

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD
SIP COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST

***** TO BE COMPLETED BY DISTRICT AND RETURNED TO CARB *****

All rules submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) as State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions must be supported by certain information and documentation for the rule packages to be deemed complete for review by the U.S. EPA. Rules will not be evaluated for approvability by the U.S. EPA unless the submittal packages are complete. To assist you in determining that all necessary materials are included in rules packages sent to CARB for submittal to the U.S. EPA, please fill out the following form and include it with the rule package you send CARB. See [40 CFR 51, Appendix V](#). Adopted rules and rule amendments should be checked against U.S. EPA's [Guidance Document for Correcting Common VOC & Other Rule Deficiencies](#) (Little Blue Book, August 21, 2001) to ensure that they contain no elements which will result in disapproval by U.S. EPA.

District:

Rule No:

Rule Title:

Date Adopted or Amended:

ADMINISTRATIVE MATERIALS

Note: All documents should be in electronic format. Items that have signatures, initials, or stamps may be scanned.

Attached	Not Attached	N/A
----------	-----------------	-----

COMPLETE COPY OF THE RULE: Provide an unmarked copy of the entire rule as adopted or amended by your District Board.

UNDERLINE AND STRIKEOUT COPY OF THE RULE: If an amended rule, provide a complete copy of the rule indicating in underline and strikeout format all language which has been added, deleted, or changed since the rule was last adopted or amended.

COMPLETE COPY OF THE REFERENCED RULE(S): For any rule which includes language specifically referencing another rule, a copy of that other rule must also be submitted, unless it has already been submitted to U.S. EPA as part of a previous SIP submittal.

PUBLIC NOTICE EVIDENCE: Include a copy of the local newspaper clipping certification(s), stating the date of publication, which must be at least 30 days before the hearing. As an alternative, include a copy of the actual published notice of the public hearing as it appeared in the local newspaper(s). In this case, however, enough of the newspaper page must be included to show the date of publication. The notice must specifically identify by title and number each rule adopted or amended. The public notice must adhere to the [California Health and Safety Code](#), Sections 40725 through 40727, including 40727.2(f).

RESOLUTION/MINUTE ORDER: Provide the Board Clerk certified resolution or minute order. This document must include certification that the hearing was held in accordance with the information in the public notice. It must also list the rules that were adopted or amended, the date of the public hearing, and a statement of compliance with [California Health and Safety Code](#) Sections 40725-40728.

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD
SIP COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST

Attached Not
 Attached N/A

PUBLIC COMMENTS AND RESPONSES: Submit copies of written public comments made during the notice period and at the public hearing. Also submit any written responses prepared by the District staff or presented to the District Board at the public hearing. A summary of the public comments and responses is adequate. If there were no comments made during the notice period or at the hearing, please indicate N/A to the left.

TECHNICAL MATERIALS

Attached Not
 Attached N/A

RULE EVALUATION FORM: See instructions for completing the Rule Evaluation Form and the accompanying sample form.

NON-EPA TEST METHODS: Attach all test methods that are referenced in your rule that do not appear in 40 CFR 51, 60, 61, 63, or have not been previously submitted to U.S. EPA. U.S. EPA methods used in other media such as SW846 for solid waste are not automatically approved for air pollution applications. Submittal of test methods that are not EPA-approved should include the information and follow the procedure described in Region 9's "Test Method Review & Evaluation Process."

MODELING SUPPORT: Provide if appropriate. In general, modeling support is not required for VOC and NO_x rules to determine their impacts on ozone levels. Modeling is required where a rule is a relaxation that affects large sources (≥ 100 TPY) in an attainment area for SO₂, directly emitted PM₁₀, CO, or NO_x (for NO₂ purposes). In cases where U.S. EPA is concerned with the impact on air quality of rule revisions which relax limits or cause a shift in emission patterns in a nonattainment area, a reference back to the approved SIP will be sufficient provided the approved SIP accounts for the relaxation and provided the approved SIP used the current U.S. EPA modeling guidelines. If current U.S. EPA modeling guidelines were not used, then new modeling may be required.

ECONOMIC AND TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION FOR DEVIATIONS FROM U.S. EPA POLICIES: The District staff report or other information included with the submittal should discuss all potential relaxations or deviations from RACT, RACM, BACT, BACM, enforceability, attainment, RFP, or other relevant U.S. EPA requirements. This includes, for example, demonstrating that exemptions or emission limits less stringent than the presumptive RACT (e.g., a CTG) meet U.S. EPA's 5 percent policy, and demonstrating that all source categories exempted from a RACM/BACM rule are de minimus according to U.S. EPA's RACM/BACM policy.

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS: Provide District staff reports and any other supporting information concerning development of the rule or rule changes. This information should explain the basis for all limits and thresholds contained in the rule.