# ORDER SUMMARY Consumer Services Division Case Number C-23-3632

**Consent Orders** resolve investigations. In these, companies and individuals work with us to agree to terms that resolve the alleged issues in the investigation.

Names	Nordstrom, Leiren & Associates, Inc., NMLS #114931 Ingunn Borhaug Leiren, NMLS #114959
Order Number	C-23-3632-24-CO01
Date issued	October 24, 2024

# What does this Consent Order require?

- Must pay a fine of \$10,000.
- Must pay a fine of \$30,000. This fine is stayed (doesn't have to be paid) until October 24, 2029, as long as [Respondents] comply with the order. The fine goes away on that date if Respondents have complied.
- Must pay an investigation fee of \$590.40. (Investigation fees cover the cost of DFI staff time working on the investigation.)
- Respondent Nordstrom's mortgage broker license and Respondent Leiren's loan originator license revocations are stayed until October 24, 2029.
- Respondent Leiren shall be removed as Designated Broker by June 1, 2025.
- Respondent Leiren shall surrender Designated Broker registration by June 1, 2025.
- Respondents' prohibition from the industry is stayed until October 24, 2029.
- Respondents must undergo a compliance examination by October 24, 2029.
- Respondents must maintain compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, and maintain an Anti-Money Laundering and Red Flags program in compliance in with all applicable laws and regulations.

#### Need more information?

You can contact the Consumer Services Division, Enforcement unit at (360) 902-8703 or <a href="mailto:csenforcecomplaints@dfi.wa.gov">csenforcecomplaints@dfi.wa.gov</a>. Please remember that we cannot provide financial or legal advice to members of the public. We also cannot release confidential information.

# STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING: Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by:

No.: C-23-3632-24-CO01

CONSENT ORDER

NORDSTROM, LEIREN & ASSOCIATES INC. NMLS # 114931

and

INGUNN BORHAUG LEIREN, Owner, Designated Broker, NMLS # 114959

Respondents.

COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through his designee Ali Higgs, Division of Consumer Services Director, Nordstrom Leiren & Associates Inc (Respondent Nordstrom), and Ingunn Borhaug Leiren, owner and designated broker (Respondent Leiren), and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to chapter 19.146 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, based on the following:

#### AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and Respondents have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters alleged in Statement of Charges No. C-23-3632-24-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered March 15th, 2024 (copy attached hereto). Pursuant to chapter 19.146 RCW, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, Respondents hereby agree to the Department's entry of this Consent Order and further agree that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be

CONSENT ORDER C-23-3632-24-CO1

Nordstrom, Leiren & Associates Inc and Ingunn Borhaug Leiren

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9 10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

23

24

economically and efficiently settled by entry of this Consent Order. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Statement of Charges. Respondents are agreeing not to contest the Statement of Charges in consideration of the terms of this Consent Order.

Based upon the foregoing:

- A. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.
- B. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondents have been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge, and hereby waive their right to a hearing and any and all administrative and judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondents, by their signatures or the signatures of their representatives below, withdraw their appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- C. Revocation of Licenses (Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondent Nordstrom's license to conduct the business of a mortgage broker and Respondent Leiren's license to conduct the business of a loan originator are revoked. It is further AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents' license revocations are stayed for five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order contingent upon Respondents' compliance with the Act and related rules, and compliance with this Consent Order, unless lifted pursuant to Paragraph O. Absent any action by the Department to lift the stay and impose the stayed revocations pursuant to Paragraph O, the revocations shall expire five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order without further action or notice by the Department, however expiration shall not occur if the steps of lifting the stay as stated in paragraph O are in process by the Department.
- D. Designated Broker Removal. It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondent Nordstrom shall remove and replace Respondent Leiren as the Designated Broker before June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2025.

20

21

23

22

24

E. Designated Broker Registration Surrender. It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondent Leiren shall surrender the Designated Broker registration to the Department before June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2025.

- F. Prohibition from Industry. It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents are prohibited from participating, in any manner, in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker licensed by the Department or subject to licensure or regulation by the Department, for a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order. It is further AGREED and ORDERED that the prohibition is stayed for five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order contingent upon Respondents' compliance with the Act and related rules, and compliance with this Consent Order, unless lifted pursuant to Paragraph O. Absent any action by the Department to lift the stay and impose the stayed prohibition pursuant to Paragraph O, the prohibition shall expire five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order without further action or notice by the Department, however expiration shall not occur if the steps of lifting the stay as stated in paragraph O are in process by the Department.
- G. Cease and Desist and Future Compliance. It is AGREED that Respondents have represented, warranted, and attested to the Department that they have ceased and desisted from engaging in violations of the Act and rules. It is further AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall henceforth comply with the Act and all related rules.
- H. Compliance Examination. It is AGREED and ORDERED that the Department may, within five (5) years of the entry of this Consent Order, conduct compliance examinations of Respondents' business, including examining compliance with this Consent Order, the Act, related rules, and applicable federal law, policies, and procedures at Respondents' cost and expense.

3

I. Required Affirmative Actions. Its is AGREED and ORDERED that:

- 1. Respondents shall conduct all business in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.
- 2. Respondents shall maintain an Anti-Money Laundering and Red Flags programs in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.
- J. Fine (Partially Stayed). It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall jointly and severally pay a fine to the Department in the amount of \$40,000, with \$10,000 to be paid prior to the entry of this Consent Order (Paid Fine) and \$30,000 stayed (Stayed Fine). It is FURTHER AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall pay the Paid Fine to the Department pursuant paragraph L. The Stayed Fine shall be stayed for a period of five (5) years from the entry of this Consent Order contingent upon Respondent's compliance with this Consent Order, and compliance with the Acts and rules, unless lifted pursuant to paragraph O. Absent any action by the Department to lift the Stayed Fine pursuant to Paragraph O, Respondents' obligation to pay the Stayed Fine shall expire five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order without further action or notice by the Department.
- **K.** Investigation Fee. It is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall jointly and severally pay to the Department an investigation fee of \$590.40 pursuant to paragraph L.
- L. Payment. It is AGREED that the Respondents shall pay the Fine and Investigation Fee together in one \$10,590.40 cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer," upon delivery of this Consent Order to the Department, properly dated and signed.
- M. Records Retention. It is AGREED that Respondent Nordstrom, its officers, employees, and agents shall maintain records in compliance with the Act and provide the Director with the location of the books, records and other information relating to Respondent Nordstrom's mortgage broker business, and the name, address and telephone number of the individual responsible for maintenance of such records in compliance with the Act.

N. Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondents understand that failure to abide by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of such legal action, Respondents may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.

# O. Lifting of Stay and Imposition of Stayed Sanctions. It is AGREED and ORDERED:

- 1. If during the stay, the Department determines that Respondents have not complied with the Act, related rules, or this Consent Order, and the Department seeks to lift the stay and impose the Stayed Revocation, Prohibitions, and Fine, the Department will first serve Respondents with a written notice of alleged noncompliance.
- 2. The notice will include:
  - a. A description of the alleged noncompliance;
  - b. A statement that the Department seeks to lift the stay and impose the Stayed Revocations, Stayed Prohibitions, and Stayed Fine;
  - c. Notice that Respondents can contest to the Department's determinations of noncompliance either in adjudicative hearing before and Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) of the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), or by submitting a written response to the Department contesting the alleged noncompliance; and
  - d. Notice that the notification and adjudicative hearing process provided in this paragraph applies only to this Consent Order in the event either Respondent chooses to contest the Department's determination of noncompliance.
- 3. Any Respondent who wishes to contest the Department's determination of noncompliance will have twenty (20) days from the date of receipt of the Department's notice to submit a written request to the Department for an adjudicative hearing.
- 4. The scope and issues of the adjudicative hearing are limited solely to whether or not Respondents are in violation of the terms of this Consent Order, the Act, or related rules.
- 5. At the conclusion of the hearing, the ALJ will issue an initial decision. Either party may file a petition for Review of the initial decision with the Director of the Department.
- 6. In lieu of requesting an adjudicative hearing, within twenty (20) days from the date of service of the notice of alleged noncompliance, Respondents may submit a written response for consideration by the Department contesting the alleged noncompliance. The response must include each Respondents' waiver of the right to an adjudicative hearing, may address the alleged noncompliance, and may seek an alternative resolution to lifting the Stayed sanctions.

24

(360) 902-8703

- 7. DEFAULT: If any Respondent does not timely either request an adjudicative hearing, or timely submit a written response contesting the alleged noncompliance, the Department will impose the Staved Revocations, Stayed Prohibitions, and Stayed Fine without further notice.
- P. Voluntarily Entered. It is AGREED that Respondents have voluntarily entered into this Consent Order, which is effective when signed by the Director's designee.
- Q. Completely Read, Understood, and Agreed. It is AGREED that Respondents have read this Consent Order in its entirety and fully understand and agree to all of the same.
- R. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned authorized representative has represented and warranted that she has the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on behalf of Respondent.
- S. Counterparts. This Consent Order may be executed in any number of counterparts, including by facsimile or e-mail of a .pdf or similar file, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but all of which, taken together, shall constitute one and the same Consent Order.

**RESPONDENTS:** 

Nordstrom, Leiren & Associates Inc

Ingunn Borhaug Leiren Owner and Designated Broker

Ingunn Borhaug Leiren Individually

24

CONSENT ORDER C-23-3632-24-CO1 Nordstrom, Leiren & Associates Inc and Ingunn Borhaug Leiren

10.14.2024 Date 10.14.2024

CONSENT ORDER C-23-3632-24-CO1 Nordstrom, Leiren & Associates Inc and Ingumn Borhaug Leiren

24

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8703

# STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS **DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES**

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by: NORDSTROM, LEIREN & ASSOCIATES INC. NMLS # 114931, and INGUNN BORHAUG LEIREN, Owner, Designated Broker, and Mortgage Loan Originator, NMLS # 114959,

Respondents.

No. C-23-3632-24-SC01

STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER AN ORDER TO REVOKE MORTGAGE BROKER LICENSE, DENY APPLICATION TO RENEW MORTGAGE BROKER LICENSE, REVOKE DESIGNATED BROKER REGISTRATION, REVOKE LOAN ORIGINATOR LICENSE, PROHIBIT FROM INDUSTRY, IMPOSE FINE, COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEE, and RECOVER COSTS AND EXPENSES

#### INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220 and RCW 19.146.223, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 19.146 RCW, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act). After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 19.146.235, and based upon the facts available as of the date of this Statement of Charges, the Director, through his designee, Division of Consumer Services Acting Director Ali Higgs, institutes this proceeding and finds as follows:

# I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

#### 1.1 Respondents.

Nordstrom, Leiren & Associates Inc (Respondent Nordstrom) was licensed by the A. Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) to conduct business as a mortgage broker on or about May 24, 1994, and continues to be licensed to date. On or about December 6, 2023, Respondent Nordstrom submitted a request to renew its mortgage broker license with the Department. As of the date of this Statement of Changes, that request is currently pending.

P.O. Box 41200

(360) 902-8703

1	
2	Mo
3	Bro
4	to
5	on
6	1.2
7	No
8	ide
9	we
10	fin
11	1.3
12	the
13	De
14	Co
15	Re
16	agı
17	ove
18	rev
19	1.4
20	cor
21	per
	1

B. **Ingunn Borhaug Leiren** (Respondent Leiren) is the Owner, Designated Broker, and Mortgage Loan Originator of Respondent Nordstrom. Respondent Leiren was named Designated Broker of Respondent Nordstrom on or about January 5, 2010, and continues to be Designated Broker to date. Respondent Leiren was licensed by the Department to conduct business as a loan originator on or about January 5, 2010, and continues to be licensed to date.

**1.2 Prior Examinations.** The Department conducted compliance examinations of Respondent Nordstrom in 2015, 2017, and 2019. The Report of Examination (ROE) from February 28, 2015, identified 15 violations of the law. The ROE from April 30, 2017 identified 23 violations, 10 of which were repeat findings. The ROE from May 31, 2019 identified 10 violations, 8 of which were repeat findings.

1.3 Prior Enforcement Action. As a result of the 2017 examination, on or about March 30, 2018, the Department issued a Statement of Charges and Notice of Intent to Enter an Order to Cease and Desist, Revoke Licenses, Prohibit from Industry, Impose Fine, Collect Investigation Fee, and Recover Cost and Expenses (2018 Statement of Charges). On June 22, 2018, the Department and the Respondents entered into a Consent Order to resolve the 2018 Statement of Charges. Respondents agreed to cease and desist from violating the Act, agreed to hire an outside Compliance Officer to oversee their business practices, and paid the fine and investigation fees. Further, the licenses revocations and the prohibition from industry were stayed for two (2) years.

**1.4 2023 Examination.** From August 7, 2023, through August 10, 2023, the Department conducted a compliance follow-up examination of Respondent Nordstrom business practices for the period of April 1, 2021, through April 30, 2023. The Department determined that Respondent

22

23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Statement of Charges No. C-17-2265-18-SC01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consent Order No. C-17-2265-18-CO01.

1	Prevention Program" that was undated and unsigned and appears to be a generic model not specific to
2	the mortgage industry. Additionally, it did not designate an administrator to monitor compliance and
3	update as needed and did not disclose the frequency of staff training dedicated to preventing identity
4	theft.
5	1.9 Did not Develop and Implement an Anti-Money Laundering Program. Respondent
6	Nordstrom provided to the Department an Anti-Money Laundering Program (AML) for the exam
7	period that Respondent Nordstrom approved after the examination was completed. The program did
8	not clearly specify frequency of independent testing of the program, did not include a manager
9	approval and implementation date, and appears to be a model not specific to a mortgage broker. This
10	is a repeat finding from all three (3) previous exams of 2015, 2017, and 2019.
11	1.10 Did not Maintain Records. Respondent Nordstrom did not maintain complete loan records
12	for at least seven (7) borrowers. For the seven (7) incomplete loan files, Respondent Nordstrom did
13	not provide the Department with 22 documents required to be maintained as part of the loan files.
14	1.11 Did not Provide a Privacy Policy. Respondent Nordstrom did not provide a privacy policy
15	disclosure to at least 21 borrowers when a customer relationship was established between Respondent
16	Nordstrom and the borrowers.
17	1.12 Conducted Business Using Only the Registered Trade Name. Respondent Nordstrom
18	conducted business using only the registered trade name Leiren & Associates without also using the
19	company's main license name or license number on pre-approval letters and disclosures issued to at
20	least 17 borrowers.
21	1.13 On-Going Investigation. The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the
22	Act by Respondents continues to date.
23	
24	// STATEMENT OF CHARGES  4 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

## II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

**2.1 Responsibility for Conduct of Employees**. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.245, a licensed mortgage broker is liable for any conduct violating the Act by the designated broker, a loan originator, or other licensed mortgage broker while employed or engaged by the licensed mortgage broker.

- 2.2 Responsibility of Designated Broker. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.200(3), every licensed mortgage broker must at all times have a designated broker responsible for all activities of the mortgage broker in conducting the business of a mortgage broker. A designated broker, principal, or owner who has supervisory authority over a mortgage broker is responsible for a licensee's, employee's, or independent contractor's violations of the Act if: the designated broker, principal, or owner directs or instructs the conduct or, with knowledge of the specific conduct, approves or allows the conduct; or the designated broker, principal, or owner who has supervisory authority over the licensed mortgage broker knows or by the exercise of reasonable care and inquiry should have known of the conduct, at a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated and fails to take reasonable remedial action.
- **2.3 Prohibited Acts.** Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Sections 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, and 1.11 above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2), (7) and (11), and WAC 208-660-500(3)(a),(b), and (r) by directly or indirectly engaging in any unfair or deceptive practice toward any person and failing to comply with federal statutes and regulations.
- **2.4 Fiduciary Duties.** Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section 1.5 above Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.095(1)(d) by failing to use reasonable care in performing its duties.
- **2.5** Requirement to Adhere to Tolerance Limits. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section 1.6 above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(11), and RCW

1	19.146.030(4) by failing to adhere to tolerance limits as required by Regulation Z, 12 C.F.R. §
2	1026.19(e).
3	2.6 Requirement to Provide a Rate Lock Agreement within Three Business Days. Based on
4	the Factual Allegations set forth in Section 1.7 Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW
5	19.146.030(1) and (2), and WAC 208-660-430(1), (3)(c), and (6) by failing to ensure borrowers were
6	provided with a rate lock agreement within three (3) business days as required.
7	2.7 Requirement to Develop and Implement a Compliant Red Flags Program. Based on the
8	Factual Allegations set forth in Section 1.8 above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW
9	19.146.0201(11) by failing to develop and implement a compliant red flags program as required by
10	the Federal Trade Commission, Fair Credit Reporting Act, 16 CFR, Part 681.1(d), (e), and (f).
11	2.8 Requirement to Develop and Implement a Compliant Anti-Money Laundering Program.
12	Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section 1.9 above, Respondents are in apparent violation
13	of RCW 19.146.0201(11) by failing to develop and implement a compliant anti-money laundering
14	program as required by the FinCEN, Department of the Treasury, 31 C.F.R. §1029.210.
15	2.9 Recordkeeping Requirements. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section 1.10
16	above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.060(2), and WAC 208-660-450(3) and
17	(4)(a) by failing to maintain complete loan records and failing to provide them for the Department's
18	examination.
19	2.10 Requirement to Provide Privacy Policy Disclosure. Based on the Factual Allegations set
20	forth in Section 1.11 above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(11) and WAC
21	208-660-470(1)(a) by failing to provide Initial Privacy Notice to consumers as required under the
22	Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and Regulation P, 12 C. F.R. § 1016.4(a), (c)(1) and (2).
23	2.11 Requirement when Using a Registered Trade Name. Based on the Factual Allegations set
24	forth in Section 1.12 above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2) and WAC STATEMENT OF CHARGES  6 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

1	208-660-180(9) by conducting business with its trade name without using it together with the
2	company's main licensed name or the license number.
3	III. AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS
4	3.1 Authority to Revoke License and Deny Renewal Application. Pursuant to RCW
5	19.146.220(2), the Director may revoke licenses and decline to renew licenses for any violation of the
6	Act.
7	3.2 Authority to Prohibit from Industry. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(4), the Director may
8	issue orders prohibiting from participation in the conduct of the affairs of a licensed mortgage broker
9	any officer, principal, employee, or loan originator of any licensed mortgage broker or any person
10	subject to licensing under the Act for any violation of the Act.
11	3.3 Authority to Impose Fine. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220(2) and WAC 208-660-530(6), the
12	Director may impose a fine of up to \$100 per day against a licensee for each violation of the Act.
13	3.4 Authority to Collect Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.228(2), WAC 208-660
14	520(9) & (11), and WAC 208-660-550(4)(a), the Department will charge forty-eight dollars per hou
15	for an examiner's time devoted to an investigation.
16	3.5 Authority to Recover Costs and Expenses. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.221(2), the Director
17	may recover the state's costs and expenses for prosecuting violations of the Act.
18	IV. NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER ORDER
19	Respondents' violations of the provisions of chapter 19.146 RCW and chapter 208-660 WAC
20	as set forth in the above Factual Allegations, Grounds for Entry of Order, and Authority to Impose
21	Sanctions, constitute a basis for the entry of an Order under RCW 19.146.220, RCW 19.146.221, and
22	RCW 19.146.223. Therefore, it is the Director's intent to ORDER that:
23	<b>4.1</b> Respondent Nordstrom, Leiren & Associate Inc's license to conduct the business of a

24

mortgage broker be revoked.

## V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 19.146.220, RCW 19.146.221, RCW 19.146.223, and RCW 19.146.230, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (the Administrative Procedure Act). Respondents may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges.

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

Dated this 15th day of March 2024.

9

8

10

11

12

Presented by: 13

14

15

16

17

18

KENDALL H. FREED

19

20

21

22

23

24



Ali Higgs, Acting Director Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

SANDRA MÉNDEZ Financial Legal Examiner

Reviewed by:

Financial Legal Examiner Supervisor

Approved by:

JAMES R. BRUSSELBACK Acting Enforcement Chief