiation:	<24 hours old at exposure initiation
	<i>EPA</i> recommends that daphnids are in their first instar ( $\leq 24$ hrs old)
Source:	Smithers Viscient culture EPA recommends all test organisms be from the same laboratory-reared culture.

Room temperature in a dark, ventilated cabinet in the original container

### **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

Storage conditions of test chemicals:

2. Test Organism:

### **1. Experimental Conditions**

a. Range-finding Study: A 15-day preliminary range-finding test was conducted at Smithers Viscient under static-renewal conditions. The test was conducted at nominal concentrations of

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

0.016, 0.054, 0.18, 0.60 and 2.0 mg/L using daphnids <24 hours old, with five replicate test exposure vessels per test concentration and one daphnid per replicate. The 18-day preliminary study resulted in a lack of mortality at all concentrations tested.

Based on the results of preliminary testing, nominal concentrations of 0.038, 0.075, 0.15, 0.30 and 0.60 mg/L and a control were selected for the definitive exposure.

b. Definitive Study

 Table 1: Experimental Parameters

<b>D</b> (	D ( 1	Remarks		
Parameter	Details	Criteria		
Parental acclimation: Period:	Continuously cultured; sexually mature daphnids isolated for 24 hours prior to test initiation	The recommended acclimation period for brood daphnids, in 100-percent dilution water at dilution temperature is a		
Conditions: (same as test or not)	Similar to test conditions (fortified laboratory well water, pH 7.7-8.3, 16L:8D photoperiod, temperature 21- 22°C, dissolved oxygen 6.7-9.1 mg/L)	minimum of 48 hours prior to start of test. Daphnids should be fed the same food as used for the definitive test [for automatic feeding devices, a suggested rate is 5 to 7 mg food (either solids or algal cells, dry weight) per liter of dilution water or test solution; and for manual once-a-day feeding a suggested		
Feeding:	Unicellular green algae, Ankistrodesmus falcatus (4 $\times$ 10 <sup>7</sup> cells/mL) a suspension of YCT (yeast, cereal leaves, and flaked fish food)	rate is 15 mg food (dry weight) per liter of dilution water or test solution]. Cultures should not contain ephippia and should produce young before Day 12 and produce at least 3 young per adult per day within 7 days prior to test.		
Health (any mortality observed):	Mortality was <20% days prior to initiation, no ephippia were observed, offspring were released in culture prior to day 12, offspring were not used in a previous test or first brood progeny, and parent daphnids produced $\geq$ 3 offspring/adult in the 7 days prior to test initiation.	Pretest mortality should be <20% 48 hours prior to testing.		
Test condition:				
static renewal/flow-through:	Static-renewal	EPA recommends consistent flow rate of > 5 vol/24 hours, meter systems		
Type of dilution system- for flow through method.	N/A	calibrated before study and checked twice daily during test period. Flow rates should not vary >10% between test		
Renewal rate for static renewal	Every 48 or 72 hours	For static-renewal: test dilution water should be replaced at least once every 3		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

Descenter	Detelle	Remarks		
Parameter	Details	Criteria		
		days.		
Aeration, if any	None			
		EPA recommends if aeration is needed to achieve DO level, it should be done before the addition of the test substance, and all treatment and control chambers should be given the same aeration treatment.		
Duration of the test	21 days			
		Recommended duration is 21 days.		
<u>Test vessel</u>				
Material: (glass/stainless steel Size (for growth and reproduction/survival test): Fill volume:	Glass beakers 100 mL 80 mL	EPA recommends for static tests: 250 ml jars For flow-through tests: glass or stainless steel containers with stainless steel or nylon screen bottoms, and can be constructed using 250–mL beakers or other suitable containers equipped with screened overflow holes, standpipes, or V-shaped notches. Daphnids should always be submerged in at least 5 cm of test solution. OECD guideline recommends that parent animals be maintained individually; one per vessel, with 50 - 100 ml of medium in each vessel.		
Source of dilution water: <u>Quality of dilution water</u> Particulate matter: TOC or COD: Un-ionized ammonia: Residual chlorine: Total organophosphorus pesticides: Total organochlorine pesticides + PCBs: Organic chlorine: Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> : Specific conductivity: pH:	Laboratory well water Not reported 1.0-1.3 mg/L (October- November 2018) Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported 170 – 180 mg/L 710 to 830 µS/cm 7.3 to 8.2	Representative samples of the dilution water source were analyzed periodically for the presence of pesticides, PCBs, and toxic metals by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental, Lancaster, Pennsylvania. None of these compounds have been detected at concentrations that are considered toxic in any of the water samples analyzed. Recommended source of dilution water is: surface or ground water, reconstituted water or dechlorinated tap water if daphnids will survive in it for the duration of the culturing, acclimation, and testing periods without showing		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

		Remarks		
Parameter	Details	Criteria		
		signs of stress. Maximum allowable concentrations for water quality parameters are provided in EPA's 850.1300 guideline (https://www.epa.gov/test-guidelines- pesticides-and-toxic-substances/series- 850-ecological-effects-test-guidelines).		
Water quality during testing		Light intensity was 16 to 20 µE m-2 s-1 measured with a Licor Model LI-250A		
рН	Fresh: 7.7 - 8.2 Spent: 7.9 - 9.0	photometer		
Dissolved oxygen	90 – 130% Saturation	EPA Recommendations: pH. OECD recommends that pH range be 6-9 and does not vary more than 1.5 units in any one test.		
Temperature Other measurements Photoperiod:	19 - 22°C 16 hours light and 8 hours dark with 15- to 30-minute transition periods	<u>Dissolved oxygen:</u> 60-105% saturation. <u>Temperature:</u> $20\pm1^{\circ}$ C. OECD recommends a range of 18 - 22°C; temperature should not vary > $\pm2^{\circ}$ C. Photoperiod: 16 hours light and 8 hours darkness with a 15 to 30-min transition		
Interval of water quality measurements:	At test initiation and weekly thereafter	period. DO, temperature, and pH should be measured at the beginning of the test and on days 7, 14, and 21 in at least two chambers of the high, middle, low, and control test concentrations.		
Number of replicates	10			
Solvent control: Treatments:	10 N/A 10	Static-renewal: 10 or more replicates of one daphnid each. Flow-through: four replicates of equal number of daphnids.		
Number of organisms per replicate:				
For growth and reproduction: For survival test:	1	For each test concentration and control group (negative and solvent, if used); For static tests, EPA and OECD recommend a minimum of 10 daphnids held individually For flow-through tests, 20 daphnids total divided equally into four replicates at each concentration and control.		
Treatment Concentrations:		Treatment concentrations should include		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

	D / 1	Remarks		
Parameter	Details	Criteria		
nominal:	0 (negative control), 0.038, 0.075, 0.15, 0.30, and 0.60 mg ai/L	a geometric series at a separation factor of 1.5 to 2 of at least five concentrations plus a control/solvent control. The variability of measured concentrations		
measured:	<0.0072 ( <mdl, control),<br="">0.045, 0.092, 0.17, 0.34, and</mdl,>	between replicates of the same concentration should not exceed $\pm 20\%$ .		
	0.69 mg ai/L	Concentration of test substance in each test chamber should be measured at a minimum before the test and on days 7, 14 and 21, and in the appropriate chamber after a malfunction.		
		OECD recommends that at least 5 test concentrations and a control be used in a geometric series with a separation factor not exceeding 3.2.		
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A			
		Solvent concentration should not exceed 0.1 ml/L. Recommended solvents include dimethylformamide and triethylene glycol, but acetone and ethanol can be used if necessary. OECD recommends <0.1 ml/L of solvent.		
Recovery of the chemical:				
Frequency of determination Level of Quantitation Level of Detection	0.0001 mg ai/L 0.0072 mg ai/L (MDL)			
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations}	N/A			
Other parameters, if any	None			

### 2. Observations:

### Table 2: Observations

Parameters	Details	Remarks	
1 al alletet 5	Details	Criteria	
Parameters measured	- Parental immobility (mortality)		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

Parameters	Details	Remarks		
		Criteria		
including the sublethal effects/toxicity symptoms	<ul> <li>Other parental sub-lethal effects</li> <li>Time of first brood release</li> <li>Offspring production</li> <li>Survival of first-generation daphnids</li> <li>Terminal length and dry weight of surviving P-generation daphnia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommended endpoints measured:</li> <li>survival of first-generation daphnids (F<sub>0</sub>);</li> <li>number of offspring produced per female;</li> <li>time to first brood;</li> <li>dry weight and length (optional) of each first generation daphnid alive at the end of the test (F<sub>0</sub>);</li> <li>survival of offspring (F<sub>1</sub>) and successful birthrate;</li> <li>incidence and description of morphological abnormalities and behavioral effects;</li> <li>observations of other effects or clinical signs.</li> </ul>		
Observation intervals	All test vessels were examined daily for survival and sublethal effects. Offspring were removed, counted, and discarded at the first renewal interval after the first observation of brood release in any exposure vessel and daily throughout the remainder of the test. Growth measurements were determined on Day 21.	The number of immobilized daphnids in each chamber should be recorded on day 21 of the test. After offspring are produced, they should be counted and removed from the test chambers every 2 or 3 days.		
Were raw data included?	Yes			
Other observations, if any	None			

### II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. MORTALITY AND SUB-LETHAL EFFECTS:

Survival was 100% in the negative control, as compared to survival ranging from 80 to 100% in the groups exposed to the test material.

Mean total body length averaged 4.84 in the negative control, and ranged from 2.77 to 4.79 mm in the treatment groups.

Mean total dry weight averaged 1.14 mg in the negative control, as compared to weight in the treatment groups ranging from 0.23 to 1.16 mg.

Table 3: Effect of CPU (Novaluron degradate) on Growth, Reproduction, and Survival of Daphnia sp. <sup>a</sup>							
Mean Measured	Adult S	urvival	Time to First	Mean Total	Mean Total	Mean Total	Maan Dry
(and Nominal) Treatment Concentrations (mg	No.	%	Brood (Days)	No. 01 Offspring per Surviving	No. 01 Offspring per Female	Surviving	Weight of Surviving
ai/L)				Female ± SD	per Penare	(mm)	Adults ± SD
, ,				(Day 21)	Reproductive		(mg)

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

			-				
					Day ± SD (Day 21)		
Negative Control ( <mdl)<sup>b</mdl)<sup>	10	100	8.1	$158 \pm 14$	11 ± 0.94	$4.84\pm0.094$	$1.14 \pm 0.10$
0.045 (0.038)	10	100	8.2	$159 \pm 28$	11 ± 1.9	$4.77 \pm 0.14$	$1.16\pm0.085$
0.092 (0.075)	8	80	8.7	$166 \pm 17$	$10\pm1.7$	$4.74\pm0.15$	$1.09\pm0.13$
0.17 (0.15)	9	90	8.0	$157 \pm 6.4$	9.4 ± 3.3	$4.79\pm0.085$	$1.15 \pm 0.044$
0.34 (0.30)	10	100	8.0	$146\pm8.7$	9.7±0.58*	4.54 ± 0.11**	$1.07\pm0.077$
0.69 (0.60)	8	80	N/A	0***	0*	$2.77 \pm 0.15**$	$0.23 \pm 0.077$ **
NOAEC, mg ai/L	0.69		N/A	0.34	0.17	0.17	0.34
LOAEC, mg ai/L	>0.69			0.69	0.34	0.34	0.69
EC <sub>50</sub> (95% CI), mg ai/L	>0.69			0.49 (0.47 - 0.49)	0.49 (0.45 - 0.49)	>0.69	0.54 (0.52 - 0.55)

<sup>a</sup> Data reported in Table 12 on page 45 of the study report.

<sup>b</sup> MDL = <0.0072 mg ai/L

\*Significant reduction compared to the control, based on Wilcoxon's Test with Bonferroni-Holm's Adjustment.

\*\*Significant reduction compared to the control, based on Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test.

\*\*\*Significant reduction compared to the control, based on Dunnett's T3 Multiple Comparison Test.

**B. EFFECT ON REPRODUCTION:** The reported first brood release occurred on Days 8-9 across the control and all exposure groups except the highest. No brood release occurred in the 0.69 mg ai/L treatment level.

The mean number of offspring per surviving female averaged 159, 166, 157, 146, and 0 for the mean-measured 0.045, 0.092, 0.17, 0.34, and 0.69 mg ai/L treatment levels, respectively, compared to 158 in the negative control.

The mean number of offspring per female per reproductive day averaged 11, 10, 9.4, 9.7, and 0 for the meanmeasured 0.045, 0.092, 0.17, 0.34, and 0.69 mg ai/L treatment levels, respectively, compared to 11 in the negative control.

**C. REPORTED STATISTICS:** Data that were statistically analyzed included organism survival (i.e., immobilization), reproduction (cumulative number of offspring produced per female), distribution of first broods, and growth (as total body length and dry weight).

All statistical analyses were conducted at the 95% level of certainty, except tests to assess normality and homogeneity of variance, where the 99% level of certainty was applied. The highest test concentration that elicited no statistically significant difference between the exposed organisms and the control (NOAEC) was

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

determined. The lowest test concentration that elicited a statistically significant effect on organism performance is defined as the LOAEC.

If at least one test concentration caused a  $\geq 10$ , 20, or 50% reduction of survival, reproduction, or growth in the test population, then the ECx values and 95% confidence intervals were calculated. If no test concentration caused  $\geq 10$ , 20, or 50% reduction of survival, reproduction, or growth of the test population, then ECx values were empirically estimated to be greater than the highest mean measured concentration tested.

CETIS Version 1.8 was used to perform the statistical computations.

 Parental Survival
 95% C.I.: N/A

 LC<sub>50</sub> (21 d): >0.69 mg ai/L
 95% C.I.: N/A

 NOAEC: 0.69 mg ai/L
 0.69 mg ai/L

Reproduction (offspring/surviving female)EC50 (21 d): 0.49 mg ai/LNOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/LLOAEC: 0.69 mg ai/L

Reproduction (offspring/per female per reproductive day)EC50 (21 d): 0.49 mg ai/L95% C.I.: 0.45 to 0.49 mg ai/LNOAEC: 0.17 mg ai/L95% C.I.: 0.45 to 0.49 mg ai/L

Production Rate of first broods $EC_{50}$  (21 d): >0.34 mg ai/L95% C.I.: N/ANOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L95% C.I.: N/A

 Total Length

 EC<sub>50</sub> (21 d): >0.69 mg ai/L
 95% C.I.: N/A

 NOAEC: 0.17 mg ai/L
 95% C.I.: N/A

 Dry Weight
 95% C.I.:
 0.52 to 0.55 mg ai/L

 NOAEC:
 0.34 mg ai/L
 95% C.I.:
 0.52 to 0.55 mg ai/L

### D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: The reviewer assessed the parental survival, growth, and reproduction endpoints using CETIS version 1.9.5.3 statistical software with database backend settings updated by EFED on 07/25/17. The mean-measured concentrations were used for the analyses.

The statistical endpoints included  $F_0$  mortality/survival,  $F_0$  growth (dry weight and length), and reproduction (number of live offspring, successful birth rate, and time to first brood).

The parental survival data were analyzed using the Fisher Exact/Bonferroni-Holm test. The parental growth (weight and length) data were confirmed to be normally distributed and have homogeneous variances using Shapiro-Wilk's and Bartlett's tests, respectively, and were therefore analyzed using ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

Time to first brood and number of live offspring data had non-normal distribution and unequal variances using Shapiro-Wilk's and Levene's tests, respectively, and were therefore analyzed using ANOVA followed by the Mann-Whitney U two-sample test. Successful birth rate data had non-normal distribution but equal variances using Shapiro-Wilk's and Levene's tests, respectively, and were therefore analyzed using ANOVA followed by the Mann-Whitney U two-sample tests.

Parental Survival NOAEC: 0.69 mg ai/L LOAEC: >0.69 mg ai/L

<u>No. of Live Offspring</u> NOAEC: 0.17 mg ai/L LOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L

<u>Total Length</u> NOAEC: 0.17 mg ai/L LOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L

Dry Weight NOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L LOAEC: 0.69 mg ai/L

Successful Birth Rate NOAEC: 0.17 mg ai/L LOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L

<u>Time to First Brood</u> NOAEC: 0.34 mg ai/L LOAEC: >0.34 mg ai/L

<u>Endpoints affected</u>: Reproduction (number of live offspring and successful birth rate) and growth (length and dry weight) Most sensitive endpoints: Reproduction (number of live offspring and successful birth rate) and Length

## E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no deficiencies.

### F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's and the study author's results generally agreed. The reviewer's results are reported in the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections of this DER. Only the NOAEC and LOAEC values were reported as they are the only toxicity values required by the EPA for this guideline.

All validity requirements were met. Specifically, 1)  $\leq$ 20% of the control organisms appeared to be immobilized, stressed, or diseased during the test; 2) each surviving control daphnid produced an average of >60 young; 3) no ephippia were produced by control animals; and 4) the coefficient of variation around the mean number of living offspring produced per parent animal in the control(s) was <25%.

The experimental phase of the definitive study was conducted from October 25 to November 15, 2017.

**G. CONCLUSIONS:** Reproduction (number of live offspring and successful birth rate) and growth (length and dry weight) were significantly affected in the experiment. Reproduction and length were the most sensitive endpoints, resulting in an overall NOAEC and LOAEC of 0.17 and 0.34 mg ai/L, respectively.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID No. 50610204

### III. <u>REFERENCES</u>:

None with the exception of standard guidelines and methodologies.

**Smithers Viscient** 

## OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid)

Batch ID: Start Date:	01-2358-1558 25 Oct-17	Test Type: Protocol:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d) OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid	Analyst: Diluent:	Fortified well water	
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda	Source:	Lab In-House Culture	Age:
Sample ID: Sample Date: Receipt Date: Sample Age:	01-6967-2164 25 Oct-17 n/a	Code: Material: CAS (PC): Client:	50610204 CPU (novaluron degradate) CDM Smith - E. Krupka	Project: Source: Station:	Insecticide ADAMA Makhteshim, Ltd	

PC Code 124002 MRID 50610204 mean-measured concentrations

#### **Multiple Comparison Summary**

Analysis ID	Endpoint	Comparison Method	✓ NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU	PMSD	S
03-4704-4634	F0 Dry Weight	Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test	0.34	0.69	0.4844		8.42%	1
07-4901-1858	F0 Dry Weight	Williams Multiple Comparison Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		6.52%	1
06-1043-7669	F0 Length	Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		2.72%	1
10-2015-3280	F0 Length	Williams Multiple Comparison Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		2.11%	1
12-1453-1650	F0 Survival	Fisher Exact/Bonferroni-Holm Test	0.69	>0.69	n/a		n/a	1
03-7363-9027	n Live Offspring	Jonckheere-Terpstra Step-Down Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		n/a	1
11-3169-0721	n Live Offspring	Mann-Whitney U Two-Sample Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		15.6%	1
20-9002-5662	Successful Birth Rate	Jonckheere-Terpstra Step-Down Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		n/a	1
01-3083-4384	Successful Birth Rate	Mann-Whitney U Two-Sample Test	0.17	0.34	0.2404		14.4%	1
03-8460-4303	Time to First Brood	Jonckheere-Terpstra Step-Down Test	0.34	>0.34	n/a		n/a	1
11-3781-3407	Time to First Brood	Mann-Whitney U Two-Sample Test	✓ 0.045	0.092	0.06434		5.12%	1

## **CETIS Summary Report**

**OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid)** 

Report Date:	06 Feb-19 20:38 (p 2 of	3)
Test Code/ID·	124002 50610204 / 06-8650-17	gg

**Smithers Viscient** 

F0 Dry Weight Su	Immary										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Мах	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	1.143	1.072	1.214	1.02	1.29	0.03155	0.09978	8.73%	0.00%
0.045		10	1.159	1.098	1.22	1.02	1.26	0.02702	0.08543	7.37%	-1.40%
0.092		8	1.086	0.9797	1.193	0.95	1.3	0.04508	0.1275	11.74%	4.97%
0.17		9	1.148	1.114	1.181	1.08	1.21	0.01451	0.04353	3.79%	-0.42%
0.34		10	1.068	1.013	1.123	0.95	1.18	0.02439	0.07714	7.22%	6.56%
0.69		8	0.2312	0.1666	0.2959	0.12	0.35	0.02735	0.07736	33.45%	79.77%
F0 Length Summ	ary										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Мах	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	4.835	4.767	4.903	4.7	4.95	0.02986	0.09443	1.95%	0.00%
0.045		10	4.77	4.67	4.87	4.6	5	0.04422	0.1398	2.93%	1.34%
0.092		8	4.744	4.623	4.865	4.6	5.05	0.05127	0.145	3.06%	1.89%
0.17		9	4.794	4.729	4.859	4.7	4.95	0.02819	0.08457	1.76%	0.84%
0.34		10	4.535	4.46	4.61	4.3	4.65	0.03337	0.1055	2.33%	6.20%
0.69		8	2.769	2.646	2.891	2.55	3	0.0517	0.1462	5.28%	42.74%
F0 Survival Sumr	nary										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00%	0.00%
0.045		10	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00%	0.00%
0.092		10	0.8000	0.4984	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.1333	0.4216	52.70%	20.00%
0.17		10	0.9000	0.6738	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.1000	0.3162	35.14%	10.00%
0.34		10	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00%	0.00%
0.69		10	0.8000	0.4984	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.1333	0.4216	52.70%	20.00%
n Live Offspring	Summary										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	158	147.9	168.1	127	180	4.477	14.16	8.96%	0.00%
0.045		10	159.3	139	179.6	112	210	8.979	28.39	17.82%	-0.82%
0.092		10	143.2	108	178.4	51	183	15.58	49.26	34.40%	9.37%
0.17		10	141.7	105.8	177.6	0	167	15.86	50.16	35.40%	10.32%
0.34		10	145.5	139.3	151.7	128	156	2.738	8.657	5.95%	7.91%
0.69		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100.00%
Successful Birth	Rate Sumn	nary									
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	10.54	9.851	11.23	8.5	12	0.3045	0.9629	9.14%	0.00%
0.045		10	10.6	9.234	11.97	7.5	14	0.6039	1.91	18.02%	-0.57%
0.092		10	10.38	9.128	11.63	6.8	12	0.5535	1.75	16.86%	1.52%
0.17		10	9.5	7.086	11.91	0	11	1.067	3.375	35.52%	9.87%
0.34		10	9.61	9.251	9.969	8.5	10	0.1588	0.5021	5.22%	8.82%
0.69		8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100.00%
Time to First Bro	od Summai	ry									
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Min	Max	Std Err	Std Dev	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	8.1	7.694	8.506	7	9	0.1795	0.5676	7.01%	0.00%
0.045		10	8.2	7.636	8.764	7	10	0.2494	0.7888	9.62%	-1.23%
0.092		10	8.7	8.217	9.183	8	10	0.2134	0.6749	7.76%	-7.41%
0.17		9	8	8	8	8	8	0	0	0.00%	1.23%
0.34		10	8	8	8	8	8	0	0	0.00%	1.23%

## **CETIS Summary Report**

**OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid)** 

**Smithers Viscient** 

F0 Dry Weight Detail											
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Ν	1.15	1.07	1.05	1.28	1.02	1.08	1.18	1.07	1.29	1.24
0.045		1.13	1.26	1.17	1.23	1.21	1.14	1.26	1.14	1.03	1.02
0.092		0.96	1.09	1.3	0.95	1.07	1.01	1.06	1.25		
0.17		1.19	1.21	1.14	1.1	1.12		1.19	1.15	1.08	1.15
0.34		1.09	0.97	1	1.07	1.18	1.15	1.14	1.08	1.05	0.95
0.69		0.25		0.23	0.28		0.35	0.18	0.29	0.15	0.12
F0 Length Detail											
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Ν	4.95	4.9	4.75	4.9	4.95	4.75	4.9	4.8	4.75	4.7
0.045		5	4.65	4.85	4.6	4.7	4.95	4.65	4.8	4.85	4.65
0.092		4.65	4.85	4.65	5.05	4.6	4.75	4.7	4.7		
0.17		4.75	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.95		4.8	4.7	4.75	4.7
0.34		4.6	4.3	4.55	4.55	4.6	4.55	4.65	4.6	4.55	4.4
0.69		2.85		2.85	2.8		3	2.8	2.7	2.55	2.6
F0 Survival Detail											
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Ν	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
0.045		1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
0.092		1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.17		1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
0.34		1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
0.69		1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
n Live Offspring D	etail										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Ν	170	159	148	158	127	180	165	164	153	156
0.045		173	129	210	153	112	181	134	170	165	166
0.092		179	146	151	180	169	174	183	142	51	57
0.17		154	167	152	164	158	0	150	158	150	164
0.34		152	138	128	144	140	151	142	152	156	152
0.69		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Successful Birth F	Rate Detail										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Ν	11	11	9.9	11	8.5	12	11	11	10	10
0.045		12	8.6	14	10	7.5	12	8.9	11	11	11
0.092		12	9.7	10	12	11	12	12	9.5	6.8	8.8
0.17		10	11	10	11	11	0	10	11	10	11
0.34		10	9.2	8.5	9.6	9.3	10	9.5	10	10	10
0.69		0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Time to First Broo	d Detail										
Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	N	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	8	8	7
0.045		8	10	8	7	8	8	9	8	8	8
0.092		8	10	9	8	9	8	8	9	9	9
0.17		8	8	8	8	8		8	8	8	8
0.34		8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
0.69											

**OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid)** Smithers Viscient Endpoint: F0 Dry Weight **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.9.5 Analysis ID: 03-4704-4634 Analyzed: 06 Feb-19 18:38 Analysis: Parametric-Control vs Treatments Status Level: 1 Batch ID: 01-2358-1558 Test Type: Chronic Daphnia (21-d) Analyst: 25 Oct-17 OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid Diluent: Start Date: Protocol: Fortified well water Ending Date: 15 Nov-17 Species: Daphnia magna Brine: Test Length: 21d 0h Taxon: Branchiopoda Source: Lab In-House Culture Age: NOEL LOEL **Data Transform** Alt Hyp TOEL τu PMSD Untransformed C > T 0.34 0.69 0.4844 8.42%

#### **Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test**

Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	P-Type	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative C	ontrol	0.045	-0.4064	2.305	0.091	18	CDF	0.9326	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	1.359	2.305	0.096	16	CDF	0.2736	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	-0.1181	2.305	0.093	17	CDF	0.8746	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34	1.905	2.305	0.091	18	CDF	0.1123	Non-Significant Effect
		0.69*	21.84	2.305	0.096	16	CDF	9.3E-07	Significant Effect
ANOVA Ta	ble								
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	lare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		5.48405	1.09681		5		141.5	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		0.379691	0.0077488	3	49				
Total		5.86374			54		_		

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Bartlett Equality of Variance Test	8.156	15.09	0.1478	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.9787	0.9417	0.4356	Normal Distribution

#### F0 Dry Weight Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	1.143	1.072	1.214	1.115	1.02	1.29	0.03155	8.73%	0.00%
0.045		10	1.159	1.098	1.22	1.155	1.02	1.26	0.02702	7.37%	-1.40%
0.092		8	1.086	0.9797	1.193	1.065	0.95	1.3	0.04508	11.74%	4.97%
0.17		9	1.148	1.114	1.181	1.15	1.08	1.21	0.01451	3.79%	-0.42%
0.34		10	1.068	1.013	1.123	1.075	0.95	1.18	0.02439	7.22%	6.56%
0.69		8	0.2313	0.1666	0.2959	0.24	0.12	0.35	0.02735	33.45%	79.77%





OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	rt (Daphnid)					Sr	nithers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	07-4901-1858 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	F0 Dry Weight Parametric-Control vs Ord.Treatments	C S	ETIS Versi tatus Leve	on: CET I: 1	'ISv1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)	Α	nalyst:			
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnic	d D	iluent:	Fortified we	ell water	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna	в	rine:			
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda	S	ource:	Lab In-Hou	se Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm	Alt Hyp	N	IOEL	LOEL	TOEI	. ти	PMSD
Untransformed	b	C > T	0	).17	0.34	0.240	)4	6.52%

#### Williams Multiple Comparison Test

Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	Р-Туре	P-Value	Decision(a:5%)
Negative Co	ontrol	0.045	-0.4064	1.677	0.066	18	CDF	>0.05	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	1.359	1.747	0.073	16	CDF	>0.05	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	0.5978	1.773	0.072	17	CDF	>0.05	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	1.905	1.788	0.070	18	CDF	<0.05	Significant Effect
		0.69*	21.84	1.785	0.075	16	CDF	<0.05	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tal	ble								
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	lare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		5.48405	1.09681		5		141.5	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		0.379691	0.0077488	3	49				
Total		5.86374			54		_		

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Bartlett Equality of Variance Test	8.156	15.09	0.1478	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.9787	0.9417	0.4356	Normal Distribution

#### F0 Dry Weight Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	1.143	1.072	1.214	1.115	1.02	1.29	0.03155	8.73%	0.00%
0.045		10	1.159	1.098	1.22	1.155	1.02	1.26	0.02702	7.37%	-1.40%
0.092		8	1.086	0.9797	1.193	1.065	0.95	1.3	0.04508	11.74%	4.97%
0.17		9	1.148	1.114	1.181	1.15	1.08	1.21	0.01451	3.79%	-0.42%
0.34		10	1.068	1.013	1.123	1.075	0.95	1.18	0.02439	7.22%	6.56%
0.69		8	0.2313	0.1666	0.2959	0.24	0.12	0.35	0.02735	33.45%	79.77%





OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	ert (Daphnid)						Smit	hers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	06-1043-7669 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	F0 Length Parametric-Control vs Treatments		CETIS Ver Status Lev	sion: /el:	CETISv 1	1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)		Analyst:				
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphni	id	Diluent:	Forti	fied well w	ater	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna		Brine:				
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda		Source:	Lab	In-House (	Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm	Alt Hyp		NOE	L LOE	EL	TOEL	TU	PMSD
Untransformed	b	C > T		0.17	0.34	Ļ	0.2404		2.72%

#### **Dunnett Multiple Comparison Test**

Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	P-Type	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative Co	ontrol	0.045	1.207	2.305	0.124	18	CDF	0.3347	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	1.597	2.305	0.132	16	CDF	0.1915	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	0.733	2.305	0.128	17	CDF	0.5509	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	5.57	2.305	0.124	18	CDF	3.5E-06	Significant Effect
		0.69*	36.17	2.305	0.132	16	CDF	9.3E-07	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tal	ble								
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	uare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		26.9492	5.38984		5		371.7	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		0.710597	0.014502		49				
Total		27.6598			54		_		

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Bartlett Equality of Variance Test	4.194	15.09	0.5218	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.9823	0.9417	0.5904	Normal Distribution

#### F0 Length Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	4.835	4.767	4.903	4.85	4.7	4.95	0.02986	1.95%	0.00%
0.045		10	4.77	4.67	4.87	4.75	4.6	5	0.04422	2.93%	1.34%
0.092		8	4.744	4.623	4.865	4.7	4.6	5.05	0.05127	3.06%	1.89%
0.17		9	4.794	4.729	4.859	4.8	4.7	4.95	0.02819	1.76%	0.84%
0.34		10	4.535	4.46	4.61	4.55	4.3	4.65	0.03338	2.33%	6.20%
0.69		8	2.769	2.646	2.891	2.8	2.55	3	0.0517	5.28%	42.74%



OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	ert (Daphnid)						Sm	ithers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	10-2015-3280 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	F0 Length Parametric-Control vs Ord.Treatments		CETIS Ver Status Lev	sion: /el:	CETISv 1	1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)		Analyst:				
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphn	id	Diluent:	Forti	fied well w	ater	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna		Brine:				
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda		Source:	Lab	In-House (	Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm	Alt Hyp		NOE	L LOE	EL	TOEL	TU	PMSD
Untransformed	b	C > T		0.17	0.34	Ļ	0.2404		2.11%

#### Williams Multiple Comparison Test

Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	P-Type	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative Co	ontrol	0.045	1.207	1.677	0.090	18	CDF	>0.05	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	1.597	1.747	0.1	16	CDF	>0.05	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	1.168	1.773	0.098	17	CDF	>0.05	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	5.57	1.788	0.096	18	CDF	<0.05	Significant Effect
		0.69*	36.17	1.785	0.102	16	CDF	<0.05	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tab	ble								
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	iare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		26.9492	5.38984		5		371.7	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		0.710597	0.014502		49				
Total		27.6598			54		_		

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Bartlett Equality of Variance Test	4.194	15.09	0.5218	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.9823	0.9417	0.5904	Normal Distribution

#### F0 Length Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	4.835	4.767	4.903	4.85	4.7	4.95	0.02986	1.95%	0.00%
0.045		10	4.77	4.67	4.87	4.75	4.6	5	0.04422	2.93%	1.34%
0.092		8	4.744	4.623	4.865	4.7	4.6	5.05	0.05127	3.06%	1.89%
0.17		9	4.794	4.729	4.859	4.8	4.7	4.95	0.02819	1.76%	0.84%
0.34		10	4.535	4.46	4.61	4.55	4.3	4.65	0.03338	2.33%	6.20%
0.69		8	2.769	2.646	2.891	2.8	2.55	3	0.0517	5.28%	42.74%



OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	ert (Daphnid)						Smit	thers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	11-3169-0721 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	n Live Offspring Nonparametric-Two Sample		CETIS Vers Status Lev	sion: el:	CETISv 1	1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)		Analyst:				
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphn	id l	Diluent:	Fortif	ied well w	ater	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna	I	Brine:				
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda	:	Source:	Lab I	n-House (	Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	m	Alt Hyp		NOE	L LOE	L	TOEL	TU	PMSD
Untransformed	k	C > T		0.17	0.34		0.2404		15.55%

#### Mann-Whitney U Two-Sample Test

Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Type	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative Co	ontrol	0.045	42.5	n/a	3	18	Exact	0.7171	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	50.5	n/a	1	18	Exact	0.4939	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	61	n/a	2	18	Exact	0.2113	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	83.5	n/a	1	18	Exact	0.0047	Significant Effect
		0.69*	100	n/a	0	18	Exact	5.4E-06	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tab	ble								
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	iare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		189200	37840		5		37.69	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		54210.3	1003.89		54				
Total		243410			59		_		

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Levene Equality of Variance Test	3.795	3.377	0.0051	Unequal Variances
	Mod Levene Equality of Variance Test	2.072	3.377	0.0831	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.7443	0.9459	7.0E-09	Non-Normal Distribution

#### n Live Offspring Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	158	147.9	168.1	158.5	127	180	4.477	8.96%	0.00%
0.045		10	159.3	139	179.6	165.5	112	210	8.979	17.82%	-0.82%
0.092		10	143.2	108	178.4	160	51	183	15.58	34.40%	9.37%
0.17		10	141.7	105.8	177.6	156	0	167	15.86	35.40%	10.32%
0.34		10	145.5	139.3	151.7	147.5	128	156	2.738	5.95%	7.91%
0.69		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100.00%





OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	ert (Daphnid)						Smi	thers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	03-7363-9027 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	n Live Offspring Nonparametric-Control vs Ord. Treatments	C s S	ETIS Versi tatus Leve	ion: C el: 1	CETISV	1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)	Α	nalyst:				
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid	D	iluent:	Fortified	l well w	ater	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna	В	rine:				
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda	S	ource:	Lab In-H	louse (	Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm	Alt Hyp	N	OEL	LOEL	. то	DEL	τu	
Untransformed	b	C > T	0.	17	0.34	0.	2404		

#### Jonckheere-Terpstra Step-Down Test

	-							
Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	Ties	Р-Туре	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative Cor	ntrol	0.045	-0.5676	1.645	3	Asymp	0.7148	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	-0.1902	1.645	4	Asymp	0.5754	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	0.6763	1.645	7	Asymp	0.2494	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	2.106	1.645	11	Asymp	0.0176	Significant Effect
		0.69*	4.785	1.645	12	Asymp	8.5E-07	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tabl	e							
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	ıare	DF	F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		189200	37840		5	37.69	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		54210.3	1003.89		54			
Total		243410			59			

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Levene Equality of Variance Test	3.795	3.377	0.0051	Unequal Variances
	Mod Levene Equality of Variance Test	2.072	3.377	0.0831	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.7443	0.9459	7.0E-09	Non-Normal Distribution

#### n Live Offspring Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	158	147.9	168.1	158.5	127	180	4.477	8.96%	0.00%
0.045		10	159.3	139	179.6	165.5	112	210	8.979	17.82%	-0.82%
0.092		10	143.2	108	178.4	160	51	183	15.58	34.40%	9.37%
0.17		10	141.7	105.8	177.6	156	0	167	15.86	35.40%	10.32%
0.34		10	145.5	139.3	151.7	147.5	128	156	2.738	5.95%	7.91%
0.69		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100.00%





OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	rt (Daphnid)						Smit	hers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	01-3083-4384 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	Successful Birth Rate Nonparametric-Two Sample	C S	CETIS Vers Status Lev	sion: el:	CETISv 1	1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)	A	Analyst:				
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnie	d D	Diluent:	Fortif	ied well w	vater	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna	E	Brine:				
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda	S	Source:	Lab I	n-House	Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm	Alt Hyp	١	NOEL	. LOE	L	TOEL	τu	PMSD
Untransformed	b	C > T	(	0.17	0.34		0.2404		14.35%

#### Mann-Whitney U Two-Sample Test

Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Type	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative Co	ontrol	0.045	46.5	n/a	3	18	Exact	0.6129	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	49.5	n/a	3	18	Exact	0.5213	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	55.5	n/a	2	18	Exact	0.3309	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	80.5	n/a	2	18	Exact	0.0077	Significant Effect
		0.69*	80	n/a	0	16	Exact	2.3E-05	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tab	ole								
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	iare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		718.331	143.666		5		43.06	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		173.509	3.33671		52				
Total		891.84			57		_		

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Levene Equality of Variance Test	2.886	3.392	0.0225	Equal Variances
	Mod Levene Equality of Variance Test	1.602	3.392	0.1760	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.7379	0.9443	7.7E-09	Non-Normal Distribution

#### Successful Birth Rate Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	10.54	9.851	11.23	11	8.5	12	0.3045	9.14%	0.00%
0.045		10	10.6	9.234	11.97	11	7.5	14	0.6039	18.02%	-0.57%
0.092		10	10.38	9.128	11.63	10.5	6.8	12	0.5535	16.86%	1.52%
0.17		10	9.5	7.086	11.91	10.5	0	11	1.067	35.52%	9.87%
0.34		10	9.61	9.251	9.969	9.8	8.5	10	0.1588	5.22%	8.82%
0.69		8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100.00%





OPPTS 850.1	300 Chronic Inve	ert (Daphnid)						Smit	hers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	20-9002-5662 06 Feb-19 18:38	Endpoint: Analysis:	Successful Birth Rate Nonparametric-Control vs Ord. Treatmen	ts s	CETIS Ver Status Lev	sion: /el:	CETISv 1	1.9.5	
Batch ID:	01-2358-1558	Test Type:	Chronic Daphnia (21-d)	1	Analyst:				
Start Date:	25 Oct-17	Protocol:	OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnie	d I	Diluent:	Fortif	ied well w	vater	
Ending Date:	15 Nov-17	Species:	Daphnia magna	I	Brine:				
Test Length:	21d Oh	Taxon:	Branchiopoda	:	Source:	Lab I	n-House	Culture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm	Alt Hyp	١	NOEI	LOE	Ľ	TOEL	TU	
Untransformed	b	C > T	(	0.17	0.34		0.2404		

#### Jonckheere-Terpstra Step-Down Test

	-							
Control	vs	Conc-mg ai/L	Test Stat	Critical	Ties	Р-Туре	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Negative Co	ontrol	0.045	-0.2742	1.645	3	Asymp	0.6080	Non-Significant Effect
		0.092	-0.1166	1.645	3	Asymp	0.5464	Non-Significant Effect
		0.17	0.3854	1.645	3	Asymp	0.3500	Non-Significant Effect
		0.34*	1.968	1.645	5	Asymp	0.0245	Significant Effect
		0.69*	4.274	1.645	6	Asymp	9.6E-06	Significant Effect
ANOVA Tal	ole							
Source		Sum Squares	Mean Squ	lare	DF	F Stat	P-Value	Decision(α:5%)
Between		718.331	143.666		5	43.06	<1.0E-37	Significant Effect
Error		173.509	3.33671		52			
Total		891.84			57			

#### **ANOVA Assumptions Tests**

Attribute	Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(α:1%)
Variance	Levene Equality of Variance Test	2.886	3.392	0.0225	Equal Variances
	Mod Levene Equality of Variance Test	1.602	3.392	0.1760	Equal Variances
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W Normality Test	0.7379	0.9443	7.7E-09	Non-Normal Distribution

#### Successful Birth Rate Summary

Conc-mg ai/L	Code	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Ν	10	10.54	9.851	11.23	11	8.5	12	0.3045	9.14%	0.00%
0.045		10	10.6	9.234	11.97	11	7.5	14	0.6039	18.02%	-0.57%
0.092		10	10.38	9.128	11.63	10.5	6.8	12	0.5535	16.86%	1.52%
0.17		10	9.5	7.086	11.91	10.5	0	11	1.067	35.52%	9.87%
0.34		10	9.61	9.251	9.969	9.8	8.5	10	0.1588	5.22%	8.82%
0.69		8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100.00%





OPPTS 850.13	300 Cł	nronic Invert	(Daphnid)										Smithe	ers Viscient
Analysis ID: Analyzed:	11-37 06 Fe	/81-3407 eb-19 18:39	Endpoin Analysis	t: Tim : Nor	Time to First Brood Nonparametric-Two Sample					CETIS Version:CETISv1.9.5Status Level:1				
Batch ID:	01-23	58-1558	Test Typ	e: Chr	onic Daphni	a (21-d)				Anal	yst:			
Start Date:	25 Oc	ct-17	Protocol	: OPI	PTS 850.13	00 Chro	nic lı	nvert (Daph	nid	Dilue	ent: Fo	rtified well wa	ater	
Ending Date:	15 No	ov-17	Species:	: Dap	hnia magna	ı				Brin	e:			
Test Length:	21d (	Dh	Taxon:	Bra	Branchiopoda				Source: Lab In-House Culture					Age:
Data Transfor	m	А	It Hyp						NO	EL	LOEL	TOEL	ΤU	PMSD
Untransformed	ł	С	< T						0.04	15	0.092	0.06434		5.12%
Mann-Whitne	y U Tv	vo-Sample Te	est											
Control	vs	Conc-mg ai	/L Tes	st Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	Р-Туре	P-V	alue	Decisior	n(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	rol	0.045	51		n/a	4	18	Exact	0.48	392	Non-Sigr	nificant Effec	t	
		0.092*	73		n/a	3	18	Exact	0.04	171	Significa	nt Effect		
		0.17	40.	5	n/a	1	17	Exact	0.86	607	Non-Sigr	nificant Effec	t	
		0.34	45		n/a	1	18	Exact	0.86	684	Non-Sigr	nificant Effec	t	
ANOVA Table														
Source		Sum Square	s Me	an Squ	are	DF		F Stat	P-V	alue	Decisior	n(α:5%)		
Between		3.35918	0.8	39796		4		2.933	0.03	311	Significa	nt Effect		
Error		12.6	0.2	86364		44		_						
Total		15.9592				48								
ANOVA Assu	mptio	ns Tests												
Attribute		Test				Test S	tat	Critical	P-V	alue	Decisior	n(α:1%)		
Variance		Levene Equa	lity of Varianc	e Test		5.847		3.778	7.3	E-04	Unequal	Variances		
		Mod Levene	Equality of Va	riance -	Test	2.389		3.79	0.06	657	Equal Va	riances		
Distribution		Shapiro-Wilk	W Normality	Test		0.837		0.9356	8.3E	E-06	Non-Nor	mal Distribut	on	
Time to First	Brood	Summary												
Conc-mg ai/L		Code C	ount Me	an	95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min		Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0		N 1	0 8.1		7.694	8.506		8	7		9	0.1795	7.01%	0.00%
0.045		1	0 8.2		7.636	8.764		8	7		10	0.2494	9.62%	-1.23%
0.092		1	0 8.7		8.217	9.183		9	8		10	0.2134	7.76%	-7.41%
0.17		9	8		8	8		8	8		8	0	0.00%	1.23%
0.34		1	0 8		8	8		8	8		8	0	0.00%	1.23%
Graphics														
10								1.8 1.6					•	
<b>-</b> 8				•	•			1.4 <del> </del> 1.2 <del> </del>					•	,





															0 000000
OPPTS 850.1	300 C	hronic Inve	rt (Daph	nid)										Smithe	ers Viscient
Analysis ID:	03-8	460-4303	Е	rood CETIS Ver					ion:	CETISv1	.9.5				
Analyzed:	06 F	eb-19 18:39	Α	nalysis:	Non	parametric	-Control vs (	Ord. Treatm	ents	State	us Leve	el:	1		
Batch ID:	01-2	358-1558	Т	est Type:	Chro	nic Daphn	ia (21-d)			Anal	yst:				
Start Date:	25 O	oct-17	Р	rotocol:	OPP	TS 850.13	800 Chronic	Invert (Dap	hnid	Dilu	ent:	Forti	fied well wa	ater	
Ending Date:	15 N	ov-17	S	pecies:	Dapł	nnia magn	а			Brin	e:				
Test Length:	21d	0h	Та	axon:	Bran	ichiopoda				Sou	rce:	Lab	In-House C	ulture	Age:
Data Transfor	rm		Alt Hyp	)					NO	EL	LOEI	L	TOEL	TU	
Untransformed	b		C < T						0.3	4	>0.34	1	n/a		
Jonckheere-T	erpst	tra Step-Dov	vn Test												
Control	vs	Conc-mg	ai/L	Test S	Stat	Critical	Ties	P-Type	P-V	alue	Decis	sion(	α:5%)		
Negative Cont	rol	0.045		0.0934	46	1.645	3	Asymp	0.7	740	Non-	Signif	ficant Effect		
		0.092		1.915		1.645	3	Asymp	0.7	740	Non-	Signif	ficant Effect		
		0.17		0.2474	4	1.645	3	Asymp	0.7	740	Non-	Signif	ficant Effect		
		0.34		-0.752	21	1.645	3	Asymp	0.7	740	Non-	Signi	ficant Effect		
ANOVA Table	•														
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Squa	are	DF	F Stat	P-V	alue	Decis	sion(	α:5%)		
Between		3.35918		0.8397	796		4	2.933	0.0	311	Signi	ficant	Effect		
Error		12.6		0.2863	364		44	_							
Total		15.9592					48								
ANOVA Assu	mptio	ons Tests													
Attribute		Test					Test Stat	Critical	P-V	alue	Decis	sion(	α:1%)		
Variance		Levene Equ	uality of	Variance T	est		5.847	3.778	7.3	E-04	Uneq	jual V	ariances		
		Mod Leven	e Equali	ty of Varia	nce T	est	2.389	3.79	0.0	657	Equa	l Vari	ances		
Distribution		Shapiro-Wi	lk W No	rmality Tes	st		0.837	0.9356	8.3	E-06	Non-I	Norm	al Distributi	on	
Time to First	Broo	d Summary													
Conc-mg ai/L		Code	Count	Mean		95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Mir	1	Мах		Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0		Ν	10	8.1		7.694	8.506	8	7		9		0.1795	7.01%	0.00%
0.045			10	8.2		7.636	8.764	8	7		10		0.2494	9.62%	-1.23%
0.092			10	8.7		8.217	9.183	9	8		10		0.2134	7.76%	-7.41%
0.17			9	8		8	8	8	8		8		0	0.00%	1.23%
0.34			10	8		8	8	8	8		8		0	0.00%	1.23%
Graphics															
Time to First Brood					•	•	Cantered	1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.0 0.6 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0						•••	

2

0

0 N

0.045

0.092

Conc-mg ai/L

0.17

0.34

-0.4 -0.6

-0.8 -1.0

-1.2 •

-1.4 É

•

-2.5 -2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0

Rankits

1

0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5

**OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid) Smithers Viscient** Endpoint: F0 Survival **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.9.5 Analysis ID: 12-1453-1650 STP 2xK Contingency Tables Analyzed: 06 Feb-19 18:38 Analysis: Status Level: 1 Batch ID: 01-2358-1558 Test Type: Chronic Daphnia (21-d) Analyst: OPPTS 850.1300 Chronic Invert (Daphnid Start Date: 25 Oct-17 Diluent: Fortified well water Protocol: Ending Date: 15 Nov-17 Species: Daphnia magna Brine: Lab In-House Culture Test Length: 21d 0h Taxon: Branchiopoda Source: Age: Data Transform Alt Hyp NOEL LOEL TOEL τu Untransformed C > T 0.69 >0.69 n/a

#### Fisher Exact/Bonferroni-Holm Test

Control v	vs (	Group		Test Stat	P-Type	P-Value	Decision	(α:5%)
Negative Control	ol (	0.045		1.0000	Exact	1.0000	Non-Sign	ificant Effect
	(	0.092		0.2368	Exact	1.0000	Non-Sign	ificant Effect
	(	0.17		0.5000	Exact	1.0000	Non-Sign	ificant Effect
	(	0.34		1.0000	Exact	1.0000	Non-Sign	ificant Effect
	(	0.69		0.2368	Exact	1.0000	Non-Sign	ificant Effect
Data Summary	/							
Conc-mg ai/L	С	ode	NR	R	NR + R	Prop NR	Prop R	%Effect
0	N	l	10	0	10	1	0	0.0%
0.045			10	0	10	1	0	0.0%
0.092			8	2	10	0.8	0.2	20.0%
0.17			9	1	10	0.9	0.1	10.0%
0.34			10	0	10	1	0	0.0%

0.8

0.2

20.0%

#### Graphics

0.69



8

2

10